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## The Fermi-Pasta-Ulam problem



- System of particles on the line
- Hamiltonian for nearest neighbour interactions is given by $H=\sum_{j} \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}_{j}^{2}+V\left(q_{j+1}-q_{j}\right)$
- Equations of motion are given by $\ddot{q}_{j}=V^{\prime}\left(q_{j+1}-q_{j}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(q_{j}-q_{j-1}\right)$
- Potential $V(q)=\frac{1}{2} q^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \alpha q^{3}+\frac{1}{4} \beta q^{4}+\ldots$
- Numerical experiments showed long-time recurrent formations of solitary waves (FPU, 1955)

Main question: Can we describe dynamics by reducing the FPU to an integrable system?

## KdV limit for small-amplitude and long-scale waves

- Ansatz in the strain variables:

$$
r_{j}(t)=q_{j+1}(t)-q_{j}(t):=\varepsilon^{2} R\left(\varepsilon(j-t), \varepsilon^{3} t\right)+\text { error }
$$

- Approximation satisfies the FPU system up to $O\left(\varepsilon^{6}\right)$ if $R$ satisfies the KdV equation:

$$
\partial_{\tau} R+\alpha R \partial_{\xi} R+\frac{1}{24} \partial_{\xi}^{3} R=0
$$

KdV is an integrable system with asymptotic stability of solitons and stability of periodic solutions.

- First derivation: N. Zabusky and M. Kruskal (1965)
- Rigorous justification:

Schneider-Wayne (1999), Friesecke-Pego (1999-2004), Bambusi-Ponno (2005-2006)

- Follow-up work: generalized KdV (Dumas-P., 2014), KdV on extended time intervals (Khan-P, 2017), polyatomic case (Gaison-Moskow-Wright-Zhang, 2014), nonlocal interaction (Herrmann-Mikikits-Leitner, 2016), and more.


## Algorithm for justification of reduced models from FPU models

(1) Find the best coordinates to transform the problem.
(2) Check that the reduced model formally arises in the appropriate limit of the transformed equations.
(0) Define error terms to the leading-order terms and obtain residual equations.

- Control the error terms from the residual equations in suitable norms by using the energy conservation, approximation estimates, and Gronwall inequality.
- Check that the reduced models have smooth solutions which are compatible with the estimates.

I will illustrate this algorithm with three recent examples.

## Case Study 1: Modeling of granular chains



- Granular chains contain densely packed, elastically interacting particles with Hertzian forces.
- N. Boechler, G. Theocharis, P.G. Kevrekidis, M.A. Porter, C. Daraio (2001-present days).


## Logarithmic KdV equation

Granular chains are modeled with Newton's equations of motion:

$$
\ddot{q}_{j}=V^{\prime}\left(q_{j+1}-q_{j}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(q_{j}-q_{j-1}\right)
$$

where $V$ is the contact interaction potential for spherical beads (H. Hertz, 1882):

$$
V(q)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
|q|^{1+\alpha}, & q<0, \\
0, & q>0
\end{array} \quad \alpha=\frac{3}{2} .\right.
$$

For beads with hollows, $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. If $\alpha=1+\epsilon^{2}$, then one can write for $r_{j}=-\left(q_{j+1}-q_{j}\right) \geq 0$ :

$$
\ddot{r}_{j}-\Delta r_{j}=\Delta\left[r_{j}\left(\left|r_{j}\right|^{\epsilon}-1\right)\right]=\epsilon \Delta r_{j} \log r_{j}+\mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) .
$$

If $r_{j}(t)=R(\xi, \tau)+$ error with $\xi:=2 \sqrt{3} \epsilon(j-t), \tau:=\sqrt{3} \epsilon^{3} t$, then we obtain the log-KdV equation

$$
\partial_{\tau} R+\partial_{\xi}(R \log R)+\partial_{\xi}^{3} R=0 .
$$

A. Chatterjee (1999); G.James-D.P (2014).

## Justification of log-KdV




Figure: Solitary waves of the FPU system (blue) in comparison with the Gaussian solitons of the log-KdV equation (green) for $\alpha=1.5$ (left) and $\alpha=1.1$ (right).

$$
\partial_{\tau} R+\partial_{\xi}(R \log R)+\partial_{\xi}^{3} R=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad R(\xi, \tau)=c e^{-(\xi-c \tau)^{2} / 4+1 / 2}
$$

## Justification of log-KdV

## Theorem (R. Carles-D.P, 2014)

For any $R_{0} \in X$ in the set

$$
X:=\left\{R \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}): \quad R \sqrt{|\log | R| |} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right\} .
$$

there exists a global solution $R \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ to the $\log -K d V$ equation such that

$$
\|R(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}} \leq\left\|R_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}, \quad E(R(\tau, \cdot)) \leq E\left(R_{0}\right), \quad \text { for all } \tau>0
$$

where

$$
E(R)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\left(R_{\xi}\right)^{2}-R^{2} \log |R|\right] d \xi
$$

## Justification of log-KdV

(1) Find the best coordinates to transform the problem.
(2) Check that the reduced model formally arises in the appropriate limit of the transformed equations.
(0) Define error terms to the leading-order terms and obtain residual equations.
(- Control the error terms from the residual equations in suitable norms by using the energy conservation, approximation estimates, and Gronwall inequality.
(0) Check that the reduced models have smooth solutions which are compatible with the estimates.

A way around the problem is to consider pre-compression with strictly positive solutions: $R(\tau, \xi) \geq R_{0}>0$ for every $(\tau, \xi)$.

## Justification of log-KdV

## Theorem (E. Dumas-D.P, 2014)

Let $R \in C^{0}\left(\left[0, \tau_{0}\right], H_{\text {loc }}^{s}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ be a solution of the log-KdV equation for some $s \geq 6$ and $\tau_{0}>0$ such that $R(t, \cdot) \geq R_{0}>0$ for $\tau \in\left[0, \tau_{0}\right]$. Then there exist $\epsilon_{0}>0$ and $C_{0}>0$ such that for every $\epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right)$, the unique solution $r \in C^{1}\left(\left[0, \tau_{0} / \epsilon^{3}\right], \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$ with appropriately choosen initial data satisfies

$$
\left\|r(t)-R\left(2 \sqrt{3} \epsilon(\cdot-t), \sqrt{3} \epsilon^{3} t\right)\right\|_{\ell^{2}} \leq C_{0} \epsilon^{3 / 2}, \quad t \in\left[0, \tau_{0} / \epsilon^{3}\right] .
$$

The approximation result between solutions on the grid and solutions on the line is given by

$$
\|u\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})} \leq C_{s} \varepsilon^{-1 / 2}\|U\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})},
$$

where $u_{j}=U(\varepsilon j)$ with $U \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for integer $s \geq 1$.

## Case Study 2: Modeling of transverse modulations



## KP-II limit for small-amplitude, long-scale, transversely modulated waves

There exist two versions of the two-dimensional generalization of the KdV equation:

$$
\text { (KP-I) } \quad \partial_{\xi}\left(\partial_{\tau} R+\alpha R \partial_{\xi} R+\frac{1}{24} \partial_{\xi}^{3} R\right)-\partial_{\eta}^{2} R=0
$$

and

$$
\text { (KP-II) } \quad \partial_{\xi}\left(\partial_{\tau} R+\alpha R \partial_{\xi} R+\frac{1}{24} \partial_{\xi}^{3} R\right)+\partial_{\eta}^{2} R=0
$$

For water waves, (KP-I) arises for problems with surface tension and (KP-II) arises for gravity waves. For Bose-Einstein condensates (defocusing Gross-Pitaevskii equation), only (KP-I) arises in the asymptotic reduction on the nonzero background.

For the FPU lattice on the square lattice, only (KP-II) arises in the asymptotic reduction.

## KP-I limit

Line solitary and periodic waves are unstable for KP-I and the perturbations evolve into two-dimensional solitons called lumps.



## KP-II limit

Line solitary and periodic waves are transversally stable for KP-II (Mizumachi, 2015; Haragus, Li, P, 2017), and form stable web patterns in the plane.



## Scalar 2D FPU model

$$
H=\sum_{(m, n)} \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}_{m, n}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{m+1, n}-q_{m, n}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \alpha\left(q_{m+1, n}-q_{m, n}\right)^{3}+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{2}\left(q_{m, n+1}-q_{m, n}\right)^{2}
$$

- Duncan-Eilbeck-Zakharov (1991) formally derived KP-II equation

$$
\partial_{\xi}\left(\partial_{\tau} R+\alpha R \partial_{\xi} R+\frac{1}{24} \partial_{\xi}^{3} R\right)+\partial_{\eta}^{2} R=0
$$

- Rigorous justification of the KP-II limit has been an open problem for 30 years!
- It was only justified recently: Gallone-Pasquali (Nonlinearity, 2021) on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$; Hristov-P (ZAMP, 2022) on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$; P-Schneider (SIAM J. Appl. Math., 2023) on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ for oblique propagation.


## Strain variables

The scalar model can be expressed in the strain variables as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{u}_{m, n}=w_{m+1, n}-w_{m, n} \\
\dot{V}_{m, n}=w_{m, n+1}-w_{m, n} \\
\dot{w}_{m, n}=V^{\prime}\left(u_{m, n}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(u_{m-1, n}\right)+V^{\prime}\left(v_{m, n}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(v_{m, n-1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $V^{\prime}(u)=u-u^{2}$ will be used for simplifications.
We can eliminate $w_{m, n}$ and get

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\ddot{u}_{m, n}= & V^{\prime}\left(u_{m+1, n}\right)-2 V^{\prime}\left(u_{m, n}\right)+V^{\prime}\left(u_{m-1, n}\right) \\
& +V^{\prime}\left(v_{m+1, n}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(v_{m+1, n-1}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(v_{m, n}\right)+V^{\prime}\left(v_{m, n-1}\right), \\
\ddot{V}_{m, n}= & V^{\prime}\left(v_{m, n}\right)-2 V^{\prime}\left(v_{m, n}\right)+V^{\prime}\left(v_{m, n-1}\right) \\
& +V^{\prime}\left(u_{m, n+1}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(u_{m-1, n+1}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(u_{m, n}\right)+V^{\prime}\left(u_{m-1, n}\right),
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

There exists still a compatibility condition between $u_{m, n}$ and $v_{m, n}$.

## Fourier transform

With Fourier transform the system converts into the form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t}^{2} \widehat{u}=-\left(\omega_{k}^{2}+\omega_{l}^{2}\right) \widehat{u}+\omega_{k}^{2}(\widehat{u} * \widehat{u})-\left(e^{-i k}-1\right)\left(1-e^{i l}\right)(\widehat{v} * \widehat{v}), \\
\partial_{t}^{2} \widehat{v}=-\left(\omega_{k}^{2}+\omega_{l}^{2}\right) \widehat{v}+\omega_{l}^{2}(\widehat{v} * \widehat{v})-\left(e^{-i l}-1\right)\left(1-e^{i k}\right)(\widehat{u} * \widehat{u}) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\omega_{k}^{2}:=2-2 \cos (k)$.
The compatibility condition between $u_{m, n}$ and $v_{m, n}$ can be expressed easier in the Fourier form as

$$
\left(e^{-i k}-1\right) \widehat{v}(k, l, t)=\left(e^{-i l}-1\right) \widehat{u}(k, l, t) .
$$

## Formal limit for arbitrary propagation direction

The leading order approximation for an arbitrary angle $\phi$ can be expressed by

$$
u_{m, n}(t)=\varepsilon^{2} A(X, Y, T), \quad v_{m, n}(t)=\varepsilon^{2} B(X, Y, T),
$$

where

$$
X=\varepsilon((\cos \phi) m+(\sin \phi) n-t), \quad Y=\varepsilon^{2}(-(\sin \phi) m+(\cos \phi) n), \quad T=\varepsilon^{3} t
$$

This yields the KP-II equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 \partial_{X} \partial_{T} A & =\frac{1}{12}\left[(\cos \phi)^{4}+(\sin \phi)^{4}\right] \partial_{X}^{4} A+\partial_{Y}^{2} A \\
& \left.-(\cos \phi)^{2} \partial_{X}^{2}\left(A^{2}\right)-(\sin \phi)(\cos \phi)\right) \partial_{X}^{2}\left(B^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the compatibility condition

$$
(\cos \phi) \partial_{X} B=(\sin \phi) \partial_{X} A
$$

up to the leading order.

## Justification result for $\phi=0$

## Theorem (Hristov-P, 2022)

Let $A \in C^{0}\left(\left[0, \tau_{0}\right], H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)$ be a solution to the $K P$-II equation with fixed integer $s \geq 9$, whose initial data satisfies $A_{0} \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, $\partial_{X}^{-2} \partial_{Y}^{2} A_{0} \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, and

$$
\partial_{X}^{-1} \partial_{Y}^{2}\left(\partial_{X}^{-2} \partial_{Y}^{2} A_{0}+A_{0}^{2}\right) \in H^{s-6}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

Then there exist $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and $C_{0}>0$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$, the unique solution of the 2D FPU system satisfies for $t \in\left[0, \tau_{0} \varepsilon^{-3}\right]$

$$
\left\|u_{m, n}(t)-\varepsilon^{2} A\left(\varepsilon(m-t), \varepsilon^{2} n, \varepsilon^{3} t\right)\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|v_{m, n}(t)\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|w_{m, n}(t)+\varepsilon^{2} A\left(\varepsilon(m-t), \varepsilon^{2} n, \varepsilon^{3} t\right)\right\|_{\ell^{2}} \leq C_{0} \varepsilon^{5 / 2} .
$$

The approximation result between solutions on the grid and solutions on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\|u\|_{\ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)} \leq C_{s} \varepsilon^{-3 / 2}\|U\|_{H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)},
$$

where $u_{j}=U\left(\varepsilon m, \varepsilon^{2} n\right)$ with $U \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for integer $s \geq 2$.

## Justification result for $\phi \neq 0$

We need to control solutions of the original KP-II equation with additional requirement:

$$
\partial_{X}^{-1} \partial_{Y}\left(A^{2}\right) \in H^{s-6}
$$

However, this is impossible on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ (L. Molinet, J.-C. Saut, and N. Tzvetkov, 2002).
On other hand, working on torus $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ (Bourgain, 1993), if the mean value of $A$ in $X$ is independent of $Y$, then $\partial_{X}^{-3} \partial_{Y}^{3} A$ is controllable in $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ and so is $\partial_{X}^{-1} \partial_{Y}\left(A^{2}\right)$.

As a result, we have justified the KP-II equation for an arbitrary direction of propagation on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$, but not on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ (P-Schneider, 2023). The justification result also extends to the line solitary waves (no transverse modulations) for an arbitrary direction of propagation (the KdV equation).

Case Study 3: The monoatomic FPU as a limit of a diatomic FPU


The Hamiltonian is

$$
H=\sum_{j \in 2 \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2} \dot{Q}_{j}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{2} \dot{q}_{j+1}^{2}+V\left(q_{j+1}-Q_{j}\right)+V\left(Q_{j}-q_{j-1}\right),
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is the mass ratio between light and heavy particles and $V^{\prime}(u)=u+u^{2}$ will be used.

## Formal limit of small-mass ratio

Equations of motion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{Q}_{j} & =V^{\prime}\left(q_{j+1}-Q_{j}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(Q_{j}-q_{j-1}\right), \\
\varepsilon^{2} \ddot{q}_{j+1} & =V^{\prime}\left(Q_{j+2}-q_{j+1}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(q_{j+1}-Q_{j}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $j \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$.
The small-mass limit $\varepsilon=0$ is satisfied if

$$
q_{j+1}=\frac{Q_{j+2}+Q_{j}}{2}
$$

for which the scalar FPU system arises:

$$
\ddot{Q}_{j}=V^{\prime}\left(\frac{Q_{j+2}-Q_{j}}{2}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(\frac{Q_{j}-Q_{j-2}}{2}\right) .
$$

K. Jayaprakash, Y. Starosvetsky, A. Vakakis, PRE 83 (2011) 11

## Solitary waves with and without exponentially small tails

Generally, the traveling solitary waves have oscillatory tails which are exponentially small in $\varepsilon$.
A. Hoffman, J. D. Wright (2017); T. Faver, J. D. Wright (2018); C. Lustri, M. Porter (2018)


## Solitary waves with and without exponentially small tails

However, for a sequence of special values of $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the traveling solitary waves are fully localized without oscillatory tails.
K. Jayaprakash, Y. Starosvetsky, A. Vakakis (2011); C. Lustri, M. Porter (2018)


## Justification result

## Theorem (P-Schneider, 2020)

Assume that $Q^{*} \in C^{1}\left(\left[0, T_{0}\right], \ell^{2}\right)$ is a suitable solution to the monoatomic FPU system

$$
\ddot{Q}_{j}=V^{\prime}\left(\frac{Q_{j+2}-Q_{j}}{2}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(\frac{Q_{j}-Q_{j-2}}{2}\right) .
$$

There exist $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and $C_{0}>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ if $[Q(0), q(0)] \in \ell^{2} \times \ell^{2}$ satisfy

$$
\sup _{j \in 2 \mathbb{Z}}\left|Q_{j}(0)-Q_{j}^{*}(0)\right|+\left|q_{j+1}(0)-\frac{1}{2}\left(Q_{j}^{*}(0)+Q_{j+2}^{*}(0)\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon,
$$

then the unique solution to the diatomic FPU system satisfies for every $t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ :

$$
\sup _{j \in 2 \mathbb{Z}}\left|Q_{j}(t)-Q_{j}^{*}(t)\right|+\left|q_{j+1}(t)-\frac{1}{2}\left(Q_{j}^{*}(t)+Q_{j+2}^{*}(t)\right)\right| \leq C_{0} \varepsilon .
$$

## Justification result

The approximation result is nontrivial since the right-hand side of the vector field is $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{-2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{Q}_{j} & =V^{\prime}\left(q_{j+1}-Q_{j}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(Q_{j}-q_{j-1}\right), \\
\ddot{q}_{j+1} & =\varepsilon^{-2}\left[V^{\prime}\left(Q_{j+2}-q_{j+1}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(q_{j+1}-Q_{j}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

If

$$
q_{j+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(Q_{j}+Q_{j+2}\right)+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)
$$

then Gronwall's inequlity gives only estimates on the time scale of $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$. The theorem ensures proximity on the natural time scale of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ for which dynamics of the FPU system is nontrivial.

## Justification result

(1) Find the best coordinates to transform the problem.
(2) Check that the reduced model formally arises in the appropriate limit of the transformed equations.
(0) Define error terms to the leading-order terms and obtain residual equations.
(- Control the error terms from the residual equations in suitable norms by using the energy conservation, approximation estimates, and Gronwall inequality.

- Check that the reduced models have smooth solutions which are compatible with the estimates.


## Proof of the justification result

1 Find the best coordinates to transform the problem.

We are using the coordnates:

$$
U_{j}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(Q_{j+2}-Q_{j}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad w_{j+1}:=q_{j+1}-\frac{1}{2}\left(Q_{j+2}+Q_{j}\right) .
$$

It turns out that the same choice of coordinates was made in A. Hoffman, J. D. Wright (2017).
The diatomic FPU system is now written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{U}_{j}+V^{\prime}\left(U_{j}\right)+w_{j+1}^{2} & =\frac{1}{2} V^{\prime}\left(U_{j+2}+w_{j+3}\right)+\frac{1}{2} V^{\prime}\left(U_{j-2}-w_{j-1}\right), \\
\varepsilon^{2} \ddot{w}_{j+1}+\left(2+\varepsilon^{2}\right) w_{j+1}\left(1+2 U_{j}\right) & =-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} V^{\prime}\left(U_{j+2}+w_{j+3}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} V^{\prime}\left(U_{j-2}-w_{j-1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $V^{\prime}(u)=u+u^{2}$ is used for simplicity.

## Proof of the justification result

2 Check that the reduced model formally arises in the appropriate limit of the transformed equations.

We have rewritten the diatomic FPU system in the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{U}_{j}+V^{\prime}\left(U_{j}\right)+w_{j+1}^{2} & =\frac{1}{2} V^{\prime}\left(U_{j+2}+w_{j+3}\right)+\frac{1}{2} V^{\prime}\left(U_{j-2}-w_{j-1}\right), \\
\varepsilon^{2} \ddot{w}_{j+1}+\left(2+\varepsilon^{2}\right) w_{j+1}\left(1+2 U_{j}\right) & =-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} V^{\prime}\left(U_{j+2}+w_{j+3}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} V^{\prime}\left(U_{j-2}-w_{j-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\varepsilon=0$ and $w_{j+1}=0$, then the strain variable $U_{j}$ satisfies the monoatomic FPU lattice

$$
\ddot{U}_{j}=\frac{1}{2} V^{\prime}\left(U_{j+2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} V^{\prime}\left(U_{j-2}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(U_{j}\right) .
$$

## Proof of the justification result

3 Define error terms to the leading-order terms and obtain residual equations.

We have rewritten the diatomic FPU system in the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{U}_{j}+V^{\prime}\left(U_{j}\right)+w_{j+1}^{2} & =\frac{1}{2} V^{\prime}\left(U_{j+2}+w_{j+3}\right)+\frac{1}{2} V^{\prime}\left(U_{j-2}-w_{j-1}\right) \\
\varepsilon^{2} \ddot{w}_{j+1}+\left(2+\varepsilon^{2}\right) w_{j+1}\left(1+2 U_{j}\right) & =-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} V^{\prime}\left(U_{j+2}+w_{j+3}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} V^{\prime}\left(U_{j-2}-w_{j-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\Psi$ satisfy

$$
\ddot{\Psi}_{j}=\frac{1}{2} V^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{j+2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} V^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{j-2}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{j}\right)
$$

The error terms are $U-\Psi$ and $w$. The residual terms are

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{U, j}=0, \quad \operatorname{Res}_{w, j}=-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} V^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{j+2}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} V^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{j-2}\right)
$$

## Proof of the justification result

4 Control the residual terms in suitable norm.

The residual terms are controlled by

$$
\sup _{t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]}\left\|\operatorname{Res}_{w}\right\|_{\ell^{2}} \leq C \varepsilon^{2}
$$

as long as $\psi \in C\left(\left[0, T_{0}\right], \ell^{2}\right)$.

## Proof of the justification result

4 Control the error term in suitable norm from the energy conservation

The error terms in the decomposition

$$
U_{j}=\psi_{j}+\varepsilon R_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{j+1}=\varepsilon v_{j+1} .
$$

are controlled from the energy function

$$
E(t)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in 2 \mathbb{Z}} \dot{R}_{j}^{2}+R_{j}^{2}+\varepsilon^{2} \dot{v}_{j+1}^{2}+2 v_{j+1}^{2}+2 \Psi_{j}\left(R_{j}^{2}+2 v_{j+1}^{2}\right)+4 \varepsilon R_{j} v_{j+1}^{2},
$$

as long as

$$
\sup _{t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]} \sup _{j \in 2 \mathbb{Z}}\left|\Psi_{j}(t)\right|<\frac{1}{4}
$$

## Proof of the justification result

4 Control the energy function from the balance equation and Gronwall's inequality.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d}{d t} E(t) \leq C_{1} E(t)^{1 / 2}+C_{2} E(t)+C_{3} \varepsilon E(t)^{3 / 2}, \quad t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right] . \\
E(t)^{1 / 2} \leq\left[E(0)^{1 / 2}+\left(2 C_{2}\right)^{-1} C_{1}\right] e^{2 C_{2} t}, \quad t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

as long as $\varepsilon E(t)^{1 / 2} \leq C_{2} / C_{3}$.

## Proof of the justification result

5 Check that the reduced models have smooth solutions which are compatible with the estimates.

We have assumed that $\Psi \in C^{1}\left(\left[0, T_{0}\right], \ell^{2}\right)$ is a solution of

$$
\ddot{\Psi}_{j}=\frac{1}{2} V^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{j+2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} V^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{j-2}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(\Psi_{j}\right)
$$

such that

$$
\sup _{t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]} \sup _{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\Psi_{j}(t)\right|<\frac{1}{4}
$$

Since the monoatomic system is Hamiltonian with the conserved energy

$$
H_{\mathrm{FPU}}=\sum_{j \in 2 \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2} \dot{\psi}_{j}^{2}+\Psi_{j}^{2}+\frac{2}{3} \psi_{j}^{3}
$$

the constraint is satisfied at least for sufficiently small initial data.

## Summary

- With three motivational examples, I have illustrated the justification analysis of obtaining nice integrable systems as reduction of non-integrable FPU systems.
- One of the major concerns is to verify that the reduced system admits nice smooth solutions which would justify the reduction.
- The other points to take home is that the approximation result should hold on times sufficiently long to observe nontrivial dynamics of the reduced system.
- The justification analysis relies on the choice of the energy function which always originates from the energy conservation of the original FPU system.

