# Edge-Localized States on Metric Graphs in the limit of large mass

#### **Dmitry Pelinovsky**,

Department of Mathematics, McMaster University http://dmpeli.math.mcmaster.ca

Collaborators:

**Gregory Berkolaiko**, Texas A & M University, USA **Jeremy Marzuola**, University of North Carolina, USA

EQUADIFF-2019, Leiden, The Netherlands, July 8-12, 2019

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Nonlinear Schrödinger equation on metric graphs



**A metric graph**  $\Gamma = \{E, V\}$  is given by a set of edges *E* and vertices *V*, with a metric structure on each edge.

Nonlinear Schrödinger equation on a graph  $\Gamma$ :

$$i\Psi_t = -\Delta \Psi - 2|\Psi|^2 \Psi, \quad x \in \Gamma,$$

where  $\Delta$  is the graph Laplacian and  $\Psi(t, x)$  is defined componentwise on edges subject to Neumann–Kirchhoff boundary conditions at vertices:

$$\begin{cases} \Psi(v) \text{ is continuous} & \text{for every } v \in V, \\ \sum_{e \sim v} \partial \Psi_e(v) = 0, & \text{for every } v \in V, \end{cases}$$

where  $e \sim v$  denotes all edges  $e \in E$  adjacent to  $v \in V$ .

#### Example: a star graph

A star graph is the union of *N* half-lines connected at a single vertex. For N = 2, the graph is the line  $\mathbb{R}$ . For N = 3, the graph is a *Y*-junction.



Function spaces are defined componentwise:

$$L^{2}(\Gamma) = L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{-}) \oplus \underbrace{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+}) \oplus \cdots \oplus L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})}_{(\text{N-1}) \text{ elements}},$$

subject to the Neumann-Kirchhoff conditions at a single vertex:

### NLS on the metric graph $\Gamma$

The Cauchy problem for the NLS flow:

$$\begin{cases} i\Psi_t = -\Delta \Psi - 2|\Psi|^2 \Psi, \\ \Psi|_{t=0} = \Psi_0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma. The Cauchy problem is locally and globally well-posed for  $\Psi_0 \in H^1_{\Gamma}$ . Moreover, the mass

$$Q(\Psi) = \|\Psi\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2$$

and the energy

$$E(\Psi) = \|\Psi'\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 - \|\Psi\|_{L^4(\Gamma)}^4,$$

are constants in time for  $\Psi \in C(\mathbb{R}, H^1_{\Gamma})$ .

#### NLS on the metric graph $\Gamma$

The Cauchy problem for the NLS flow:

$$\begin{cases} i\Psi_t = -\Delta \Psi - 2|\Psi|^2 \Psi, \\ \Psi|_{t=0} = \Psi_0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma. The Cauchy problem is locally and globally well-posed for  $\Psi_0 \in H^1_{\Gamma}$ . Moreover, the mass

$$Q(\Psi) = \|\Psi\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2$$

and the energy

$$E(\Psi) = \|\Psi'\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 - \|\Psi\|_{L^4(\Gamma)}^4,$$

are constants in time for  $\Psi \in C(\mathbb{R}, H^1_{\Gamma})$ .

 $E(\Psi)$  is coercive in  $H^1(\Gamma)$  thanks to Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality:

$$\|\Psi\|_{L^4(\Gamma)}^4 \le C_{\Gamma} \|\Psi'\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \|\Psi\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^3,$$

where  $C_{\Gamma} > 0$  depends on  $\Gamma$  only.

#### Ground state

Ground state is a standing wave of smallest energy *E* at fixed mass *Q*,

$$\mathcal{E}_q = \inf\{E(u) : u \in H^1_{\Gamma}, Q(u) = q\}.$$

Euler-Lagrange equation for the standing waves:

$$-\Delta\Phi - 2|\Phi|^2\Phi = \Lambda\Phi$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

where the Lagrange multiplier  $\Lambda$  defines  $\Psi(t, x) = \Phi(x)e^{-i\Lambda t}$ .

#### Ground state

Ground state is a standing wave of smallest energy *E* at fixed mass *Q*,

$$\mathcal{E}_q = \inf\{E(u) : u \in H^1_{\Gamma}, Q(u) = q\}.$$

Euler-Lagrange equation for the standing waves:

$$-\Delta\Phi - 2|\Phi|^2\Phi = \Lambda\Phi,$$

where the Lagrange multiplier  $\Lambda$  defines  $\Psi(t, x) = \Phi(x)e^{-i\Lambda t}$ .

Infimum  $\mathcal{E}_q$  exists for every q > 0 thanks to Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality.

Theorem. (Adami–Serra–Tilli, 2015) If  $\Gamma$  is unbounded and contains at least one half-line, then

$$\min_{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)} E(u; \mathbb{R}^+) \le \mathcal{E}_q \le \min_{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})} E(u; \mathbb{R})$$

Infimum may not be attained by any of the standing waves  $\Phi$  if the graph  $\Gamma$  is unbounded.

### Ground state on the unbounded graphs

Theorem. (Adami–Serra–Tilli, 2016) If  $\Gamma$  consists of only one half-line, then

$$\mathcal{E}_q < \min_{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})} E(u; \mathbb{R})$$

and the infimum is attained.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

### Ground state on the unbounded graphs

Theorem. (Adami–Serra–Tilli, 2016) If  $\Gamma$  consists of only one half-line, then

$$\mathcal{E}_q < \min_{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})} E(u; \mathbb{R})$$

and the infimum is attained.



If  $\Gamma$  consists of more than two half-lines and is *connective to infinity*, then

$$\mathcal{E}_q = \min_{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})} E(u; \mathbb{R})$$

and the infimum is not attained. The reason is topological. By the energy-decreasing symmetry rearrangements,

$$E(u;\Gamma) > E(\hat{u};\mathbb{R}) \ge \min_{\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})} E(u;\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{E}_q.$$

A minimizing sequence escapes to infinity along an unbounded edge.

## Application to the star graphs



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

Theorem. (Adami–Serra-Tilli, 2015) If  $N \ge 3$ , no ground state exists.

## Application to the star graphs



Theorem. (Adami–Serra-Tilli, 2015) If  $N \ge 3$ , no ground state exists.

However, there exists a standing wave called the half-soliton:

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{|\Lambda|} \operatorname{sech}(\sqrt{|\Lambda|}x), & x \in (-\infty, 0), \ j = 1, \\ \sqrt{|\Lambda|} \operatorname{sech}(\sqrt{|\Lambda|}x), & x \in (0, \infty), \ 2 \le j \le N. \end{bmatrix},$$
  
with  $\Lambda = -q^2/4$ .

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ ● □ ● ● ●

## Application to the star graphs



Theorem. (Adami–Serra-Tilli, 2015) If  $N \ge 3$ , no ground state exists.

with

However, there exists a standing wave called the half-soliton:

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{|\Lambda|} \operatorname{sech}(\sqrt{|\Lambda|}x), & x \in (-\infty, 0), \ j = 1, \\ \sqrt{|\Lambda|} \operatorname{sech}(\sqrt{|\Lambda|}x), & x \in (0, \infty), \ 2 \le j \le N. \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\Lambda = -q^2/4.$$

Theorem. (Kairzhan–P., JDE, 2018) Half-soliton is a saddle point of energy E at fixed mass Q. This saddle point is unstable in the NLS time flow.

# Main goals: the limit of large mass

- Classify standing waves of NLS on a general metric graph  $\Gamma$ .
- Develop rigorous approximations of standing waves of NLS.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Characterize existence of the ground state of energy.

# Main goals: the limit of large mass

- Classify standing waves of NLS on a general metric graph  $\Gamma$ .
- Develop rigorous approximations of standing waves of NLS.
- Characterize existence of the ground state of energy.

Theorem. (Adami–Serra–Tilli, 2019) For each finite edge e of the unbounded graph  $\Gamma$ , there exists a local minimizer  $\Phi$  of energy E at fixed (large) mass Q such that  $\|\Phi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)} = \|\Phi\|_{L^{\infty}(e)}$ . Each minimizer is orbitally stable under the NLS time flow.

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

# Main goals: the limit of large mass

- Classify standing waves of NLS on a general metric graph  $\Gamma$ .
- Develop rigorous approximations of standing waves of NLS.
- Characterize existence of the ground state of energy.

Theorem. (Adami–Serra–Tilli, 2019) For each finite edge e of the unbounded graph  $\Gamma$ , there exists a local minimizer  $\Phi$  of energy E at fixed (large) mass Q such that  $\|\Phi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)} = \|\Phi\|_{L^{\infty}(e)}$ . Each minimizer is orbitally stable under the NLS time flow.

We identify a global minimizer among these local minimizers; both for bounded and unbounded graphs.

- We work only in the cubic NLS case.
- We do not claim orbital stability of these local minimizers.

## Example: Dumbbell Graph



The PDE problem can be formulated in terms of components:

$$\Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{-}(x), & x \in I_{-} := [-L - 2\pi, -L], \\ \psi_{0}(x), & x \in I_{0} := [-L, L], \\ \psi_{+}(x), & x \in I_{+} := [L, L + 2\pi], \end{bmatrix},$$

where L is half-length of the central edge and  $\pi$  is half-length of the loop.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ ●目 ● のへぐ

# Bifurcation diagram: small mass $Q(\Psi) = q$



Figure: The bifurcation diagram for  $L = 2\pi$  (left) and  $L = \pi/2$  (right).

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Symmetric state has larger mass than the asymmetric state. The asymmetric state is the ground state of NLS on the dumbbell graph. (Marzuola–P, 2016) (Goodman, 2018)

## Bifurcation diagram: large mass $Q(\Psi) = \mu$



Figure: The bifurcation diagram for  $L = 2\pi$  (left) and  $L = \pi/2$  (right).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Symmetric state has smaller mass than the asymmetric state. Which state is the ground state of NLS on the dumbbell graph?

## Stationary states: large mass $Q(\Psi) = \mu$



Figure: Comparison of the two stationary states (solid line) with the solitary wave (dots) for  $L = \pi/2$  and  $\Lambda = -10.0$ .

Both stationary states are close to the NLS solitary wave:

$$\phi_{\infty}(x) = \sqrt{|\Lambda|} \operatorname{sech}(\sqrt{|\Lambda|}x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

with mass  $Q(\phi_{\infty}) = 2\sqrt{|\Lambda|}$ .

## Comparison Theorem in the limit of large mass

Question: Assume there exist two monotonically decreasing branches  $\Lambda \mapsto Q$  which satisfy

$$|\mathcal{Q}_1(\Lambda) - \mathcal{Q}_2(\Lambda)| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \Lambda \to -\infty.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Which branch gives minimum of energy  $\mathcal{E}_q$  for fixed mass  $\mathcal{Q} = q$ ?

## Comparison Theorem in the limit of large mass

Question: Assume there exist two monotonically decreasing branches  $\Lambda \mapsto Q$  which satisfy

$$|\mathcal{Q}_1(\Lambda) - \mathcal{Q}_2(\Lambda)| o 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \Lambda o -\infty.$$

Which branch gives minimum of energy  $\mathcal{E}_q$  for fixed mass  $\mathcal{Q} = q$ ?

Theorem (Berkolaiko–Marzuola–P, 2019) If  $Q_1(\Lambda) < Q_2(\Lambda)$  for every  $\Lambda \in (-\infty, \Lambda_0)$ , then  $Q_1(\Lambda_1) = Q_2(\Lambda_2) = q \implies \mathcal{E}_1(\Lambda_1) > \mathcal{E}_2(\Lambda_2)$ ,

for every  $q \gg 1$ .

### Comparison Theorem in the limit of large mass

Question: Assume there exist two monotonically decreasing branches  $\Lambda \mapsto Q$  which satisfy

$$|\mathcal{Q}_1(\Lambda) - \mathcal{Q}_2(\Lambda)| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \Lambda \to -\infty.$$

Which branch gives minimum of energy  $\mathcal{E}_q$  for fixed mass  $\mathcal{Q} = q$ ?

Theorem (Berkolaiko–Marzuola–P, 2019) If  $Q_1(\Lambda) < Q_2(\Lambda)$  for every  $\Lambda \in (-\infty, \Lambda_0)$ , then  $Q_1(\Lambda_1) = Q_2(\Lambda_2) = q \implies \mathcal{E}_1(\Lambda_1) > \mathcal{E}_2(\Lambda_2)$ , for every  $q \gg 1$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  Asymmetric state is the ground state on the dumbbell graph.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### More about the Comparison Theorem

Assume  $\Phi \in H^1_{\Gamma}$  is a critical point of  $E(u) - \Lambda Q(u)$  for the Lagrange multiplier  $\Lambda < 0$ . Set  $Q(\Lambda) = Q(\Phi)$  and  $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda) = E(\Phi)$ . Then,

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}}{d\Lambda} = \Lambda \frac{d\mathcal{Q}}{d\Lambda}.$$



• If  $\Lambda_1 < \Lambda_2$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_2(\Lambda_2) = \mathcal{Q}_1(\Lambda_1) = q$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_1(\Lambda_1) > \mathcal{E}_2(\Lambda_2)$ .

#### Numerical example: ground state in the loop for $L < \pi$



Figure: The generalized dumbbell graph (top left), the Q vs  $\Lambda$  plot bifurcating from linear theory (top right), the Q vs  $\Lambda$  plot in the large mass limit (bottom left), and the  $\mathcal{E}$  vs. Q plot for large Q (bottom right).

## Numerical example: ground state on the edge for $L > \pi$



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

## Main result for bounded graphs

#### Theorem (Berkolaiko–Marzuola–P, 2019)

Consider a bounded graph  $\Gamma$  with finitely many edges of finite lengths at each vertex point. The ground state localizes at the following edge of the graph  $\Gamma$ :

- (i) a pendant (terminal edge) of the longest length; in case of two edges of the same longest length, a pendant with the lowest degree of the vertex.
- (ii) If (i) is void, a loop of the shortest length connected with one edge.
- (iii) If (i)–(ii) are void, a loop connected with two edges.
- (iv) If (i)–(iii) are void, an edge (either a loop connected with  $N \ge 3$  edges or an internal edge connected with  $N_- \ge 2$  and  $N_+ \ge 2$  edges) of the longest length; in case of two edges of the same length, an edge for which

$$\frac{N-2}{N+2}$$
 or  $\sqrt{\frac{(N_{-}-1)(N_{+}-1)}{(N_{-}+1)(N_{+}+1)}}$ 

is minimal.

## Main result for unbounded graphs

#### Theorem (Berkolaiko–Marzuola–P, 2019)

Consider an unbounded graph  $\Gamma$  with finitely many edges at each vertex point with at least one edge as a half-line. The ground state exists and localizes at the following edge of the graph  $\Gamma$ :

- (i) a pendant (terminal edge) of the longest length; in case of two edges of the same longest length, a pendant with the lowest degree of the vertex.
- (ii) If (i) is void, a loop of the shortest length connected with one edge.

The ground state does not exist if the graph  $\Gamma$  does not have pendants or loops connected with one or two edges.

**Remark:** If (i)–(ii) are void but the graph  $\Gamma$  has a loop connected with two edges, the existence of the ground state is inconclusive at the leading order (exponentially small in  $\mu$ ) and needs separate consideration.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Analysis in the large mass limit

Let  $\Lambda = -\mu^2 < 0$  and rescale solutions of

$$(-\Delta + \mu^2)\Phi = 2|\Phi|^2\Phi,$$

with the scaling transformation

$$\Phi(x) = \mu \Psi(z), \quad z = \mu x.$$

The stationary NLS equation becomes

$$(-\Delta + 1)\Psi = 2|\Psi|^2\Psi,$$

on the graph  $\Gamma_{\mu}$  where all edge lengths are scaled by  $\mu$ .

Pick an edge  $e \in \Gamma_{\mu}$  and declare  $\Gamma_{\mu}^{c} := \Gamma_{\mu} \setminus e$  be the rest of the graph with Neumann–Kirchhoff conditions at other vertices and only Dirichlet conditions at the boundary vertices *B*.

## Dirichlet-to-Neumann map



Figure: A graph with boundary vertices *B* marked as empty squares. Arrows indicate the outgoing derivatives of the eigenfunction in the Neumann data.

The truncated boundary-value problem:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta + 1) \Psi = 2|\Psi|^2 \Psi, & \text{on every } e \in \Gamma^c_{\mu}, \\ \Psi \text{ satisfies NK conditions } & \text{for every } v \in V \setminus B, \\ \Psi(v_j) = p_j, & \text{for every } v_j \in B. \end{cases}$$

Neumann data is obtained from the outward derivatives:

$$q_j := \sum_{e \sim v_j} \partial u_e(v_j)$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

# Small solution on $\Gamma^c_{\mu}$

Lemma

There are  $C_0 > 0$ ,  $p_0 > 0$  and  $\mu_0 > 0$  such that for every  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_{|B|})$ with  $\|\mathbf{p}\| < p_0$  and every  $\mu > \mu_0$ , there exists a unique solution  $\Psi \in H^2(\Gamma_{\mu})$ satisfying

$$\|\Psi\|_{H^2(\Gamma_\mu)} \le C_0 \|\mathbf{p}\|.$$

and

$$|q_j - d_j p_j| \le C_0 \left( \|\mathbf{p}\| e^{-\mu \ell_{\min}} + \|\mathbf{p}\|^3 
ight),$$

where  $d_j$  is the degree of the *j*-th boundary vertex and  $\ell_{\min}$  is the length of the shortest edge in  $\Gamma$ . Moreover, the Neumann data **q** is  $C^1$  w.r.t. **p** and  $\mu$ .

#### Large solution on the edge e



Figure: Top: phase portrait for the second-order equation  $-\Psi'' + \Psi - 2\Psi^3 = 0$ . Bottom: typical solutions with initial conditions  $\Psi'(0) = 0$ .

#### Large solution on the edge e

General solution is given in terms of the elliptic functions:

$$\Psi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2-k^2}} \operatorname{dn}\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{2-k^2}}; k\right), \quad k \in (0, \sqrt{2}).$$

#### Lemma

Consider an edge [0, L] with the Neumann condition at z = 0 and the boundary vertex at  $z = L = \mu \ell$ . There is an interval  $(k_-, k_+)$  with

$$k_{\pm} = 1 \pm 8e^{-2L} + \mathcal{O}\left(Le^{-4L}\right) \quad \text{as} \quad L \to \infty,$$

such that for every  $k \in (k_-, k_+)$  the solution  $\Psi$  satisfies

$$\Psi(z) > 0, \quad \Psi'(z) < 0, \quad z \in (0, L)$$

and the boundary values are given asymptotically as  $L \rightarrow \infty$  by

$$\begin{cases} p_L := \Psi(L) = 2e^{-L} - \frac{1}{4}(k-1)e^L + \mathcal{O}\left(Le^{-3L}\right), \\ q_L := \Psi'(L) = -2e^{-L} - \frac{1}{4}(k-1)e^L + \mathcal{O}\left(Le^{-3L}\right). \end{cases}$$

The boundary values are  $C^1$  functions with respect to k.

#### Three possible connections between $\Gamma^c$ and the edge $e \in \Gamma$



Figure: A single edge of a finite length can be connected to the remainder of the graph (shown in dashed lines) in three different ways.

For the pendant edge with the boundary vertex of degree N + 1, we get

$$p = p_L, \quad q = -q_L, \quad L = \mu \ell.$$

Then, by the estimate on the small solution on  $\Gamma^c_{\mu}$ , we get

$$-q_L = Np_L + \text{remainder}.$$

<ロト < 同ト < 回ト < 回ト = 三日 = 三日

## Construction of the edge-localized solutions

#### Lemma

The solution on the pendant edge with the boundary vertex of degree N + 1 is described by

$$\Psi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2-k^2}} \mathrm{dn}\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{2-k^2}};k\right),\,$$

with

$$k = 1 + 8 \frac{N-1}{N+1} e^{-2\mu\ell} + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2\mu\ell-\mu\ell_{\min}}\right),$$

where  $\ell_{\min}$  is the length of the shortest edge in  $\Gamma^c$ . The corresponding solution  $\Phi \in H^2_{\Gamma}$  satisfies

$$\|\Phi\|_{L^2(\Gamma^c)}^2 \le C\mu e^{-2\mu\ell}.$$

whereas the mass and energy integrals  $Q := Q(\Phi)$  and  $\mathcal{E} := E(\Phi)$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} &= \mu - 8 \frac{N-1}{N+1} \mu^2 \ell e^{-2\mu\ell} + \mathcal{O}\left(\mu e^{-2\mu\ell}\right), \\ \mathcal{E} &= -\frac{1}{3} \mu^3 + \mathcal{O}\left(\mu^4 e^{-2\mu\ell}\right). \end{aligned}$$

## Main result for bounded graphs

#### Theorem (Berkolaiko–Marzuola–P, 2019)

Consider a bounded graph  $\Gamma$  with finitely many edges of finite lengths at each vertex point. The ground state localizes at the following edge of the graph  $\Gamma$ :

- (i) a pendant (terminal edge) of the longest length; in case of two edges of the same longest length, a pendant with the lowest degree of the vertex.
- (ii) If (i) is void, a loop of the shortest length connected with one edge.
- (iii) If (i)–(ii) are void, a loop connected with two edges.
- (iv) If (i)–(iii) are void, an edge (either a loop connected with  $N \ge 3$  edges or an internal edge connected with  $N_- \ge 2$  and  $N_+ \ge 2$  edges) of the longest length; in case of two edges of the same length, an edge for which

$$\frac{N-2}{N+2}$$
 or  $\sqrt{\frac{(N_{-}-1)(N_{+}-1)}{(N_{-}+1)(N_{+}+1)}}$ 

is minimal.

## Summary

Our construction of edge-localized states in the large mass limit is based on:

- Explicit solution on each edge in terms of elliptic functions.
- Surgery technique and construction of Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on the rest of the graph.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

- Implicit function theorem for  $-q_L = Np_L + \text{remainder}$ .
- Comparison theorem.

## Summary

Our construction of edge-localized states in the large mass limit is based on:

- Explicit solution on each edge in terms of elliptic functions.
- Surgery technique and construction of Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on the rest of the graph.
- Implicit function theorem for  $-q_L = Np_L + \text{remainder}$ .
- Comparison theorem.

Further remarks:

Applications to bounded and periodic graphs are possible beyond the symmetric rearrangement theory of Adami-Serra-Tilli.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 Explicit solution in terms of elliptic functions is also available for quintic nonlinearity

## Summary

Our construction of edge-localized states in the large mass limit is based on:

- Explicit solution on each edge in terms of elliptic functions.
- Surgery technique and construction of Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on the rest of the graph.
- Implicit function theorem for  $-q_L = Np_L + \text{remainder}$ .
- Comparison theorem.

Further remarks:

- Applications to bounded and periodic graphs are possible beyond the symmetric rearrangement theory of Adami-Serra-Tilli.
- Explicit solution in terms of elliptic functions is also available for quintic nonlinearity

#### Thank you! Questions ???

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <