Stability of nonlinear waves in integrable Hamiltonian PDEs

Dmitry Pelinovsky

Department of Mathematics, McMaster University, Ontario, Canada http://dmpeli.math.mcmaster.ca

> Workshop "Linear and Nonlinear Dirac Equations" Como, Italy, February 8-10, 2017

I. Integrable Hamiltonian PDEs

An abstract Hamiltonian PDE can be written in the form

$$rac{du}{dt} = J \; H'(u), \quad u(t) \in X$$

where $X \subset L^2$ is the phase space, $J^* = -J$ represents the symplectic structure, and $H : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is the Hamilton function.

Example: Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + 6u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} = 0, \quad u(t,x) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$

Hamiltonian system in the form

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\delta H}{\delta u}, \quad \text{where} \quad H(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 - 2u^3 \right] dx.$$

I. Integrable Hamiltonian PDEs

An abstract Hamiltonian PDE can be written in the form

$$rac{du}{dt} = J \; H'(u), \quad u(t) \in X$$

where $X \subset L^2$ is the phase space, $J^* = -J$ represents the symplectic structure, and $H : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is the Hamilton function.

Example: nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 2|u|^2 u = 0, \quad u(t,x): \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$$

Hamiltonian system in the form

$$\frac{du}{dt} = i \frac{\delta H}{\delta \bar{u}}, \quad \text{where} \quad H(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 - 2|u|^4 \right] dx.$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・

Class of integrable Hamiltonian PDEs Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation

$$rac{\partial u}{\partial t} + 6urac{\partial u}{\partial x} + rac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} = 0, \quad u(t,x): \mathbb{R} imes \mathbb{R} o \mathbb{R}$$

is integrable in the sense of the inverse scattering transform method

• The (smooth) solution u(t,x) is a potential of the Lax operator pair

$$L(u)\psi = \lambda\psi, \quad \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = A(u,\lambda)\psi,$$

such that λ is (t, x)-independent. The Cauchy problem can be solved by a sequence of direct and inverse scattering transforms.

- Infinitely many conserved quantities exist for smooth solutions.
- Bäcklund-Darboux transformation allows to construct many exact solutions (solitary waves, periodic waves, rogue waves, etc.)

Ablowitz–Kaup–Newell–Segur, Zakharov–Shabat, Eokas, +, ∞ .

New developments for integrable Hamiltonian PDEs

Many classical PDE problems, which were opened in the functional-analytic framework, have been recently solved for the integrable nonlinear PDEs.

Example 1 : Global existence for the derivative NLS equation

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} + i(|u|^2 u)_x = 0, & t > 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 \in X, \end{cases}$$

where X is some Banach space.

Definition

The Cauchy problem is locally well-posed in X if there exists an unique solution $u(t, \cdot) \in X$ for $t \in (-T, T)$ with finite T > 0 and the solution map $u_0 \mapsto u(t, \cdot)$ is continuous. It is globally well-posed if T can be arbitrarily large.

Example 1: Global existence for the DNLS equation

- Tsutsumi & Fukuda (1980) established local well-posedness in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > \frac{3}{2}$ and extended solutions globally in $H^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ for small data in $H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$
- Hayashi (1993) used gauge transformation of DNLS to a system of semi-linear NLS and established local and global well-posedness in H¹(ℝ) under the constraint ||u₀||_{L²} < √2π.
- Global existence was proved in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s > \frac{32}{33}$ (Takaoka, 2001), $s > \frac{1}{2}$ (Colliander et al, 2002), and $s = \frac{1}{2}$ (Mio-Wu-Xu, 2011) under the same constraint $||u_0||_{L^2} < \sqrt{2\pi}$.

Recent development:

global existence without restriction on the $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ norm. Liu–Perry–Sulem (2016); P–Shimabukuro (2017).

・ロト ・得 ト ・ヨト ・ヨト - ヨ

New developments for integrable nonlinear PDEs Example 2 : Orbital stability in spaces of low regularity

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} iu_t + u_{xx} + |u|^2 u = 0, \quad t > 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 \in X. \end{array} \right.$$

The Cauchy problem is globally well-posed for $X = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (Tsutsumi, 1986).

The family of stationary solitary waves

$$u_{\omega}(t,x) := \sqrt{2\omega} \operatorname{sech} \left(\sqrt{\omega}x\right) \; e^{i\omega t},$$

where $\omega > 0$ is arbitrary parameter.

Definition

The solitary wave u_{ω} is said to be orbitally stable in X if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $||u(0, \cdot) - u_{\omega}(0, \cdot)||_X < \delta$ then

$$\inf_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t,\cdot) - e^{i\theta} u_{\omega}(t,\cdot)\|_X < \epsilon \quad \text{for} \quad \text{all} \ t > 0.$$

Example 2 : Orbital stability in spaces of low regularity

- Orbital stability in H¹(ℝ) is proved with the energy method (Lyapunov functions and constrained minimization) Weinstein (1985), Shatah–Strauss (1985), Grillakis *et al.* (1987).
- Energy methods do not work in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ due to lack of control.
- With the Bäcklund–Darboux transformation, orbital and asymptotic stability of solitary waves can be obtained for the NLS equation. Mizumachi–P. (2012); Cuccagna–P. (2014); Contreras–P (2014).

New developments for integrable nonlinear PDEs

Example 3 : stability of non-stationary solutions

- *N*-soliton solutions are orbitally stable in $H^N(\mathbb{R})$
 - KdV [Sachs Maddocks (1993)]
 - NLS [Kapitula (2006)]
 - Derivative NLS [Le Coz–Wu (2016)]
- Breathers are orbitally stable in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$
 - modified KdV [Alejo–Munoz (2013)]
 - sine-Gordon [Alejo-Munoz (2016)]

In the rest of my talk, I will restrict attention to stability of relative equilibria in Hamiltonian systems (solitary waves, periodic waves) by using energy methods.

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

New developments for integrable nonlinear PDEs

Example 3 : stability of non-stationary solutions

- *N*-soliton solutions are orbitally stable in $H^N(\mathbb{R})$
 - KdV [Sachs Maddocks (1993)]
 - NLS [Kapitula (2006)]
 - Derivative NLS [Le Coz–Wu (2016)]
- Breathers are orbitally stable in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$
 - modified KdV [Alejo–Munoz (2013)]
 - sine-Gordon [Alejo-Munoz (2016)]

In the rest of my talk, I will restrict attention to stability of relative equilibria in Hamiltonian systems (solitary waves, periodic waves) by using energy methods.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

II. Stability of relative equilibria in Hamiltonian systems

Consider again an abstract Hamiltonian dynamical system

$$rac{du}{dt} = J H'(u), \quad u(t) \in X$$

where $X \subset L^2$ is the phase space, J is a skew-adjoint operator with a bounded inverse, and $H: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is the Hamilton function.

- Assume existence of the equilibrium $u_0 \in X$ such that $H'(u_0) = 0$.
- Perform linearization $u(t) = u_0 + ve^{\lambda t}$, where λ is the spectral parameter and $v \in X$ satisfies the spectral problem

$$JH''(u_0)v = \lambda v,$$

where $H''(u_0): X \to L^2$ is a self-adjoint Hessian operator.

Main Question

Consider the spectral problem:

$$JH''(u_0)v = \lambda v, \quad v \in X.$$

Question: Is there a relation between unstable eigenvalues of $JH''(u_0)$ and eigenvalues of $H''(u_0)$?

Assumptions of the negative index theory:

- The spectrum of $H''(u_0)$ is strictly positive except for finitely many negative and zero eigenvalues of finite multiplicity.
- The spectrum of *JH*"(*u*₀) is purely imaginary except for finitely many unstable eigenvalues.
- Multiplicity of the zero eigenvalue of $JH''(u_0)$ is given by the number of parameters in u_0 (symmetries).

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほ とうほう

Answer for gradient systems

For a gradient system:

$$\frac{du}{dt} = -F'(u) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda v = -F''(u_0)v,$$

there exists the exact relation between unstable eigenvalues of $-F''(u_0)$ and negative eigenvalues of $F''(u_0)$.

Theorem

The number of unstable eigenvalues of $-F''(u_0)$ is equal to the number of negative eigenvalues of $F''(u_0)$.

What is about Hamiltonian systems?

$$\lambda v = JH''(u_0)v, \quad v \in X.$$

Quadruple Symmetry: If λ is an eigenvalue, so is $-\lambda$, $\overline{\lambda}$, and $-\overline{\lambda}$.

Stability Theorems for Hamiltonian Systems

Consider the spectral stability problem:

$$JH''(u_0)v = \lambda v, \quad v \in X,$$

under the assumptions above on J and $H''(u_0)$.

Orbital Stability Theorem [Grillakis–Shatah–Strauss (1990)]

- Assume no symmetries/zero eigenvalues of H"(u₀). If H"(u₀) has no negative eigenvalues, then JH"(u₀) has no unstable eigenvalues and u₀ is linearly and nonlinearly stable.
- Assume zero eigenvalue of $H''(u_0)$ of multiplicity N and related N symmetries/conserved quantities. If $H''(u_0)$ has no negative eigenvalues under N constraints, then $JH''(u_0)$ has no unstable eigenvalues and u_0 is orbitally stable.

Stability Theorems for Hamiltonian Systems

Consider the spectral stability problem:

$$JH''(u_0)v = \lambda v, \quad v \in X,$$

under the assumptions above on J and $H''(u_0)$.

Orbital Stability Theorem [Grillakis–Shatah–Strauss (1990)]

- Assume no symmetries/zero eigenvalues of H"(u₀). If H"(u₀) has no negative eigenvalues, then JH"(u₀) has no unstable eigenvalues and u₀ is linearly and nonlinearly stable.
- Assume zero eigenvalue of $H''(u_0)$ of multiplicity N and related N symmetries/conserved quantities. If $H''(u_0)$ has no negative eigenvalues under N constraints, then $JH''(u_0)$ has no unstable eigenvalues and u_0 is orbitally stable.

Negative Index Theorem [Kapitula-Kevrekidis-Sandstede (2004)]

Assume no symmetries/zero eigenvalues of $H''(u_0)$. Then,

$$N_{\rm re}(JH''(u_0)) + 2N_{\rm c}(JH''(u_0)) + 2N_{\rm im}^-(JH''(u_0)) = N_{\rm neg}(H''(u_0)) < \infty,$$

where

- $N_{\rm re}$ number of real unstable eigenvalues;
- $2N_c$ number of complex unstable eigenvalues;
- $2N_{\rm im}^-$ number neutrally stable eigenvalues of negative Krein signature.

Definition

Suppose that $\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}$ is a simple isolated eigenvalue of $JH''(u_0)$ with the eigenvector v. Then, the sign of the quadratic form

$$\langle H''(u_0)v,v\rangle_{L^2} = \lambda \langle J^{-1}v,v\rangle_{L^2}$$

is called the Krein signature of the eigenvalue λ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

III. Massive Thirring Model (MTM)

The nonlinear Dirac equation (MTM) in the space of one dimension are:

$$\begin{cases} i(u_t + u_x) + v = 2|v|^2 u, \\ i(v_t - v_x) + u = 2|u|^2 v, \end{cases} \text{ or } \begin{cases} i\psi_t - \varphi_x - \psi = (\psi^2 + \varphi^2)\bar{\psi}, \\ i\varphi_t + \psi_x + \varphi = (\psi^2 + \varphi^2)\bar{\varphi}. \end{cases}$$

Global solutions exist in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ [Goodman *et al.* (2003)] or in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ [Candy (2011), Huh-Moon (2015)].

Three conserved quantities related to symmetries:

$$Q = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|u|^2 + |v|^2 \right) dx,$$

$$P=\frac{i}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u\overline{u}_{x}-u_{x}\overline{u}+v\overline{v}_{x}-v_{x}\overline{v}\right)dx,$$

$$H=\frac{i}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u\bar{u}_{x}-u_{x}\bar{u}-v\bar{v}_{x}+v_{x}\bar{v}\right)dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(-v\bar{u}-u\bar{v}+2|u|^{2}|v|^{2}\right)dx,$$

where H is Hamiltonian. The quadratic part of H is sign-indefinite.

III. Massive Thirring Model (MTM)

The nonlinear Dirac equation (MTM) in the space of one dimension are:

$$\begin{cases} i(u_t + u_x) + v = 2|v|^2 u, \\ i(v_t - v_x) + u = 2|u|^2 v, \end{cases} \text{ or } \begin{cases} i\psi_t - \varphi_x - \psi = (\psi^2 + \varphi^2)\bar{\psi}, \\ i\varphi_t + \psi_x + \varphi = (\psi^2 + \varphi^2)\bar{\varphi}. \end{cases}$$

Global solutions exist in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ [Goodman *et al.* (2003)] or in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ [Candy (2011), Huh-Moon (2015)].

Three conserved quantities related to symmetries:

$$Q=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(|u|^2+|v|^2\right)dx,$$

$$P=\frac{i}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u\bar{u}_{x}-u_{x}\bar{u}+v\bar{v}_{x}-v_{x}\bar{v}\right)dx,$$

$$H=\frac{i}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u\bar{u}_{x}-u_{x}\bar{u}-v\bar{v}_{x}+v_{x}\bar{v}\right)dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(-v\bar{u}-u\bar{v}+2|u|^{2}|v|^{2}\right)dx,$$

where H is Hamiltonian. The quadratic part of H is sign-indefinite.

Existence of solitary waves

Time-periodic space-localized solutions

$$u(x,t) = U_{\omega}(x)e^{-i\omega t}, \quad v(x,t) = V_{\omega}(x)e^{-i\omega t}$$

satisfy a system of stationary Dirac equations. They are known in the closed analytic form

$$\begin{cases} u(x,t) = i \sin(\gamma) \operatorname{sech} \left[x \sin \gamma - i \frac{\gamma}{2} \right] e^{-it \cos \gamma}, \\ v(x,t) = -i \sin(\gamma) \operatorname{sech} \left[x \sin \gamma + i \frac{\gamma}{2} \right] e^{-it \cos \gamma}. \end{cases}$$

- Translations in x and t can be added as free parameters.
- Constraint ω = cos γ ∈ (-1, 1) exists because of the gap in the linear spectrum (-∞, -1] ∪ [1, ∞).
- Moving solitons can be obtained from the stationary solitons with the Lorentz transformation.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Orbital stability of Dirac solitons in H^1

The Dirac soliton can not be a constrained minimizer of H.

However, another higher-order Hamiltonian R exists in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$:

$$R = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[|u_x|^2 + |v_x|^2 - \frac{i}{2} (u_x \overline{u} - \overline{u}_x u) (|u|^2 + 2|v|^2) + \dots - (u \overline{v} + \overline{u} v) (|u|^2 + |v|^2) + 2|u|^2 |v|^2 (|u|^2 + |v|^2) \right] dx,$$

in addition to the other conserved quantities H, Q, and P.

Theorem (P–Shimabukuro (2014))

There is $\omega_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that for any fixed $\omega = \cos \gamma \in (-\omega_0, \omega_0)$, the Dirac soliton is a local non-degenerate minimizer of R in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ under the constraints of fixed values of Q and P.

The energy functionals

• Critical points of $H + \omega Q$ for a fixed $\omega \in (-1, 1)$ satisfy the stationary MTM equations. After the reduction $(u, v) = (U, \overline{U})$, we obtain the first-order equation

$$i\frac{dU}{dx}-\omega U+\overline{U}=2|U|^2U.$$

The MTM soliton $U = U_{\omega}$ satisfies the first-order equation.

Critical points of R + ΩQ for some fixed Ω ∈ ℝ satisfy another system of equations. After the reduction (u, v) = (U, U), we obtain the second-order equation

$$\frac{d^2U}{dx^2} + 6i|U|^2\frac{dU}{dx} - 6|U|^4U + 3|U|^2\bar{U} + U^3 = \Omega U.$$

 $U=U_\omega$ also satisfies the second-order equation if $\Omega=1-\omega^2.$

・ロッ ・雪 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

The energy functionals

• Critical points of $H + \omega Q$ for a fixed $\omega \in (-1, 1)$ satisfy the stationary MTM equations. After the reduction $(u, v) = (U, \overline{U})$, we obtain the first-order equation

$$i\frac{dU}{dx}-\omega U+\overline{U}=2|U|^2U.$$

The MTM soliton $U = U_{\omega}$ satisfies the first-order equation.

• Critical points of $R + \Omega Q$ for some fixed $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy another system of equations. After the reduction $(u, v) = (U, \overline{U})$, we obtain the second-order equation

$$\frac{d^2U}{dx^2} + 6i|U|^2\frac{dU}{dx} - 6|U|^4U + 3|U|^2\bar{U} + U^3 = \Omega U.$$

 $U = U_{\omega}$ also satisfies the second-order equation if $\Omega = 1 - \omega^2$.

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・

The Lyapunov functional for MTM solitons

We define the conserved energy functional in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\Lambda_\omega:=R+(1-\omega^2)Q,\quad \omega\in(-1,1),$$

where $Q = \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2}^2$.

- U_{ω} is a critical point of Λ_{ω} .
- The second variation of Λ_{ω} can be block-diagonalized

$$S^{\mathsf{T}} \Lambda_{\omega}^{\prime\prime}(U_{\omega}) S = egin{bmatrix} L_+ & 0 \ 0 & L_- \end{bmatrix},$$

where L_+ and L_- are 2 × 2 matrix Schrödinger operators. Chugunova–P (2006); P–Shimabukuro (2014);

Λ_ω''(U_ω) has one negative eigenvalue and a double zero eigenvalue for ω > 0 and ω < 0. The zero eigenvalue is quadruple for ω = 0.

Convexity of the energy functional

- Two constraints are added to fix the values of Q and P.
- Two constraints are added to eliminate translation and rotation.
- The Hessian operator Λ["]_ω(U_ω) is strictly positive under the four constraints. The conserved energy functional Λ_ω becomes convex at U_ω in the constrained H¹(ℝ) space.
- The four constraints can be realized by the choice of four modulation parameters in the soliton orbit:

$$\begin{cases} u(x,t) = i \sin(\gamma) \operatorname{sech} \left[x \sin(\gamma) - i \frac{\gamma}{2} - \alpha \right] e^{-it \cos(\gamma) - i\beta}, \\ v(x,t) = -i \sin(\gamma) \operatorname{sech} \left[x \sin(\gamma) + i \frac{\gamma}{2} - \alpha \right] e^{-it \cos(\gamma) - i\beta}, \end{cases}$$

with parameters α , β , frequency $\omega := \cos \gamma$, and speed c.

・ロト ・ 一 ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・

IV. The defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation The cubic NLS equation

$$i\psi_t + \psi_{xx} - |\psi|^2 \psi = 0$$

has long been known for modulational stability of periodic waves.

Periodic waves are of the form $\psi(x, t) = u_0(x)e^{-it}$, where

$$u_0''(x) + (1 - |u_0|^2)u_0 = 0$$

has the exact solution $u_0(x) = \sqrt{1-\mathcal{E}} \operatorname{sn}\left(x \frac{\sqrt{1+\mathcal{E}}}{\sqrt{2}}; \sqrt{\frac{1-\mathcal{E}}{1+\mathcal{E}}}\right)$ with $\mathcal{E} \in (0,1)$.



Orbital stability of periodic waves in $H_{\rm per}^1$ or $H_{\rm per}^2$

Periodic waves are constrained minimizers of energy in H_{per}^1 :

$$E(\psi) = \int \left[|\psi_x|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\psi|^2)^2 \right] dx$$

under fixed values of

$$Q(\psi) = \int |\psi|^2 dx, \quad M(\psi) = rac{i}{2} \int (ar{\psi}\psi_x - \psiar{\psi}_x) dx,$$

if the period of perturbations coincides with the period of waves. [Gallay–Haragus (2007)]

Periodic waves are also constrained minimizers of the higher-order energy

$$R(\psi) = \int \left[|\psi_{xx}|^2 + 3|\psi|^2 |\psi_x|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi}\psi_x + \psi\bar{\psi}_x)^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\psi|^6 \right] dx,$$

under fixed values of Q and M under the same assumption on the period.

Orbital stability of periodic waves in $H_{\rm per}^1$ or $H_{\rm per}^2$

Periodic waves are constrained minimizers of energy in H_{per}^1 :

$$E(\psi) = \int \left[|\psi_x|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\psi|^2)^2 \right] dx$$

under fixed values of

$$Q(\psi) = \int |\psi|^2 dx, \quad M(\psi) = rac{i}{2} \int (ar{\psi}\psi_x - \psiar{\psi}_x) dx,$$

if the period of perturbations coincides with the period of waves. [Gallay–Haragus (2007)]

Periodic waves are also constrained minimizers of the higher-order energy

$$R(\psi) = \int \left[|\psi_{xx}|^2 + 3|\psi|^2 |\psi_x|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi}\psi_x + \psi\bar{\psi}_x)^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\psi|^6 \right] dx,$$

under fixed values of Q and M under the same assumption on the period.

Orbital stability of periodic waves in $H^2_{\rm Nper}$

Periodic waves are not constrained minimizers of neither E nor R if the period of perturbations is multiple to the period of waves.

Nevertheless, there exists a range of values for parameter c such that the energy functional $\Lambda_c := R - cE$ is positively definite at u_0 . [Bottman–Deconinck–Nivala (2011)]

Theorem (Gallay–P (2015))

For all $\mathcal{E} \in (0, 1)$, the second variation of Λ_c at the periodic wave u_0 is nonnegative for perturbations in H^2_{Nper} only if $c \in [c_-, c_+]$ with

$$m{c}_{\pm}:=2\pmrac{2\kappa}{1+\kappa^2},\quad\kappa=\sqrt{rac{1-\mathcal{E}}{1+\mathcal{E}}}.$$

Moreover, it is strictly positive up to symmetries in (c_-, c_+) if \mathcal{E} is small.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



Figure : (\mathcal{E}, c) -plane for positivity of the second variation of Λ_c .

э

→ < Ξ →</p>

Image: Image:

A simple perturbative argument

Using the decomposition $\psi = u_0 + u + iv$ with real-valued perturbation functions u and v, we can write

$$\Lambda_{c}(\psi) - \Lambda_{c}(u_{0}) = \langle K_{+}(c)u, u \rangle_{L^{2}} + \langle K_{-}(c)v, v \rangle_{L^{2}} + \text{cubic terms}$$

where

$$K_+(c)\partial_x u_0 = 0$$
 and $K_-(c)u_0 = 0$.

If $u_0 = 0$ (periodic wave of zero amplitude), then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{K}_{\pm}(c)u,u\rangle_{L^2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[u_{xx}^2 - cu_x^2 + (c-1)u^2 \right] dx \\ &= \int \left(u_{xx} + \frac{c}{2}u \right)^2 dx - \left(1 - \frac{c}{2}\right)^2 \int u^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\langle K_{\pm}(c)u, u \rangle_{L^2} \ge 0$ if c = 2. By perturbative computations, one can find (c_{-}, c_{+}) near c = 2 for $\mathcal{E} < 1$.

Orbital stability of periodic waves in $H^2_{\rm Nper}$

Theorem (Gallay–P (2015))

Assume that $\psi_0 \in H^2_{\text{Nper}}$ and consider the global-in-time solution ψ to the cubic NLS equation with initial data ψ_0 . For any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ s.t. if

$$\|\psi_0-u_0\|_{H^2_{\mathrm{Nper}}}\leq\delta,$$

then, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist numbers $\xi(t)$ and $\theta(t)$ such that

$$\|e^{i(t+\theta(t))}\psi(\cdot+\xi(t),t)-u_0\|_{H^2_{\mathrm{Nper}}}\leq\epsilon.$$

Moreover, ξ , θ are continuous and $|\dot{\xi}(t)| + |\dot{\theta}(t)| \le C\epsilon$.

V. The Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP) equation

The 2D generalization of the KdV equation is the KP equation:

$$(u_t+6uu_x+u_{xxx})_x=\pm u_{yy},$$

where the plus/minus sign corresponds to KP-I/KP-II equations.

Periodic waves u = v(x + ct) of the cnoidal form satisfies the 1D KdV equation. Transverse stability is determined for small 2D perturbations w:

$$(w_t + cw_x + 6(vw)_x + w_{xxx})_x = \pm w_{yy}.$$

KP-I: Periodic and solitary waves are transversely unstable [Johnson–Zumbrun (2010); Rousset–Tzvetkov (2011); Hakkaev (2012)]

KP-II: Solitary waves are transversely stable [Mizumachi–Tzvetkov (2012); Mizumachi (2015) (2016)]

KP-II: Stability of periodic waves is open [Haragus (2010)].

Conserved quantities for KP-II equation

The momentum of KP-II equation is

$$Q(u) = \int u^2 dx dy$$

The energy of KP-II equation is sign-indefinite near zero:

$$E(u)=\int \left[u_x^2-2u^3-(\partial_x^{-1}u_y)^2\right]dxdy.$$

The higher-order energy is still sign-indefinite near zero:

$$R(u) = \int \left[u_{xx}^2 - 10uu_x^2 + 5u^4 - \frac{10}{3}u_y^2 + \frac{5}{9}(\partial_x^{-2}u_{yy})^2 + \frac{10}{3}u^2\partial_x^{-2}u_{yy} + \dots \right] dxdy.$$

Molinet-Saut-Tzvetkov (2007)

The previous approach to characterization periodic waves as constrained energy minimizers for a linear combination of E(u) and R(u) fails.

Commuting operators via symplectic operators

1D periodic waves u(t, x) = v(x + ct) are critical points of E(u) + cQ(u)with the Hessian operator

$$L_{c,p} = -\partial_x^2 - c - 6v(x) + p^2 \partial_x^{-2},$$

where p is the transverse wave number for the 2D perturbation $w(x, y) = W(x)e^{ipy}$.

Search for the commuting self-adjoint operator $M_{c,p}$ in

$$L_{c,p}\partial_{x}M_{c,p}=M_{c,p}\partial_{x}L_{c,p},$$

where ∂_x defines the symplectic operator for the KP-II equation.

Theorem (Haragus–Li-P (2017))

Assume that $M_{c,p} \ge 0$ and the kernel of $M_{c,p}$ is contained in the kernel of $L_{c,p}$. The spectrum of $\partial_x L_{c,p}$ is purely imaginary.

Algorithmic search of the commuting operator

We are looking for an operator $M_{c,p}$ to satisfy the commutability relation

$$L_{c,p}\partial_{x}M_{c,p}=M_{c,p}\partial_{x}L_{c,p}$$

Since 1D periodic waves u = v(x + ct) are also critical points of R(u), the Hessian operator $M_{c,p}$ related to R(u) satisfies this commutability relation. The operator $M_{c,p}$ is given by

$$M_{c,p} = \partial_x^4 + 10\partial_x v(x)\partial_x - 10cv(x) - c^2 - \frac{10}{3}p^2 \left(1 + v(x)\partial_x^{-2} + \partial_x^{-1}v(x)\partial_x^{-1} + \partial_x^{-2}v(x)\right) + \frac{5}{9}p^4\partial_x^{-4}$$

Lemma

For every $p \neq 0$, no value of $b \in \mathbb{R}$ exists such that $M_{c,p} - bL_{c,p}$ is positive. Moreover, the number of negative eigenvalues quickly grows in L^2_{Nper} with larger N.

Algorithmic search of the commuting operator

We are looking for an operator $M_{c,p}$ to satisfy the commutability relation

$$L_{c,p}\partial_{x}M_{c,p}=M_{c,p}\partial_{x}L_{c,p}.$$

By using symbolic computations, we have found another choice of the commuting operator

$$M_{c,p} = \partial_x^4 + 10\partial_x v(x)\partial_x - 10cv(x) - c^2 + rac{5}{3}p^2\left(1+c\partial_x^{-2}
ight).$$

Lemma

The operator $M_{c,p} + 2cL_{c,p}$ is positive in L^2_{Nper} for every $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

The periodic travelling wave v of the KP-II equation is spectrally stable with respect to two-dimensional bounded perturbations.

・ロト ・得 ト ・ヨト ・ヨト - ヨ

Conclusion

- Spectral stability theory is well-developed for relative equilibria in Hamiltonian systems, when the Hessian operators have finitely many negative eigenvalues.
- Orbital stability holds in Hamiltonian systems if the relative equilibrium is a non-degenerate minimum of energy under constraints of fixed mass and momentum.
- For many integrable PDEs (MTM, NLS, KdV), one can use higher-order Hamiltonians to conclude on orbital stability of nonlinear waves.
- For the KP-II equation (in 2D), one can find positive-definite operator unrelated to conserved quantities in order to conclude on spectral stability of nonlinear waves.

The END.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >