Nonlinear Schrödinger equation on a periodic graph

Dmitry Pelinovsky

Department of Mathematics, McMaster University, Canada

With Guido Schneider (University of Stuttgart, Germany)

Texas A & M University, College Station, October 2017

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Summary

NLS with Periodic Potentials

NLS on the periodic graph

Linear theory

Homogenization of the NLS equation

Stationary states on the periodic graph

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

Introduction: periodic potentials

Let us recall homogenization of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$iu_t = -u_{xx} + V(x)u \pm |u|^2 u,$$

with a periodic potential, e.g. $V(x) = V_0 \sin^2(x)$.

D.P. Localization in Periodic Potentials (Cambridge University Press, 2011)

Stationary solutions $u(x,t) = \phi(x)e^{-i\omega t}$ with $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy a stationary Schrödinger equation with a periodic potential

$$\omega\phi = -\phi_{xx} + V(x)\phi \pm |\phi|^2\phi$$

Spectrum of $L = -\partial_x^2 + V(x)$ for $V(x) = V_0 \sin^2(x)$ and N = 1:



Floquet–Bloch spectrum

The spectral problem with a bounded 2π -periodic potential V,

$$\omega W = -\partial_x^2 W + V(x)W, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

has a purely continuous spectrum in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The spectrum can be found by using Bloch waves

$$W(x) = e^{i\ell x} f(\ell, x), \quad \ell, \ x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $f(\ell, \cdot)$ satisfy the continuation conditions

$$f(\ell, x) = f(\ell, x + 2\pi), \quad f(\ell, x) = f(\ell + 1, x)e^{ix}, \quad \ell, \ x \in \mathbb{R}$$

One can restrict the definition of $f(\ell, x)$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}_{2\pi} = \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$.

For a fixed $\ell \in \mathbb{T}_1$, the Bloch waves are found from the periodic spectral problem,

$$-(\partial_x + i\ell)^2 f + V(x)f = \omega(\ell)f, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}_{2\pi}.$$

There exists a Schauder basis $\{f^{(m)}(\ell, \cdot)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $L^2(0, 2\pi)$ for an increasing sequence of eigenvalues $\{\omega^{(m)}(\ell)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Modulated Bloch waves



Pick $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{T}_1$ such that $\omega^{(m)}(\ell_0) \neq \omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0)$ for every $m \neq m_0$.



Homogenization of the NLS equation

The NLS equation with a bounded periodic potential V,

$$iu_t = -u_{xx} + V(x)u \pm |u|^2 u,$$

can be reduced to a homogeneous NLS equation

$$i\partial_T A = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\ell^2 \omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0)\partial_x^2 A \pm \nu |A|^2 A, \quad \nu = \frac{\|f^{(m_0)}(\ell_0,\cdot)\|_{L^4_{\rm per}}^4}{\|f^{(m_0)}(\ell_0,\cdot)\|_{L^2_{\rm per}}^4}$$

Theorem (Schneider–Uecker, 2006; Dohnal, 2008; Ilan–Weinstein, 2010) Fix $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{T}_1$, and assume $\omega^{(m)}(\ell_0) \neq \omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0)$ for every $m \neq m_0$. Then, for every $C_0 > 0$ and $T_0 > 0$, there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and C > 0 such that for all solutions $A \in C(\mathbb{R}, H^3(\mathbb{R}))$ of the homogeneous NLS equation with

$$\sup_{T \in [0,T_0]} \|A(T, \cdot)\|_{H^3} \le C_0$$

and for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, there are solutions $u \in C([0, T_0/\varepsilon^2], L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ of the periodic NLS equation satisfying the bound

 $\sup_{t\in[0,T_0/\varepsilon^2]}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\left|u(t,x)-\varepsilon A(\varepsilon^2 t,\varepsilon(x-c_{\mathrm{gr}}t))f^{(m_0)}(\ell_0,x)e^{i\ell_0x}e^{-i\omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0)t}\right|\leq C\varepsilon^{3/2}.$

Application of the NLS equation to existence of nonlinear bound states

In the defocusing case, the nonlinear bound states bifurcate if $\partial_{\ell}^2 \omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0) < 0$. In the focusing case, the nonlinear bound states bifurcate if $\partial_{\ell}^2 \omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0) > 0$.

For $V(x) = V_0 \sin^2(x)$ and the defocusing case, the bifurcation diagram is



Periodic Graph



Consider the simplest periodic graph, where curvatures play no role:

$$\Gamma = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma_n$$
, with $\Gamma_n = \Gamma_{n,0} \oplus \Gamma_{n,+} \oplus \Gamma_{n,-}$,

where

$$\Gamma_{n,0}$$
 is identified with $I_{n,0} = [2\pi n, 2\pi n + \pi]$

and

$$\Gamma_{n,\pm}$$
 are identified with $I_{n,\pm} = [2\pi n + \pi, 2\pi(n+1)]$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Functions on graph

Wave functions $u : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}$ are defined on the graph Γ in the pointwise sense:

$$u_{n,0}$$
 on $I_{n,0} = [2\pi n, 2\pi n + \pi]$

and

$$u_{n,\pm}$$
 on $I_{n,\pm} = [2\pi n + \pi, 2\pi (n+1)]$

subject to the Kirchhoff boundary conditions at the vertices.

$$\begin{cases} u_{n,0}(2\pi n + \pi) = u_{n,+}(2\pi n + \pi) = u_{n,-}(2\pi n + \pi), \\ u_{n+1,0}(2\pi(n+1)) = u_{n,+}(2\pi(n+1)) = u_{n,-}(2\pi(n+1)), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_x u_{n,0}(2\pi n + \pi) = \partial_x u_{n,+}(2\pi n + \pi) + \partial_x u_{n,-}(2\pi n + \pi), \\ \partial_x u_{n+1,0}(2\pi (n+1)) = \partial_x u_{n,+}(2\pi (n+1)) + \partial_x u_{n,-}(2\pi (n+1)). \end{cases}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 - のへぐ

The NLS equation on the periodic graph Γ

Collect all piecewise defined functions on the real line:

$$u_0(x) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \begin{cases} u_{n,0}(x), & x \in I_{n,0} := [2\pi n, 2\pi n + \pi], \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$u_{\pm}(x) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \begin{cases} u_{n,\pm}(x), & x \in I_{n,\pm} := [2\pi n + \pi, 2\pi (n+1), \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

The three-component vector $U = (u_0, u_+, u_-)$: satisfies the NLS equation

$$i\partial_t U + \partial_x^2 U + |U|^2 U = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{k\pi: k \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 - のへぐ

subject to the Kirchhoff boundary conditions at the vertex points $\{k\pi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Motivations

- Understand differences between the NLS with a bounded periodic potential and the NLS with vertex singularities due to the periodic graph Γ.
- Study homogenizations of the NLS equation on the periodic graph.
- Construct nonlinear bound states on the periodic graph.

References:

- S. Gilg, D.P., and G. Schneider, "Validity of the NLS approximation for periodic quantum graphs", Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications 23 (2016), 63 (30 pages).
- ▶ D.P. and G. Schneider, "Bifurcations of standing localized waves on periodic graphs", Annales Henri Poincare 18 (2017), 1185–1211.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Linear spectral problem

The spectral problem on the periodic graph Γ :

$$\lambda w = -\partial_x^2 w, \quad x \in \Gamma,$$

subject to the Kirchhoff boundary conditions for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{cases} w_{n,0}(2\pi n + \pi) = w_{n,+}(2\pi n + \pi) = w_{n,-}(2\pi n + \pi), \\ w_{n+1,0}(2\pi(n+1)) = w_{n,+}(2\pi(n+1)) = w_{n,-}(2\pi(n+1)), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_x w_{n,0}(2\pi n + \pi) = \partial_x w_{n,+}(2\pi n + \pi) + \partial_x w_{n,-}(2\pi n + \pi), \\ \partial_x w_{n+1,0}(2\pi (n+1)) = \partial_x w_{n,+}(2\pi (n+1)) + \partial_x w_{n,-}(2\pi (n+1)). \end{cases}$$

E. Korotyaev and I. Lobanov, Ann. Henri Poincare 8 (2007), 1151

P. Kuchment and O. Post, Commun Math. Phys. 275 (2007), 805



Decomposition of the spectrum on Γ

Lemma

The linear operator $-\partial_x^2 : \mathcal{D}(\Gamma) \to L^2(\Gamma)$ is self-adjoint with the domain $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma) \subset H^2(\Gamma)$. Its spectrum $\sigma(-\partial_x^2)$ is positive and consists of two parts.

Integrating by parts with Kirchhoff boundary conditions, we have

$$\lambda \|w\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2} = \|\partial_{x}w\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2} \ge 0.$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Decomposition of the spectrum on Γ

Lemma

The linear operator $-\partial_x^2 : \mathcal{D}(\Gamma) \to L^2(\Gamma)$ is self-adjoint with the domain $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma) \subset H^2(\Gamma)$. Its spectrum $\sigma(-\partial_x^2)$ is positive and consists of two parts.

Integrating by parts with Kirchhoff boundary conditions, we have

$$\lambda \|w\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 = \|\partial_x w\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 \ge 0.$$

The first part of $\sigma(-\partial_x^2)$ corresponds to the eigenfunctions of the form

$$\begin{cases} w_{n,0}(x) = 0, & x \in [2\pi n, 2\pi n + \pi], \\ w_{n,+}(x) = -w_{n,-}(x), & x \in [2\pi n + \pi, 2\pi (n+1)], \end{cases} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Clearly, $\lambda = m^2$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is an eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity with the eigenfunction $w_{n,\pm}(x) = \pm \delta_{n,k} \sin[m(x - 2\pi n)], k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The second part of $\sigma(-\partial_x^2)$ corresponds to the eigenfunctions of the form

$$w_{n,+}(x) = w_{n,-}(x), \quad x \in [2\pi n + \pi, 2\pi(n+1)], \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Construction of symmetric eigenfunctions

Let us parameterize the spectral parameter $\lambda = \omega^2$. Then, solutions of ODEs are found in terms of the boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} w_{n,0}(x) = a_n \cos(\omega(x - 2\pi n)) + b_n \sin(\omega(x - 2\pi n)), & x \in [2\pi n, 2\pi n + \pi], \\ w_{n,\pm}(x) = c_n \cos(\omega(x - 2\pi n - \pi)) + d_n \sin(\omega(x - 2\pi n - \pi)), & x \in [2\pi n + \pi, 2\pi (n + \pi)] \end{cases}$$

◆□▶ ◆■▶ ◆ ■▶ ◆ ■▶ ○ ■ ○ ○ ○ ○

Construction of symmetric eigenfunctions

Let us parameterize the spectral parameter $\lambda = \omega^2$. Then, solutions of ODEs are found in terms of the boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} w_{n,0}(x) = a_n \cos(\omega(x - 2\pi n)) + b_n \sin(\omega(x - 2\pi n)), & x \in [2\pi n, 2\pi n + \pi], \\ w_{n,\pm}(x) = c_n \cos(\omega(x - 2\pi n - \pi)) + d_n \sin(\omega(x - 2\pi n - \pi)), & x \in [2\pi n + \pi, 2\pi (n + \pi)] \end{cases}$$

Kirchhoff boundary conditions yield

$$\begin{cases} c_n = a_n \cos(\omega \pi) + b_n \sin(\omega \pi), \\ 2d_n = -a_n \sin(\omega \pi) + b_n \cos(\omega \pi), \end{cases}$$

and

sati

$$\begin{cases} a_{n+1} = c_n \cos(\omega \pi) + d_n \sin(\omega \pi), \\ b_{n+1} = -2c_n \sin(\omega \pi) + 2d_n \cos(\omega \pi). \end{cases}$$

The monodromy matrix

$$M(\omega) := \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\omega\pi) & \sin(\omega\pi) \\ -2\sin(\omega\pi) & 2\cos(\omega\pi) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\omega\pi) & \sin(\omega\pi) \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sin(\omega\pi) & \frac{1}{2}\cos(\omega\pi) \end{bmatrix}$$

sfies det(M) = 1 and tr(M) = 2 cos($\omega\pi$)² - $\frac{5}{2}\sin(\omega\pi)$ ².

The symmetric part of the spectrum

Trace of the monodromy matrix:

$$T(\omega) = 2\cos(\omega\pi)^2 - \frac{5}{2}\sin(\omega\pi)^2 \in [-2, 2].$$

The spectrum $\sigma(-\partial_x^2)$ in $L^2(\Gamma)$ consists of eigenvalues $\{m^2\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ of infinite multiplicity and a countable set of spectral bands $\{\sigma_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ○臣 - のへで

Floquet–Bloch spectrum

We define the Bloch waves on the periodic graph Γ in the pointwise sense:

$$W(x) = e^{i\ell x} f(\ell, x), \quad \ell \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\pi n, \ n \in \mathbb{N}\},\$$

where $f(\ell, \cdot) = (f_0, f_+, f_-)(\ell, \cdot)$ is a 2π -periodic function for every $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the ℓ -dependent Kirchhoff boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} f_0(\ell, \pi) = f_+(\ell, \pi) = f_-(\ell, \pi), \\ f_0(\ell, 0) = f_+(\ell, 2\pi) = f_-(\ell, 2\pi) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_x + i\ell)f_0(\ell, \pi) = (\partial_x + i\ell)f_+(\ell, \pi) + (\partial_x + i\ell)f_-(\ell, \pi), \\ (\partial_x + i\ell)f_0(\ell, 0) = (\partial_x + i\ell)f_+(\ell, 2\pi) + (\partial_x + i\ell)f_-(\ell, 2\pi). \end{cases}$$

Note that $e^{i\ell x}$ is defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ but is not defined for $x \in \Gamma$.

Again, one can restrict the definition of $f(\ell, x)$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}_{2\pi} = \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$.

For a fixed $\ell \in \mathbb{T}_1$, the Bloch waves are found from the periodic spectral problem,

$$-(\partial_x + i\ell)^2 f = \omega(\ell)f, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}_{2\pi}.$$

Numerical approximation of spectral bands: $L = \pi$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Numerical approximation of spectral bands: $L > \pi$



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

Numerical approximation of spectral bands: semi-rings of different lengths



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

The NLS equation on the periodic graph

The NLS equation on the periodic graph Γ written as the evolutionary problem for $U = (u_0, u_+, u_-)$:

 $i\partial_t U + \partial_x^2 U + |U|^2 U = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{k\pi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\},\$

subject to the Kirchhoff boundary conditions at the vertex points.



Figure: A schematic representation of the asymptotic solution to the NLS equation on the periodic graph Γ .

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ ● □ ● ● ●

Homogeneous NLS equation

The asymptotic solution in the form

 $U(t,x) = \varepsilon A(T,X) f^{(m_0)}(\ell_0,x) e^{i\ell_0 x} e^{-i\omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0)t} + \text{higher-order terms},$

with $T = \varepsilon^2 t$ and $X = \varepsilon (x - c_g t)$ satisfies the homogeneous NLS equation

$$i\partial_T A + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\ell^2 \omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0)\partial_X^2 A + \nu |A|^2 A = 0, \quad \nu = \frac{\|f^{(m_0)}(\ell_0, \cdot)\|_{L^4_{per}}^4}{\|f^{(m_0)}(\ell_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{per}}^2}.$$

Theorem (Gilg–Schneider-P, 2016)

Fix $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{T}_1$, and assume $\omega^{(m)}(\ell_0) \neq \omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0)$ for every $m \neq m_0$. Then, for every $C_0 > 0$ and $T_0 > 0$, there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and C > 0 such that for all solutions $A \in C(\mathbb{R}, H^3(\mathbb{R}))$ of the homogeneous NLS equation with

$$\sup_{T \in [0,T_0]} \|A(T,\cdot)\|_{H^3} \le C_0$$

and for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, there are solutions $U \in C([0, T_0/\varepsilon^2], L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ to the NLS equation on the periodic graph Γ satisfying the bound

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T_0/\varepsilon^2]}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\left|U(t,x)-\varepsilon A(T,X)f^{(m_0)}(\ell_0,x)e^{i\ell_0x}e^{-i\omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0)t}\right|\leq C\varepsilon^{3/2}.$$

Extension to the Dirac equations

The symmetry constraints $u_{n,+}(t,x) = u_{n,-}(t,x)$ is invariant under the time evolution of the NLS equation on the periodic graph Γ . Under the constraints, the spectral bands feature Dirac points and no flat bands.



Homogeneous Dirac equations

The asymptotic solution in the form

 $U(t,x) = \varepsilon A_{+}(T,X)f^{+}(0,x)e^{-i\omega^{+}(0)t} + \varepsilon A_{-}(T,X)f^{-}(0,x)e^{-i\omega^{-}(0)t} + \text{higher-order terms},$ with $T = \varepsilon^{2}t$ and $X = \varepsilon^{2}x$ satisfies the homogeneous Dirac equations

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_T A_+ + i\partial_\ell \omega^+(0)\partial_X A_+ + \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3 \in \{+,-\}} \nu^+_{j_1j_2j_3} A_{j_1} A_{j_2} \overline{A_{j_3}} = 0, \\ i\partial_T A_- + i\partial_\ell \omega^-(0)\partial_X A_- + \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3 \in \{+,-\}} \nu^+_{j_1j_2j_3} A_{j_1} A_{j_2} \overline{A_{j_3}} = 0, \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Gilg–Schneider-P, 2016)

For every $C_0 > 0$ and $T_0 > 0$, there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and C > 0 such that for all solutions $A_{\pm} \in C(\mathbb{R}, H^2(\mathbb{R}))$ of the Dirac equations with

$$\sup_{T \in [0,T_0]} \|A_{\pm}(T,\cdot)\|_{H^2} \le C_0$$

and for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, there are solutions $U \in C([0, T_0/\varepsilon^2], L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ of the NLS equation on the periodic graph Γ satisfying the bound

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T_0/\varepsilon^2]}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}|U(t,x)-\varepsilon\Psi_{\rm dirac}(t,x)|\leq C\varepsilon^{3/2}.$$

Function spaces

The operator $L = -\partial_x^2$ is considered in the space

 $\mathcal{L}^{2} = \{ U = (u_{0}, u_{+}, u_{-}) \in (L^{2}(\mathbb{R}))^{3} : \text{supp}(u_{n,j}) = I_{n,j}, n \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{0, +, -\} \}$

with the domain of definition

 $\mathcal{H}^2 := \{ U \in \mathcal{L}^2 : u_{n,j} \in H^2(I_{n,j}), n \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{0,+,-\} \text{ Kirchhoff BCs} \}.$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Function spaces

The operator $L = -\partial_x^2$ is considered in the space

 $\mathcal{L}^2 = \{ U = (u_0, u_+, u_-) \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}))^3 : \text{supp}(u_{n,j}) = I_{n,j}, n \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{0, +, -\} \}$

with the domain of definition

 $\mathcal{H}^2 \quad := \quad \{U \in \mathcal{L}^2: \ \ u_{n,j} \in H^2(I_{n,j}), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \ j \in \{0,+,-\} \quad \text{Kirchhoff BCs}\}.$

- The space \mathcal{H}^2 is closed under pointwise multiplication.
- ▶ The skew symmetric operator -iL defines a unitary semi-group $(e^{-iLt})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ in \mathcal{L}^2 .
- There exists a positive constant C_L such that

$$\|e^{-iLt}U\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} \leq C_L \|U\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}$$

for every $U \in \mathcal{H}^2$ and every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

► There exists a unique local solution $U \in C([-T_0, T_0], \mathcal{H}^2)$ to the NLS equation on the periodic graph Γ .

Bloch transform on the real line

For a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, Bloch transform is defined by

$$\widetilde{f}(\ell, x) = (\mathcal{T}f)(\ell, x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ijx} \widehat{f}(\ell+j),$$

where $\widehat{f}(\xi) = (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ is the Fourier transform of f. The inverse transform is

$$f(x) = (\mathcal{T}^{-1}\widetilde{f})(x) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e^{i\ell x} \widetilde{f}(\ell, x) d\ell.$$

By construction, $\tilde{f}(\ell, x)$ is extended from $(\ell, x) \in \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_{2\pi}$ to $(\ell, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ according to the continuation conditions:

$$\widetilde{f}(\ell, x) = \widetilde{f}(\ell, x + 2\pi)$$
 and $\widetilde{f}(\ell, x) = \widetilde{f}(\ell + 1, x)e^{ix}$.

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

Bloch transform on the real line

For a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, Bloch transform is defined by

$$\widetilde{f}(\ell, x) = (\mathcal{T}f)(\ell, x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ijx} \widehat{f}(\ell + j),$$

where $\widehat{f}(\xi) = (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ is the Fourier transform of f. The inverse transform is

$$f(x) = (\mathcal{T}^{-1}\widetilde{f})(x) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e^{i\ell x} \widetilde{f}(\ell, x) d\ell.$$

By construction, $\tilde{f}(\ell, x)$ is extended from $(\ell, x) \in \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_{2\pi}$ to $(\ell, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ according to the continuation conditions:

$$\widetilde{f}(\ell, x) = \widetilde{f}(\ell, x + 2\pi)$$
 and $\widetilde{f}(\ell, x) = \widetilde{f}(\ell + 1, x)e^{ix}$.

- \mathcal{T} is an isomorphism between $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{1}, H^{s}(\mathbb{T}_{2\pi}))$.
- Multiplication in *x* space corresponds to convolution in Bloch space.
- If $\chi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is 2π periodic, then

$$\mathcal{T}(\chi u)(\ell, x) = \chi(x)(\mathcal{T}u)(\ell, x).$$

In particular, if χ_j are periodic cut-off functions in $I_j, j \in \{0, +, -\}$, then $\mathcal{T}(u_j)(\ell, x) = \mathcal{T}(\chi_j u_j)(\ell, x) = \chi_j(x)(\mathcal{T}u_j)(\ell, x).$

Function spaces for Bloch transforms

The operator $\tilde{L}(\ell) = -(\partial_x + i\ell)^2$ is self-adjoint in the space

$$L^2_{\Gamma} := \{ \widetilde{U} = (\widetilde{u}_0, \widetilde{u}_+, \widetilde{u}_-) \in (L^2(\mathbb{T}_{2\pi}))^3 : \operatorname{supp}(\widetilde{u}_j) = I_{0,j}, \quad j \in \{0, +, -\} \}$$

with the domain of definition

 $H^2_{\Gamma} := \{ \widetilde{U} \in L^2_{\Gamma} : \ \widetilde{u}_j \in H^2(I_{0,j}), \quad j \in \{0,+,-\}, \quad \text{Kirchhoff BCs} \}.$

In Bloch space, we work with functions in $L^2(\mathbb{T}_1, L^2_{\Gamma})$. Local well-posedness applies to smooth functions in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2 = L^2(\mathbb{T}_1, H^2_{\Gamma})$.

Function spaces for Bloch transforms

The operator $\tilde{L}(\ell) = -(\partial_x + i\ell)^2$ is self-adjoint in the space

$$L^2_{\Gamma} := \{ \widetilde{U} = (\widetilde{u}_0, \widetilde{u}_+, \widetilde{u}_-) \in (L^2(\mathbb{T}_{2\pi}))^3 : \operatorname{supp}(\widetilde{u}_j) = I_{0,j}, \quad j \in \{0, +, -\} \}$$

with the domain of definition

 $H^2_{\Gamma} := \{ \widetilde{U} \in L^2_{\Gamma} : \ \widetilde{u}_j \in H^2(I_{0,j}), \quad j \in \{0,+,-\}, \quad \text{Kirchhoff BCs} \}.$

In Bloch space, we work with functions in $L^2(\mathbb{T}_1, L^2_{\Gamma})$. Local well-posedness applies to smooth functions in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2 = L^2(\mathbb{T}_1, H^2_{\Gamma})$.

Key Lemma: The Bloch transform \mathcal{T} is an isomorphism between \mathcal{H}^2 and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2$.

Rest of the proof

- Bloch transform for the NLS equation on the periodic graph Γ .
- Decomposition of solutions in the Bloch space

$$\widetilde{U}(t,\ell,x) = \widetilde{V}(t,\ell)f^{(m_0)}(\ell,x) + \widetilde{U}^{\perp}(t,\ell,x)$$

Approximation of the principal part of the solution

$$\widetilde{V}_{app}(t,\ell) = \widetilde{A}\left(\varepsilon^2 t, \frac{\ell-\ell_0}{\varepsilon}\right) e^{-i\omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0)t} e^{-i\partial_\ell \omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0)(\ell-\ell_0)t}.$$

As $\varepsilon \to 0, \widetilde{A}$ satisfies the homogeneous NLS equation in the Fourier space.

- A near-identity transformation for $\widetilde{U}^{\perp}(t, \ell, x)$ with a suitable chosen approximation $\widetilde{U}^{\perp}_{app}(t, \ell, x)$.
- Estimates of residual terms in Bloch spaces.
- Estimates of the approximation between the Fourier space and Bloch space.
- Estimates of the error term in time evolution with Gronwall's inequality.

Homogeneous NLS equation

The asymptotic solution in the form

 $U(t,x) = \varepsilon A(T,X) f^{(m_0)}(\ell_0,x) e^{i\ell_0 x} e^{-i\omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0)t} + \text{higher-order terms},$

with $T = \varepsilon^2 t$ and $X = \varepsilon (x - c_g t)$ satisfies the homogeneous NLS equation

$$i\partial_T A + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\ell^2 \omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0)\partial_X^2 A + \nu |A|^2 A = 0, \quad \nu = \frac{\|f^{(m_0)}(\ell_0, \cdot)\|_{L^4_{per}}^4}{\|f^{(m_0)}(\ell_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{per}}^2}.$$

Theorem (Gilg–Schneider-P, 2016)

Fix $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{T}_1$, and assume $\omega^{(m)}(\ell_0) \neq \omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0)$ for every $m \neq m_0$. Then, for every $C_0 > 0$ and $T_0 > 0$, there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and C > 0 such that for all solutions $A \in C(\mathbb{R}, H^3(\mathbb{R}))$ of the homogeneous NLS equation with

$$\sup_{T \in [0,T_0]} \|A(T,\cdot)\|_{H^3} \le C_0$$

and for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, there are solutions $U \in C([0, T_0/\varepsilon^2], L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ to the NLS equation on the periodic graph Γ satisfying the bound

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T_0/\varepsilon^2]}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\left|U(t,x)-\varepsilon A(T,X)f^{(m_0)}(\ell_0,x)e^{i\ell_0x}e^{-i\omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0)t}\right|\leq C\varepsilon^{3/2}.$$

Bifurcations of stationary states

The stationary NLS equation on the periodic graph Γ :

$$-\partial_x^2 \phi - 2|\phi|^2 \phi = \Lambda \phi \qquad \Lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \phi(x) : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}.$$

The effective homogeneous NLS equation on the real line

$$-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\ell}^{2}\omega^{(m_{0})}(\ell_{0})\partial_{X}^{2}A-\nu|A|^{2}A=\Omega A,\quad A(X):\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}.$$

The stationary reduction is satisfied if $\partial_{\ell}\omega^{(m_0)}(\ell_0) = 0$.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 の々で

Nonlinear bound states on the periodic graph

Stable bound states bifurcate from the bottom of the linear spectrum at $\Lambda = 0$:

$$-\partial_x^2 \phi - 2|\phi|^2 \phi = \Lambda \phi \qquad \Lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \phi(x) : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem

There are positive constants Λ_0 and C_0 such that for every $\Lambda \in (-\Lambda_0, 0)$, there exist two bound states $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ (up to the discrete translational invariance) s.t. either

$$\phi(x - L/2) = \phi(L/2 - x), \quad x \in \Gamma$$

or

$$\phi(x-L-\pi/2) = \phi(L+\pi/2-x), \quad x \in \Gamma.$$

Moreover, it is true for both bound states that

- (i) ϕ is symmetric in upper and lower semicircles of Γ ,
- (ii) $\phi(x) > 0$ for every $x \in \Gamma$,
- (iii) $\phi(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$ exponentially fast.

Numerical approximations of the bound states with $L = \pi$



Figure: Profile of the numerically generated bound state on (x, ϕ) plane (left) and on (ϕ, ϕ') plane (right). The red dots show the break points on the periodic graph Γ . The green dashed line shows the NLS soliton on the infinite line.



Figure: The same but for the other bound state.

Homogenization of the discrete map

We set $\Lambda = -\epsilon^2$ and consider the limit $\epsilon \to 0$.

For every $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and every $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a unique solution $\psi(x; a, b, \epsilon) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ of the initial-value problem:

$$\left\{ egin{array}{l} \partial_x^2\psi-\epsilon^2\psi+2|\psi|^2\psi=0,\qquad x\in\mathbb{R},\ \psi(0)=a,\ \partial_x\psi(0)=b, \end{array}
ight.$$

For each $\Gamma_{n,0}$ and $\Gamma_{n,\pm}$, the solution can be defined in the implicit form:

$$\phi_{n,0}(x) = \psi(x - 2\pi n; a_n, b_n, \epsilon), \quad \phi_{n,\pm}(x) = \psi(x - 2\pi n - \pi; c_n, d_n, \epsilon).$$

Kirchhoff boundary conditions produces a two-dimensional map:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_{n+1} = \psi(\pi; c_n, d_n, \epsilon), \\ b_{n+1} = 2\partial_x \psi(\pi; c_n, d_n, \epsilon), \end{array} \right. \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_n = \psi(\pi; a_n, b_n, \epsilon), \\ 2d_n = \partial_x \psi(\pi; a_n, b_n, \epsilon), \end{array} \right.$$

The nonlinear discrete map generalizes the linear transfer matrix method.

Approximate continuous solution

In the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, expand solution $\psi(x; \epsilon \alpha, \epsilon^2 \beta, \epsilon)$ in the power series in ϵ .

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_n + \frac{3}{2}\epsilon\pi\beta_n + \frac{3}{2}\epsilon^2\pi^2(1-2\alpha_n^2)\alpha_n + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3), \\ \beta_{n+1} = \beta_n + \epsilon 3\pi(1-2\alpha_n^2)\alpha_n + \frac{7}{4}\epsilon^2\pi^2(1-6\alpha_n^2)\beta_n + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3). \end{cases}$$

Approximate continuous solution

In the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, expand solution $\psi(x; \epsilon \alpha, \epsilon^2 \beta, \epsilon)$ in the power series in ϵ .

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_n + \frac{3}{2}\epsilon\pi\beta_n + \frac{3}{2}\epsilon^2\pi^2(1-2\alpha_n^2)\alpha_n + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3), \\ \beta_{n+1} = \beta_n + \epsilon 3\pi(1-2\alpha_n^2)\alpha_n + \frac{7}{4}\epsilon^2\pi^2(1-6\alpha_n^2)\beta_n + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3). \end{cases}$$

Approximate continuous solution:

$$\alpha_n = A(X + X_0), \quad \beta_n = B(X + X_0), \quad X = \epsilon n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where X_0 is arbitrary and A, B satisfy the continuous limit

$$\begin{cases} A'(X) = 3\pi/2B(X), \\ B'(X) = 3\pi(1-2A^2)A(X), \end{cases}$$

with the continuous NLS solitons

$$A(X) = \operatorname{sech}(\nu X), \quad B(X) = -\mu \tanh(\nu X)\operatorname{sech}(\nu X), \quad X \in \mathbb{R},$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

Justification of the approximate continuous solution

Key Lemma: For a given $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying the reversibility symmetry $f_n = f_{1-n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider solutions of the linearized difference equation

$$-\frac{\alpha_{n+1}-2\alpha_n+\alpha_{n-1}}{\epsilon^2}+\nu^2(1-6A^2(\epsilon n))\alpha_n=f_n,\quad n\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

For sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a unique solution $\alpha \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying the reversibility symmetry $\alpha_n = \alpha_{1-n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover there is a positive ϵ -independent constant C such that

$$\epsilon^{-1} \|\sigma_+ lpha - lpha\|_{\ell^2} \le C \|f\|_{\ell^2}, \quad \|lpha\|_{\ell^2} \le C \|f\|_{\ell^2},$$

where σ_+ is the shift operator defined by $(\sigma_+\alpha)_n := \alpha_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Justification of the approximate continuous solution

Key Lemma: For a given $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying the reversibility symmetry $f_n = f_{1-n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider solutions of the linearized difference equation

$$-\frac{\alpha_{n+1}-2\alpha_n+\alpha_{n-1}}{\epsilon^2}+\nu^2(1-6A^2(\epsilon n))\alpha_n=f_n,\quad n\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

For sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a unique solution $\alpha \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying the reversibility symmetry $\alpha_n = \alpha_{1-n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover there is a positive ϵ -independent constant C such that

$$\epsilon^{-1} \|\sigma_{+} \alpha - \alpha\|_{\ell^{2}} \le C \|f\|_{\ell^{2}}, \quad \|\alpha\|_{\ell^{2}} \le C \|f\|_{\ell^{2}},$$

where σ_+ is the shift operator defined by $(\sigma_+\alpha)_n := \alpha_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

• Translational parameter X_0 can be chosen to satisfy the reversibility symmetry.

- ► Two reversibility symmetries give two nonlinear bound states.
- The symmetry $\phi_+ = \phi_-$ holds by construction.
- Positivity and exponential decay are not obtained from this method.

Positivity and exponential decay

The perturbative two-dimensional map:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_n + \frac{3}{2}\epsilon\pi\beta_n + \frac{3}{2}\epsilon^2\pi^2(1-2\alpha_n^2)\alpha_n + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3), \\ \beta_{n+1} = \beta_n + \epsilon 3\pi(1-2\alpha_n^2)\alpha_n + \frac{7}{4}\epsilon^2\pi^2(1-6\alpha_n^2)\beta_n + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3). \end{cases}$$



Figure: The plane (α, β) , where the blue dots denote a sequence $\{\alpha_n, \beta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, the green dashed line shows the unstable curve $\beta = U_{\epsilon}(\alpha)$, and the red dash-dotted line shows the symmetry curve $\beta = \mathcal{N}_{\epsilon}(\alpha)$.

<ロト < 同ト < 回ト < 回ト = 三日 = 三日

Conclusion



For the periodic graph Γ , we have obtained the following results:

- We developed the Bloch transform on Γ and justified homogenization of the NLS equation on Γ with the homogeneous NLS or Dirac equations on the line.
- We approximated stationary states near the lowest spectral band by using NLS solitons.
- Scattering dynamics and ground state properties are still opened on the periodic graph Γ .

Thank you!

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで