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## Nonlinear Schrödinger equation on metric graphs



> A metric graph $\Gamma=\{E, V\}$ is given by a set of edges $E$ and vertices $V$, with a metric structure on each edge.

Nonlinear Schrödinger equation on a graph $\Gamma$ :

$$
i \Psi_{t}=-\Delta \Psi-2|\Psi|^{2} \Psi, \quad x \in \Gamma
$$

where $\Delta$ is the graph Laplacian and $\Psi(t, x)$ is defined componentwise on edges subject to Neumann-Kirchhoff boundary conditions at vertices:

$$
\begin{cases}\Psi(v) \text { is continuous } & \text { for every } v \in V \\ \sum_{e \sim v} \partial \Psi_{e}(v)=0, & \text { for every } v \in V\end{cases}
$$

where $e \sim v$ denotes all edges $e \in E$ adjacent to $v \in V$.

## Example: a star graph

A star graph is the union of $N$ half-lines connected at a single vertex. For $N=2$, the graph is the line $\mathbb{R}$. For $N=3$, the graph is a $Y$-junction.


Function spaces are defined componentwise:

$$
L^{2}(\Gamma)=L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{-}\right) \oplus \underbrace{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)}_{(\mathbb{N}-1) \text { elements }},
$$

subject to the Neumann-Kirchhoff conditions at a single vertex:

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{\Gamma}^{1}:=\left\{\Psi \in H^{1}(\Gamma): \quad \psi_{1}(0)=\psi_{2}(0)=\cdots=\psi_{N}(0)\right\} \\
H_{\Gamma}^{2}:=\left\{\Psi \in H^{2}(\Gamma) \cap H_{\Gamma}^{1}: \quad \psi_{1}^{\prime}(0)=\sum_{j=2}^{N} \psi_{j}^{\prime}(0)\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

## NLS on the metric graph $\Gamma$

The Cauchy problem for the NLS flow:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \Psi_{t}=-\Delta \Psi-2|\Psi|^{2} \Psi \\
\left.\Psi\right|_{t=0}=\Psi_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma. The Cauchy problem is locally and globally well-posed for $\Psi_{0} \in H_{\Gamma}^{1}$. Moreover, the mass

$$
Q(\Psi)=\|\Psi\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2}
$$

and the energy

$$
E(\Psi)=\left\|\Psi^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2}-\|\Psi\|_{L^{4}(\Gamma)}^{4},
$$

are constants in time for $\Psi \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, H_{\Gamma}^{1}\right)$.
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$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i \Psi_{t}=-\Delta \Psi-2|\Psi|^{2} \Psi \\
\left.\Psi\right|_{t=0}=\Psi_{0} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma. The Cauchy problem is locally and globally well-posed for $\Psi_{0} \in H_{\Gamma}^{1}$. Moreover, the mass

$$
Q(\Psi)=\|\Psi\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2}
$$

and the energy

$$
E(\Psi)=\left\|\Psi^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2}-\|\Psi\|_{L^{4}(\Gamma)}^{4},
$$

are constants in time for $\Psi \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, H_{\Gamma}^{1}\right)$.
$E(\Psi)$ is coercive in $H^{1}(\Gamma)$ thanks to Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality:

$$
\|\Psi\|_{L^{4}(\Gamma)}^{4} \leq C_{\Gamma}\left\|\Psi^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}\|\Psi\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{3}
$$

where $C_{\Gamma}>0$ depends on $\Gamma$ only.

## Ground state

Ground state is a standing wave of smallest energy $E$ at fixed mass $Q$,

$$
\mathcal{E}_{q}=\inf \left\{E(u): \quad u \in H_{\Gamma}^{1}, \quad Q(u)=q\right\} .
$$

Euler-Lagrange equation for the standing waves:

$$
-\Delta \Phi-2|\Phi|^{2} \Phi=\Lambda \Phi,
$$

where the Lagrange multiplier $\Lambda$ defines $\Psi(t, x)=\Phi(x) e^{-i \Lambda t}$.
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Euler-Lagrange equation for the standing waves:

$$
-\Delta \Phi-2|\Phi|^{2} \Phi=\Lambda \Phi
$$

where the Lagrange multiplier $\Lambda$ defines $\Psi(t, x)=\Phi(x) e^{-i \Lambda t}$.
Infimum $\mathcal{E}_{q}$ exists for every $q>0$ thanks to Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality.
Theorem. (Adami-Serra-Tilli, 2015) If $\Gamma$ is unbounded and contains at least one half-line, then

$$
\min _{\phi \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} E\left(u ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right) \leq \mathcal{E}_{q} \leq \min _{\phi \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})} E(u ; \mathbb{R})
$$

Infimum may not be attained by any of the standing waves $\Phi$ if the graph $\Gamma$ is unbounded.

## Ground state on the unbounded graphs

Theorem. (Adami-Serra-Tilli, 2016) If $\Gamma$ consists of only one half-line, then

$$
\mathcal{E}_{q}<\min _{\phi \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})} E(u ; \mathbb{R})
$$

and the infimum is attained.


## Ground state on the unbounded graphs

Theorem. (Adami-Serra-Tilli, 2016) If $\Gamma$ consists of only one half-line, then

$$
\mathcal{E}_{q}<\min _{\phi \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})} E(u ; \mathbb{R})
$$

and the infimum is attained.


If $\Gamma$ consists of more than two half-lines and is connective to infinity, then

$$
\mathcal{E}_{q}=\min _{\phi \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})} E(u ; \mathbb{R})
$$

and the infimum is not attained. The reason is topological. By the energy-decreasing symmetry rearrangements,

$$
E(u ; \Gamma)>E(\hat{u} ; \mathbb{R}) \geq \min _{\phi \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})} E(u ; \mathbb{R})=\mathcal{E}_{q}
$$

A minimizing sequence escapes to infinity along an unbounded edge.

## Application to the star graphs
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If $N \geq 3$, no ground state exists.
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\end{array}\right]
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with $\Lambda=-q^{2} / 4$.
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$$
\Phi(x)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\sqrt{|\Lambda|} \operatorname{sech}(\sqrt{|\Lambda|} x), & x \in(-\infty, 0), \quad j=1 \\
\sqrt{|\Lambda|} \operatorname{sech}(\sqrt{|\Lambda| x}), & x \in(0, \infty), \quad 2 \leq j \leq N .
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $\Lambda=-q^{2} / 4$.
Theorem. (Kairzhan-P., JDE, 2018) Half-soliton is a saddle point of energy $E$ at fixed mass $Q$. This saddle point is unstable in the NLS time flow.

## Main goals: the limit of large mass

- Classify standing waves of NLS on a general metric graph $\Gamma$.
- Develop rigorous approximations of standing waves of NLS.
- Characterize existence of the ground state of energy.
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- Classify standing waves of NLS on a general metric graph $\Gamma$.
- Develop rigorous approximations of standing waves of NLS.
- Characterize existence of the ground state of energy.

Theorem. (Adami-Serra-Tilli, 2019) For each finite edge $e$ of the unbounded graph $\Gamma$, there exists a local minimizer $\Phi$ of energy $E$ at fixed (large) mass $Q$ such that $\|\Phi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)}=\|\Phi\|_{L^{\infty}(e)}$. Each minimizer is orbitally stable under the NLS time flow.

- We identify a global minimizer among these local minimizers; both for bounded and unbounded graphs.
- We work only in the cubic NLS case.
- We do not claim orbital stability of these local minimizers.


## Example: Dumbbell Graph



The PDE problem can be formulated in terms of components:

$$
\Psi=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{-}(x), & x \in I_{-}:=[-L-2 \pi,-L], \\
\psi_{0}(x), & x \in I_{0}:=[-L, L], \\
\psi_{+}(x), & x \in I_{+}:=[L, L+2 \pi],
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $L$ is half-length of the central edge and $\pi$ is half-length of the loop.

## Bifurcation diagram: small mass $Q(\Psi)=q$




Figure: The bifurcation diagram for $L=2 \pi$ (left) and $L=\pi / 2$ (right).

Symmetric state has larger mass than the asymmetric state.
The asymmetric state is the ground state of NLS on the dumbbell graph. (Marzuola-P, 2016) (Goodman, 2018)

## Bifurcation diagram: large mass $Q(\Psi)=\mu$




Figure: The bifurcation diagram for $L=2 \pi$ (left) and $L=\pi / 2$ (right).

Symmetric state has smaller mass than the asymmetric state. Which state is the ground state of NLS on the dumbbell graph?

## Stationary states: large mass $Q(\Psi)=\mu$




Figure: Comparison of the two stationary states (solid line) with the solitary wave (dots) for $L=\pi / 2$ and $\Lambda=-10.0$.

Both stationary states are close to the NLS solitary wave:

$$
\phi_{\infty}(x)=\sqrt{|\Lambda|} \operatorname{sech}(\sqrt{|\Lambda|} x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

with mass $Q\left(\phi_{\infty}\right)=2 \sqrt{|\Lambda|}$.

## Comparison Theorem in the limit of large mass

Question: Assume there exist two monotonically decreasing branches $\Lambda \mapsto \mathcal{Q}$ which satisfy

$$
\left|\mathcal{Q}_{1}(\Lambda)-\mathcal{Q}_{2}(\Lambda)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad \Lambda \rightarrow-\infty .
$$

Which branch gives minimum of energy $\mathcal{E}_{q}$ for fixed mass $\mathcal{Q}=q$ ?
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Theorem (Berkolaiko-Marzuola-P, 2019)
If $\mathcal{Q}_{1}(\Lambda)<\mathcal{Q}_{2}(\Lambda)$ for every $\Lambda \in\left(-\infty, \Lambda_{0}\right)$, then

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{1}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)=\mathcal{Q}_{2}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)=q \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)>\mathcal{E}_{2}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right),
$$

for every $q \gg 1$.
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Theorem (Berkolaiko-Marzuola-P, 2019)
If $\mathcal{Q}_{1}(\Lambda)<\mathcal{Q}_{2}(\Lambda)$ for every $\Lambda \in\left(-\infty, \Lambda_{0}\right)$, then

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{1}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)=\mathcal{Q}_{2}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)=q \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)>\mathcal{E}_{2}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right),
$$

for every $q \gg 1$.
$\Rightarrow$ Asymmetric state is the ground state on the dumbbell graph.

## More about the Comparison Theorem

Assume $\Phi \in H_{\Gamma}^{1}$ is a critical point of $E(u)-\Lambda Q(u)$ for the Lagrange multiplier $\Lambda<0$. Set $\mathcal{Q}(\Lambda)=Q(\Phi)$ and $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda)=E(\Phi)$. Then,

$$
\frac{d \mathcal{E}}{d \Lambda}=\Lambda \frac{d \mathcal{Q}}{d \Lambda}
$$



- If $\Lambda_{1}<\Lambda_{2}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{2}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)=\mathcal{Q}_{1}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)=q$, then $\mathcal{E}_{1}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)>\mathcal{E}_{2}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)$.


## Numerical example: ground state in the loop for $L<\pi$

The Graph





Figure: The generalized dumbbell graph (top left), the $\mathcal{Q}$ vs $\Lambda$ plot bifurcating from linear theory (top right), the $\mathcal{Q}$ vs $\Lambda$ plot in the large mass limit (bottom left), and the $\mathcal{E}$ vs. $\mathcal{Q}$ plot for large $\mathcal{Q}$ (bottom right).

Numerical example: ground state on the edge for $L>\pi$





## Main result for bounded graphs

## Theorem (Berkolaiko-Marzuola-P, 2019)

Consider a bounded graph $\Gamma$ with finitely many edges of finite lengths at each vertex point. The ground state localizes at the following edge of the graph $\Gamma$ :
(i) a pendant (terminal edge) of the longest length; in case of two edges of the same longest length, a pendant with the lowest degree of the vertex.
(ii) If (i) is void, a loop of the shortest length connected with one edge.
(iii) If (i)-(ii) are void, a loop connected with two edges.
(iv) If (i)-(iii) are void, an edge (either a loop connected with $N \geq 3$ edges or an internal edge connected with $N_{-} \geq 2$ and $N_{+} \geq 2$ edges) of the longest length; in case of two edges of the same length, an edge for which

$$
\frac{N-2}{N+2} \quad \text { or } \quad \sqrt{\frac{\left(N_{-}-1\right)\left(N_{+}-1\right)}{\left(N_{-}+1\right)\left(N_{+}+1\right)}}
$$

is minimal.

## Main result for unbounded graphs

## Theorem (Berkolaiko-Marzuola-P, 2019)

Consider an unbounded graph $\Gamma$ with finitely many edges at each vertex point with at least one edge as a half-line. The ground state exists and localizes at the following edge of the graph $\Gamma$ :
(i) a pendant (terminal edge) of the longest length; in case of two edges of the same longest length, a pendant with the lowest degree of the vertex.
(ii) If (i) is void, a loop of the shortest length connected with one edge. The ground state does not exist if the graph $\Gamma$ does not have pendants or loops connected with one or two edges.

Remark: If (i)-(ii) are void but the graph $\Gamma$ has a loop connected with two edges, the existence of the ground state is inconclusive at the leading order (exponentially small in $\mu$ ) and needs separate consideration.

## Analysis in the large mass limit

Let $\Lambda=-\mu^{2}<0$ and rescale solutions of

$$
\left(-\Delta+\mu^{2}\right) \Phi=2|\Phi|^{2} \Phi
$$

with the scaling transformation

$$
\Phi(x)=\mu \Psi(z), \quad z=\mu x .
$$

The stationary NLS equation becomes

$$
(-\Delta+1) \Psi=2|\Psi|^{2} \Psi
$$

on the graph $\Gamma_{\mu}$ where all edge lengths are scaled by $\mu$.
Pick an edge $e \in \Gamma_{\mu}$ and declare $\Gamma_{\mu}^{c}:=\Gamma_{\mu} \backslash e$ be the rest of the graph with Neumann-Kirchhoff conditions at other vertices and only Dirichlet conditions at the boundary vertices $B$.

## Dirichlet-to-Neumann map



Figure: A graph with boundary vertices $B$ marked as empty squares. Arrows indicate the outgoing derivatives of the eigenfunction in the Neumann data.

The truncated boundary-value problem:

$$
\begin{cases}(-\Delta+1) \Psi=2|\Psi|^{2} \Psi, & \text { on every } e \in \Gamma_{\mu}^{c} \\ \Psi \text { satisfies NK conditions } & \text { for every } v \in V \backslash B \\ \Psi\left(v_{j}\right)=p_{j}, & \text { for every } v_{j} \in B\end{cases}
$$

Neumann data is obtained from the outward derivatives:

$$
q_{j}:=\sum_{e \sim v_{j}} \partial u_{e}\left(v_{j}\right)
$$

## Small solution on $\Gamma_{\mu}^{c}$

## Lemma

There are $C_{0}>0, p_{0}>0$ and $\mu_{0}>0$ such that for every $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{|B|}\right)$ with $\|\mathbf{p}\|<p_{0}$ and every $\mu>\mu_{0}$, there exists a unique solution $\Psi \in H^{2}\left(\Gamma_{\mu}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\|\Psi\|_{H^{2}\left(\Gamma_{\mu}\right)} \leq C_{0}\|\mathbf{p}\| .
$$

and

$$
\left|q_{j}-d_{j} p_{j}\right| \leq C_{0}\left(\|\mathbf{p}\| e^{-\mu \ell_{\min }}+\|\mathbf{p}\|^{3}\right),
$$

where $d_{j}$ is the degree of the $j$-th boundary vertex and $\ell_{\min }$ is the length of the shortest edge in $\Gamma$. Moreover, the Neumann data $\mathbf{q}$ is $C^{1}$ w.r.t. $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mu$.

## Large solution on the edge $e$



Figure: Top: phase portrait for the second-order equation $-\Psi^{\prime \prime}+\Psi-2 \Psi^{3}=0$. Bottom: typical solutions with initial conditions $\Psi^{\prime}(0)=0$.

## Large solution on the edge $e$

General solution is given in terms of the elliptic functions:

$$
\Psi(z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2-k^{2}}} \operatorname{dn}\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{2-k^{2}}} ; k\right), \quad k \in(0, \sqrt{2}) .
$$

## Lemma

Consider an edge $[0, L]$ with the Neumann condition at $z=0$ and the boundary vertex at $z=L=\mu \ell$. There is an interval $\left(k_{-}, k_{+}\right)$with

$$
k_{ \pm}=1 \pm 8 e^{-2 L}+\mathcal{O}\left(L e^{-4 L}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad L \rightarrow \infty,
$$

such that for every $k \in\left(k_{-}, k_{+}\right)$the solution $\Psi$ satisfies

$$
\Psi(z)>0, \quad \Psi^{\prime}(z)<0, \quad z \in(0, L)
$$

and the boundary values are given asymptotically as $L \rightarrow \infty$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
p_{L} & :=\Psi(L)=2 e^{-L}-\frac{1}{4}(k-1) e^{L}+\mathcal{O}\left(L e^{-3 L}\right) \\
q_{L} & :=\Psi^{\prime}(L)=-2 e^{-L}-\frac{1}{4}(k-1) e^{L}+\mathcal{O}\left(L e^{-3 L}\right) .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

The boundary values are $C^{1}$ functions with respect to $k$.

## Three possible connections between $\Gamma^{c}$ and the edge $e \in \Gamma$


(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure: A single edge of a finite length can be connected to the remainder of the graph (shown in dashed lines) in three different ways.

For the pendant edge with the boundary vertex of degree $N+1$, we get

$$
p=p_{L}, \quad q=-q_{L}, \quad L=\mu \ell
$$

Then, by the estimate on the small solution on $\Gamma_{\mu}^{c}$, we get

$$
-q_{L}=N p_{L}+\text { remainder }
$$

## Construction of the edge-localized solutions

## Lemma

The solution on the pendant edge with the boundary vertex of degree $N+1$ is described by

$$
\Psi(z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2-k^{2}}} \operatorname{dn}\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{2-k^{2}}} ; k\right),
$$

with

$$
k=1+8 \frac{N-1}{N+1} e^{-2 \mu \ell}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2 \mu \ell-\mu \ell_{\min }}\right)
$$

where $\ell_{\text {min }}$ is the length of the shortest edge in $\Gamma^{c}$. The corresponding solution $\Phi \in H_{\Gamma}^{2}$ satisfies

$$
\|\Phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma^{c}\right)}^{2} \leq C \mu e^{-2 \mu \ell}
$$

whereas the mass and energy integrals $\mathcal{Q}:=Q(\Phi)$ and $\mathcal{E}:=E(\Phi)$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q} & =\mu-8 \frac{N-1}{N+1} \mu^{2} \ell e^{-2 \mu \ell}+\mathcal{O}\left(\mu e^{-2 \mu \ell}\right) \\
\mathcal{E} & =-\frac{1}{3} \mu^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(\mu^{4} e^{-2 \mu \ell}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Main result for bounded graphs
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- Surgery technique and construction of Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on the rest of the graph.
- Implicit function theorem for $-q_{L}=N p_{L}+$ remainder.
- Comparison theorem.
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Thank you! Questions ???

