Existence and stability of singular nonlinear waves in mathematical models of nonlinear optics

Dmitry E. Pelinovsky (McMaster University, Canada)

joint work with Panos Kevrekidis and Ryan Ross (University of Massachusetts, USA)

and with Michael Plum (Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany)

# Section 1

#### Introduction: NLS models

# Solitary waves in NLS models

Bright soliton  $\psi(t, x) = e^{it} \operatorname{sech}(x)$ of the focusing NLS equation

 $i\partial_t\psi + \partial_x^2\psi + 2|\psi|^2\psi = 0$ 

with  $|\psi(t,x)| \to 0$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ 

Dark soliton  $\psi(t, x) = e^{-2it} \tanh(x)$ of the defocusing NLS equation

 $i\partial_t\psi + \partial_x^2\psi - 2|\psi|^2\psi = 0$ 

with  $|\psi(t,x)| \to 1$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ 

### Solitary waves in NLS models

Bright soliton  $\psi(t, x) = e^{it} \operatorname{sech}(x)$ of the focusing NLS equation

 $i\partial_t\psi + \partial_x^2\psi + 2|\psi|^2\psi = 0$ 

with  $|\psi(t,x)| \to 0$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ 

Dark soliton  $\psi(t, x) = e^{-2it} \tanh(x)$ of the defocusing NLS equation

$$i\partial_t\psi + \partial_x^2\psi - 2|\psi|^2\psi = 0$$

with 
$$|\psi(t,x)| \to 1$$
 as  $|x| \to \infty$ 



# Solitary waves in NLS models

Bright soliton  $\psi(t, x) = e^{it} \operatorname{sech}(x)$ of the focusing NLS equation

 $i\partial_t\psi + \partial_x^2\psi + 2|\psi|^2\psi = 0$ 

with  $|\psi(t, x)| \to 0$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ 

Dark soliton  $\psi(t, x) = e^{-2it} \tanh(x)$ of the defocusing NLS equation

$$i\partial_t\psi + \partial_x^2\psi - 2|\psi|^2\psi = 0$$

with 
$$|\psi(t,x)| \to 1$$
 as  $|x| \to \infty$ 



#### Generalized NLS models

The classical NLS equation realizes a balance between nonlinearity and dispersion for propagation of nonlinear dispersive waves.

$$i\psi_t + \alpha\psi_{xx} + \beta|\psi|^2\psi = 0.$$
 (NLS)

#### Generalized NLS models

The classical NLS equation realizes a balance between nonlinearity and dispersion for propagation of nonlinear dispersive waves.

$$i\psi_t + \alpha\psi_{xx} + \beta|\psi|^2\psi = 0.$$
 (NLS)

Taking into account higher-order nonlinearity and dispersion gives an extended version of the NLS equation:

$$i\psi_t + \alpha\psi_{xx} + \beta|\psi|^2\psi + i\alpha_1\psi_{xxx} + \alpha_2\psi_{xxxx} + i\beta_1|\psi|^2\psi_x + i\beta_2(|\psi|^2\psi)_x + \gamma|\psi|^4\psi = 0.$$

Well-posedness of initial-value problem, stability of nonlinear waves, global dynamics (scattering versus blowup in a finite time), ...

#### NLS models with intensity-dependent dispersion

A new NLS model where the dispersion depends on the wave intensity and vanishes at a selected intensity:

$$i\psi_t + \alpha(1 - |\psi|^2)\psi_{xx} + \beta|\psi|^2\psi = 0.$$
 (NLS-IDD)

C.Y. Lin, J.H. Chang, G. Kurizki, and R.K. Lee, Optics Letters 45 (2020) 1471-1474

#### NLS models with intensity-dependent dispersion

A new NLS model where the dispersion depends on the wave intensity and vanishes at a selected intensity:

$$i\psi_t + \alpha(1 - |\psi|^2)\psi_{xx} + \beta|\psi|^2\psi = 0.$$
 (NLS-IDD)

C.Y. Lin, J.H. Chang, G. Kurizki, and R.K. Lee, Optics Letters 45 (2020) 1471-1474

# Another NLS model where the dispersion diverges at a selected intensity:

$$i\psi_t + \alpha(1 - |\psi|^2)^{-1}\psi_{xx} + \beta|\psi|^2\psi = 0.$$

A.D. Greentree, D. Richards, J.A. Vaccaro, et al., Phys. Rev. A 67 (2003), 023818

#### NLS models with intensity-dependent dispersion

A new NLS model where the dispersion depends on the wave intensity and vanishes at a selected intensity:

$$i\psi_t + \alpha(1 - |\psi|^2)\psi_{xx} + \beta|\psi|^2\psi = 0.$$
 (NLS-IDD)

C.Y. Lin, J.H. Chang, G. Kurizki, and R.K. Lee, Optics Letters 45 (2020) 1471-1474

Another NLS model where the dispersion diverges at a selected intensity:

$$i\psi_t + \alpha(1 - |\psi|^2)^{-1}\psi_{xx} + \beta|\psi|^2\psi = 0.$$

A.D. Greentree, D. Richards, J.A. Vaccaro, et al., Phys. Rev. A 67 (2003), 023818

Another (regularized) NLS model where the dispersion is bounded:

$$i(1-\epsilon^2\partial_x^2)\psi_t + \alpha\psi_{xx} + \beta|\psi|^2\psi = 0.$$

M. Colin, D. Lannes SIMA 41 (2009) 708-732

D. Lannes, Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh Ser A 141 (2011) 253-286

#### Section 2

## Bright solitons in NLS-IDD

#### NLS-IDD model

#### For NLS-IDD,

$$i\psi_t + (1 - |\psi|^2)\psi_{xx} = 0, \qquad (\text{NLS-IDD})$$

two conserved quantities exist:

$$Q(\psi) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \log|1 - |\psi|^2 |dx|^2$$

and

$$E(\psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi_x|^2 dx.$$

#### Local solutions exist in $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$

M. Poppenberg, Nonlinear Anal. 45 (2001) 723

 $\|\psi(t,\cdot)\|_{H^1}$  is controlled for if  $\|\psi(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C < 1$ .

#### Solitary waves (bright solitons)

Standing waves have the form  $\psi(x, t) = e^{i\omega t}u(x)$  with  $(\omega, u)$  satisfying

$$\omega u(x) = (1 - u^2)u''(x).$$

Solitary waves with  $u(x) \to 0$  as  $|x| \to \infty$  exist only if  $\omega > 0$ , in which case  $\omega$  can be scaled out by  $u(x) \mapsto u(\sqrt{\omega}x)$ .

#### Solitary waves (bright solitons)

Standing waves have the form  $\psi(x, t) = e^{i\omega t}u(x)$  with  $(\omega, u)$  satisfying

$$\omega u(x) = (1 - u^2)u''(x).$$

Solitary waves with  $u(x) \to 0$  as  $|x| \to \infty$  exist only if  $\omega > 0$ , in which case  $\omega$  can be scaled out by  $u(x) \mapsto u(\sqrt{\omega}x)$ .

Equation  $(1 - u^2)u'' = u$  is integrable with the first invariant:

$$\frac{1}{2}(u')^2 + \frac{1}{2}\log|1 - u^2| = C = \text{constant}$$

#### Solitary waves (bright solitons)

Equation  $(1 - u^2)u'' = u$  is integrable with the first invariant:

$$\frac{1}{2}(u')^2 + \frac{1}{2}\log|1 - u^2| = C = \text{constant}$$

From the phase portrait, bright solitons are singular at  $u = \pm 1$ .



# Possible solitary waves

Gluing the stable and unstable curves with another integral curve gives a one-parameter family of single-humped solitary waves:



Top left: "cusped soliton". Others: "bell-shaped solitons".

D. Pelinovsky, McMaster University

Singular nonlinear waves

#### Questions on existence and stability of these solitary waves

- ▷ In what space (in what sense) do they exist?
- ▷ What is the nature of singularity at  $u = \pm 1$ ?
- ▷ Can these solutions be characterized variationally?

#### Existence result

#### Definition

We say that  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$  is a weak solution of the differential equation  $u = (1 - u^2)u''$  if it satisfies the following equation

 $\langle u, \varphi \rangle + \langle (1-u^2)u', \varphi' \rangle - 2 \langle u(u')^2, \varphi \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}),$ 

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the inner product in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

#### Existence result

#### Definition

We say that  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$  is a weak solution of the differential equation  $u = (1 - u^2)u''$  if it satisfies the following equation

 $\langle u, \varphi \rangle + \langle (1 - u^2)u', \varphi' \rangle - 2 \langle u(u')^2, \varphi \rangle = 0, \text{ for every } \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}),$ where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the inner product in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

#### Theorem (Ross-Kevrekidis-P, Q.Appl.Math. 79 (2021) 641)

There exists a one-parameter continuous family of weak, positive, and single-humped solutions of  $u = (1 - u^2)u''$  parametrized by C.

After orbits have been glued, we need to show that

# Nature of singularity at u = 1

It follows from the first invariant

$$\frac{1}{2}(u')^2 + \frac{1}{2}\log|1 - u^2| = C,$$

that the cusped soliton is defined by the implicit function

$$|x| = \int_{u}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{-\log(1-\xi^2)}}, \quad u \in (0,1).$$

Asymptotic analysis gives as  $|x| \rightarrow 0$ :

$$u(x) = 1 - |x| \sqrt{\log(1/|x|)} \left[ 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log\log(1/|x|)}{\log(1/|x|)}\right) \right].$$

[Alfimov–Korobeinikov–Lustri–P, Nonlinearity 32 (2019) 3445] Hence,  $u'(x) \sim \sqrt{\log(1/|x|)}$  and  $(1 - u^2)u'(x) \sim |x|\log(1/|x|)$ .

#### Stability result ?

Recall the conserved quantities:

$$Q(\psi) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \log|1 - |\psi|^2 |dx, \quad E(\psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi_x|^2 dx.$$

Solitary wave  $\psi(x, t) = u(x)e^{i\omega t}$  is a critical point of the action

 $\Lambda_{\omega}(u) = E(u) + \omega Q(u).$ 

Expanding near the solitary wave yields

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_{\omega}(u+\varphi) - \Lambda_{\omega}(u) &= 2\langle u', \varphi' \rangle + 2\omega \langle (1-u^2)^{-1}u, \varphi \rangle \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(\|\varphi'\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(1-u^2)^{-1}\varphi\|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty}^2), \end{split}$$

which is not compatible with the definition of weak solutions:

$$u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}): \quad \omega \langle u, \varphi \rangle + \langle (1-u^2)u', \varphi' \rangle - 2 \langle u(u')^2, \varphi \rangle = 0,$$

for every  $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

# New definition of weak solutions

#### Definition

#### Fix L > 0 and define

 $X_L := \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : \ u(x) > 1, \ x \in (-L, L) \text{ and } u(x) \le 1, \ |x| \ge L \right\}.$ 

Pick  $u_L \in X_L$  satisfying

$$\lim_{|x| \to L} \frac{u_L(x) - 1}{(L - |x|)\sqrt{|\log|L - |x|||}} = 1.$$

We say that  $u \in X_L \subset H^1(\mathbb{R})$  is a weak solution if it satisfies the following equation

$$\langle u', \varphi' \rangle + \omega \langle (1 - u^2)^{-1} u, \varphi \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in H_L^1,$$
  
where  $H_L^1 := \left\{ \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : (1 - u_L^2)^{-1} \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \right\}$ 

#### Stability result

#### Theorem (P-Ross-Kevrekidis, J. Phys. A 54 (2021) 445701)

For every  $\mu > 0$  and L > 0, there exists a unique minimizer of the constrained variational problem

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mu,L} := \inf_{u \in X_L} \{ Q(u) : \quad E(u) = \mu \}.$$

For the proof, we need to complete three steps:

- ▷ Monotonicity of mappings  $C \mapsto E(u_C)$  and  $C \mapsto \ell_C$ , where  $2\ell_C$  is the length of the bell head;
- ▷ Scaling transformation from  $\ell_C$  to L
- ▷ Convexity of action  $\Lambda_{\omega=1}$  at  $u_C$ .

It follows from  $(u')^2 + \log|1 - u^2| = 2C$  that

$$E(u_C) = E(u_{cusp}) + 2\int_{1}^{\sqrt{1+e^{2C}}} \sqrt{2C - \log(u^2 - 1)} du$$

and

$$\ell_C = \int_1^{\sqrt{1+e^{2C}}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{2C - \log(u^2 - 1)}}$$

It follows from  $(u')^2 + \log|1 - u^2| = 2C$  that

$$E(u_C) = E(u_{cusp}) + 2\int_{1}^{\sqrt{1+e^{2C}}} \sqrt{2C - \log(u^2 - 1)} du$$

and

$$\ell_C = \int_1^{\sqrt{1 + e^{2C}}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{2C - \log(u^2 - 1)}}$$

 $\frac{dE(u_C)}{dC} > 0$  follows from

$$\frac{dE(u_C)}{dC} = 2 \int_1^{\sqrt{1+e^{2C}}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{2C - \log(u^2 - 1)}} = 2\ell_C.$$

It follows from  $(u')^2 + \log|1 - u^2| = 2C$  that

$$E(u_C) = E(u_{cusp}) + 2\int_{1}^{\sqrt{1+e^{2C}}} \sqrt{2C - \log(u^2 - 1)} du$$

and

$$\ell_C = \int_1^{\sqrt{1+e^{2C}}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{2C - \log(u^2 - 1)}}$$

 $\frac{d\ell_C}{dC} > 0$  follows from a longer computation, where we use **the period function** for periodic orbits on the phase plane.

It follows from  $(u')^2 + \log|1 - u^2| = 2C$  that

$$E(u_C) = E(u_{cusp}) + 2\int_1^{\sqrt{1+e^{2C}}} \sqrt{2C - \log(u^2 - 1)} du$$

and

$$\ell_C = \int_1^{\sqrt{1+e^{2C}}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{2C - \log(u^2 - 1)}}$$

The mapping  $C \mapsto Q(u_C)$  is non-monotone.

# Numerical illustrations of mappings $C \mapsto \ell_C, E(u_C), Q(u_C)$



17/32

# Scaling transformation

The variational problem for  $\mu > 0$  and L > 0:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mu,L} := \inf_{u \in X_L} \{ Q(u) : \quad E(u) = \mu \},$$

is associated with the Euler–Lagrange equation  $\omega u = (1 - u^2)u''$ .

#### Scaling transformation

The variational problem for  $\mu > 0$  and L > 0:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mu,L} := \inf_{u \in X_L} \{ Q(u) : \quad E(u) = \mu \},$$

is associated with the Euler–Lagrange equation  $\omega u = (1 - u^2)u''$ .

Let  $u_C$  be a solution of  $u = (1 - u^2)u''$ . Then,  $u_{\omega}(x) = u_C(\sqrt{\omega}x)$  is a solution of the Euler–Lagrange equation so that

$$Q(u_{\omega}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega}}Q(u_C), \quad E(u_{\omega}) = \sqrt{\omega}E(u_C)$$

and

$$L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega}} \ell_C, \quad \mu = \sqrt{\omega} E(u_C).$$

# Scaling transformation

The variational problem for  $\mu > 0$  and L > 0:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mu,L} := \inf_{u \in X_L} \{ Q(u) : \quad E(u) = \mu \},$$

is associated with the Euler–Lagrange equation  $\omega u = (1 - u^2)u''$ .

Transformation  $(\omega, C) \mapsto (\mu, L)$  is invertible because the Jacobian is

$$\frac{\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \omega}}{\frac{\partial L}{\partial \omega}} \frac{\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial C}}{\frac{\partial L}{\partial \omega}} = \frac{1}{2\omega} \left[ E(u_C) \frac{d\ell_C}{dC} + \ell_C \frac{dE(u_C)}{dC} \right] > 0.$$

Hence the mapping  $(\omega, C) \mapsto (\mu, L)$  is invertible and there exists a unique  $C = C_{\mu,L}$  for every  $\mu > 0$  and L > 0. In fact,  $\ell_C E(u_C) = L\mu$ .

Let v + iw with real  $v, w \in H^1_{\ell_C} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R})$  be a perturbation to  $u_C$ . Then, the action is expanded as

 $\Lambda_{\omega=1}(u_C + v + iw) = \Lambda_{\omega=1}(u_C) + Q_+(v) + Q_-(w) + R(v, w),$ 

where R(v, w) is the remainder term

$$R(v,w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \log \left( 1 - \frac{2u_C v + v^2 + w^2}{1 - u_C^2} \right) + \frac{2u_C v}{1 - u_C^2} + \frac{(1 + u_C^2)v^2}{(1 - u_C^2)^2} + \frac{w^2}{1 - u_C^2} \right] dx.$$

Let v + iw with real  $v, w \in H^1_{\ell_C} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R})$  be a perturbation to  $u_C$ . Then, the action is expanded as

 $\Lambda_{\omega=1}(u_C + v + iw) = \Lambda_{\omega=1}(u_C) + Q_+(v) + Q_-(w) + R(v, w),$ 

Bright soliton is energetically stable in a Banach space *X* if

 $\Lambda_{\omega=1}(u_C + v + iw) - \Lambda_{\omega=1}(u_C) \ge K(\|u\|_X^2 + \|v\|_X^2) - K(\|u\|_X^3 + \|v\|_X^3).$ 

Let v + iw with real  $v, w \in H^1_{\ell_C} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R})$  be a perturbation to  $u_C$ . Then, the action is expanded as

 $\Lambda_{\omega=1}(u_C + v + iw) = \Lambda_{\omega=1}(u_C) + Q_+(v) + Q_-(w) + R(v, w),$ 

R(v, w) is cubic with respect to perturbation:

 $|R(v,w)| \le K ||(1-u_C^2)^{-1}v||_{L^2 \cap L^{\infty}}^3 + K ||(1-u_C^2)^{-1}w||_{L^2 \cap L^{\infty}}^3,$ 

Let v + iw with real  $v, w \in H^1_{\ell_C} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R})$  be a perturbation to  $u_C$ . Then, the action is expanded as

 $\Lambda_{\omega=1}(u_{C} + v + iw) = \Lambda_{\omega=1}(u_{C}) + Q_{+}(v) + Q_{-}(w) + R(v, w),$ 

whereas  $Q_+$  and  $Q_-$  are the quadratic forms:

$$Q_{+}(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ (v_{x})^{2} + \frac{(1+u_{C}^{2})v^{2}}{(1-u_{C}^{2})^{2}} \right] dx, Q_{-}(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ (w_{x})^{2} + \frac{w^{2}}{1-u_{C}^{2}} \right] dx,$$

The quadratic forms are coercive and bounded as

 $Q_{\pm}(v) \ge \|v\|_{H^1}^2, \quad Q_{\pm}(v) \le K_{\pm} \left(\|v'\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(1-u_C^2)^{-1}v\|_{L^2}^2\right)$ 

Hence  $u_{C_{uL}}$  is a minimizer of Q(u) in  $X_L$  for fixed L > 0 and  $\mu > 0$ .

Solving NLS-IDD numerically

$$i\psi_t + (1 - |\psi|^2)\psi_{xx} = 0,$$

from the initial data:

$$\psi(x,0) = \begin{cases} Pu_{C,\text{head}}(x), & |x| < \ell_C \\ u_{\text{cusp}}(|x| - \ell_C), & |x| \ge \ell_C \end{cases}$$

where  $P \neq 1$  is the perturbation factor.



#### Summary on bright solitons

We have considered NLS-IDD model

 $i\psi_t + (1 - |\psi|^2)\psi_{xx} = 0.$ 

- ▷ Continuum of singular solitary waves exists  $\psi(x, t) = u_C(x)e^{it}$ .
- ▷ Each solitary wave can be characterized as a minimizer of mass for fixed energy and fixed distance between two singularities.
- ▷ Numerical computations show stability of the bright solitons.

#### Section 3

#### Dark solitons in NLS-IDD

#### Another NLS-IDD model

For another NLS-IDD,

$$i(1-|\psi|^2)\psi_t+\psi_{xx}=0,$$

transformation  $\psi(t, x) = u(t, x)e^{2it}$  recovers the defocusing NLS

$$i(1-|u|^2)u_t + u_{xx} + 2(1-|u|^2)u = 0,$$

which admit the black soliton in the form u(x) = tanh(x).

Dark solitons  $u(t, x) = U_c(x - 2ct)$  are found from

$$U_c'' - 2ic(1 - |U_c|^2)U_c' + 2(1 - |U_c|^2)U_c = 0,$$

for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

#### Time evolution

Solutions are to be considered in the set  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

 $\mathcal{F} := \left\{ f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) : |f(x)| < 1, \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \ |f(x)| \to 1 \text{ as } |x| \to \infty \right\}.$ 

Dark solitons exist with  $U_c \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Conjecture:** the set  $\mathcal{F}$  is invariant under the time evolution of the NLS-IDD for solutions satisfying  $u(t, \cdot) - U_c \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), t \in [0, \tau_0)$ .

#### Time evolution

Solutions are to be considered in the set  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

 $\mathcal{F} := \left\{ f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) : |f(x)| < 1, \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \ |f(x)| \to 1 \text{ as } |x| \to \infty \right\}.$ 

Dark solitons exist with  $U_c \in \mathcal{F}$ .

NLS-IDD admits conserved mass and energy

$$M(\psi) = \int (1 - |\psi|^2)^2 dx, \quad E(\psi) = \int |\psi_x|^2 dx$$

as well as momentum

$$P(\psi) = \frac{1}{2i} \int \frac{(1 - |\psi|^2)^2}{|\psi|^2} (\bar{\psi}\psi_x - \bar{\psi}_x\psi) dx.$$

Their conservation is proven for smooth solutions satisfying  $\psi(t,x) = e^{i\theta_{\pm}}(1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\alpha_{\pm}|x|}))$  as  $x \to \pm \infty$ .

D. Pelinovsky, McMaster University

Singular nonlinear waves

#### Linearization and spectral stability of the black soliton

Using the decomposition  $\psi(t, x) = e^{-2it}[\varphi(x) + u(t, x) + iv(t, x)]$ , where  $\varphi(x) = \tanh(x)$  and u + iv is the perturbation, we obtain the linearized equations of motion

$$(1 - \varphi^2)u_t = L_- v, \quad (1 - \varphi^2)v_t = -L_+ u,$$

where  $L_{+} = -\partial_x^2 + 4 - 6\operatorname{sech}^2(x)$  and  $L_{-} = -\partial_x^2 - 2\operatorname{sech}^2(x)$  are the same as in the NLS equation.

#### Linearization and spectral stability of the black soliton

Using the decomposition  $\psi(t, x) = e^{-2it}[\varphi(x) + u(t, x) + iv(t, x)]$ , where  $\varphi(x) = \tanh(x)$  and u + iv is the perturbation, we obtain the linearized equations of motion

$$(1 - \varphi^2)u_t = L_- v, \quad (1 - \varphi^2)v_t = -L_+ u,$$

where  $L_{+} = -\partial_x^2 + 4 - 6\operatorname{sech}^2(x)$  and  $L_{-} = -\partial_x^2 - 2\operatorname{sech}^2(x)$  are the same as in the NLS equation.

The spectral problem

$$L_{-}v = \lambda(1 - \varphi^2)u, \quad L_{+}u = -\lambda(1 - \varphi^2)v$$

is defined in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with the inner product

$$(f,g)_{\mathcal{H}} := \int (1-\varphi^2)\bar{f}gdx = \int \operatorname{sech}^2(x)\bar{f}(x)g(x)dx.$$

#### Linearization and spectral stability of the black soliton

The spectral problem

$$L_{-}v = \lambda(1-\varphi^2)u, \quad L_{+}u = -\lambda(1-\varphi^2)v$$

is defined in the Hilbert space  ${\mathcal H}$  with the inner product

$$(f,g)_{\mathcal{H}} := \int (1-\varphi^2)\bar{f}gdx = \int \operatorname{sech}^2(x)\bar{f}(x)g(x)dx.$$

Theorem (P-Plum, SIAM J. Math. Anal. (2023), in print)

- ▷ The spectrum of  $L_+$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  consists of simple eigenvalues  $\mu_n = n(n+5), n \ge 0.$
- ▷ The spectrum of  $L_{-}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  consists of simple eigenvalues  $\nu_{n} = n(n+1) 2, n \ge 0.$
- ▷ The spectrum of the stability problem in  $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$  consists of pairs of isolated eigenvalues { $\pm i\omega_1, \pm i\omega_2, \cdots$ } and zero eigenvalue.

Expanding the energy functional

$$\Lambda(\psi) := \int [|\psi_x|^2 + (1 - |\psi|^2)^2] dx$$

at the black soliton  $\varphi(x) = \tanh(x)$  yields

$$\Lambda(\psi = \varphi + u + iv) - \Lambda(\varphi) = Q_+(u) + Q_-(v) + R(u, v),$$

where  $Q_+(u) = (L_+u, u)_{L^2}$ ,  $Q_-(v) = (L_-v, v)_{L^2}$ , and

$$R(u,v) = \int [(2\varphi u + u^2 + v^2)^2 - 4\varphi^2 u^2] dx$$

Expanding the energy functional

$$\Lambda(\psi) := \int [|\psi_x|^2 + (1 - |\psi|^2)^2] dx$$

at the black soliton  $\varphi(x) = \tanh(x)$  yields

$$\Lambda(\psi = \varphi + u + iv) - \Lambda(\varphi) = Q_{+}(u) + Q_{-}(v) + R(u, v),$$
  
where  $Q_{+}(u) = (L_{+}u, u)_{L^{2}}, Q_{-}(v) = (L_{-}v, v)_{L^{2}},$  and  
$$R(u, v) = \int [(2\varphi u + u^{2} + v^{2})^{2} - 4\varphi^{2}u^{2}]dx$$

Black soliton is energetically stable in a Banach space X if

$$\Lambda(\psi) - \Lambda(\varphi) \ge C(\|u\|_X^2 + \|v\|_X^2) - C(\|u\|_X^3 + \|v\|_X^3).$$

Expanding the energy functional

$$\Lambda(\psi) := \int [|\psi_x|^2 + (1 - |\psi|^2)^2] dx$$

at the black soliton  $\varphi(x) = \tanh(x)$  yields

$$\Lambda(\psi = \varphi + u + iv) - \Lambda(\varphi) = Q_{+}(u) + Q_{-}(v) + R(u, v),$$
  
where  $Q_{+}(u) = (L_{+}u, u)_{L^{2}}, Q_{-}(v) = (L_{-}v, v)_{L^{2}},$  and

$$R(u,v) = \int [(2\varphi u + u^2 + v^2)^2 - 4\varphi^2 u^2] dx$$

However, two obstacles arise due to nonzero boundary conditions

- $\triangleright L_{-} = -\partial_x^2 2\operatorname{sech}^2(x)$  is not coercive in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$
- $\triangleright R(u,v)$  is not cubic if  $(u,v) \notin H^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

For the cubic NLS, these issues were handled in [Gravejat–Smets, 2015] by using the revised decomposition

$$\Lambda(\psi = \varphi + u + iv) - \Lambda(\varphi) = Q_{-}(u) + Q_{-}(v) + \|\eta\|_{L^2}^2$$

where  $Q_{-}(v) = (L_{-}v, v)_{L^2}$  and  $\eta := |\psi|^2 - \varphi^2 = 2\varphi u + u^2 + v^2$ . The distance for perturbations in Banach space *X* was chosen to be

$$\mathcal{D}_X(\psi_1,\psi_2) := \sqrt{\|\psi_1' - \psi_2'\|_{L^2}^2 + \||\psi_1|^2 - |\psi_2|^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}$$

For the cubic NLS, these issues were handled in [Gravejat–Smets, 2015] by using the revised decomposition

$$\Lambda(\psi = \varphi + u + iv) - \Lambda(\varphi) = Q_{-}(u) + Q_{-}(v) + \|\eta\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$

where  $Q_{-}(v) = (L_{-}v, v)_{L^2}$  and  $\eta := |\psi|^2 - \varphi^2 = 2\varphi u + u^2 + v^2$ . The distance for perturbations in Banach space *X* was chosen to be

$$\mathcal{D}_X(\psi_1,\psi_2) := \sqrt{\|\psi_1' - \psi_2'\|_{L^2}^2 + \||\psi_1|^2 - |\psi_2|^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}$$

For the NLS-IDD, we have several advantages:

- $\triangleright$   $\mathcal{H}$  appears naturally in the time evolution
- $\triangleright \ Q_{-}(u)$  and  $Q_{-}(v)$  are coercive in  $\mathcal{H}$  if
  - $\triangleright \ u \in \mathcal{H}$  satisfies orthogonality  $(\varphi', u)_{\mathcal{H}} = (\varphi, u)_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$
  - $\triangleright \ \ v \in \mathcal{H} \text{ satisfies orthogonality } (\varphi', v)_{\mathcal{H}} = (\varphi, v)_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$

For the cubic NLS, these issues were handled in [Gravejat–Smets, 2015] by using the revised decomposition

$$\Lambda(\psi = \varphi + u + iv) - \Lambda(\varphi) = Q_{-}(u) + Q_{-}(v) + \|\eta\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$

where  $Q_{-}(v) = (L_{-}v, v)_{L^2}$  and  $\eta := |\psi|^2 - \varphi^2 = 2\varphi u + u^2 + v^2$ . The distance for perturbations in Banach space *X* was chosen to be

$$\mathcal{D}_X(\psi_1,\psi_2) := \sqrt{\|\psi_1' - \psi_2'\|_{L^2}^2 + \||\psi_1|^2 - |\psi_2|^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}$$

For the four orthogonality conditions, we use the decomposition

$$\psi(t,x) = e^{i\theta(t)} \left[ U_{c(t),\omega(t)}(x+\zeta(t)) + u(t,x+\zeta(t)) + iv(t,x+\zeta(t)) \right],$$

where the additional parameter  $\omega$  is due to the scaling invariance  $\psi(t, x) \mapsto \psi(\omega^2 t, \omega x)$  of the NLS equation  $i(1 - |\psi|^2)\psi_t + \psi_{xx} = 0$ .

For the cubic NLS, these issues were handled in [Gravejat–Smets, 2015] by using the revised decomposition

$$\Lambda(\psi = \varphi + u + iv) - \Lambda(\varphi) = Q_{-}(u) + Q_{-}(v) + \|\eta\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$

where  $Q_{-}(v) = (L_{-}v, v)_{L^2}$  and  $\eta := |\psi|^2 - \varphi^2 = 2\varphi u + u^2 + v^2$ . The distance for perturbations in Banach space *X* was chosen to be

$$\mathcal{D}_X(\psi_1,\psi_2) := \sqrt{\|\psi_1' - \psi_2'\|_{L^2}^2 + \||\psi_1|^2 - |\psi_2|^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}$$

Theorem (P–Plum, SIAM J. Math. Anal. (2023), in print)

Assume that the initial-value problem is well-posed in  $\mathcal{F} \subset X$  with the distance  $\mathcal{D}_X$  and the values of  $M(\psi)$ ,  $E(\psi)$ , and  $P(\psi)$  are conserved in the time evolution. Then, the black soliton is orbitally stable in X.

#### Summary on the black soliton

We considered NLS-IDD model

 $i(1 - |\psi|^2)\psi_t + \psi_{xx} = 0.$ 

- ▷ Linearization at the black soliton consists of isolated eigenvalues
- Perturbations near the black soliton are controlled by the conserved energy, mass, and momentum.
- ▷ Local existence of solutions in the set  $\mathcal{F} \subset X$  needs to be addressed in future.

#### Section 4

#### More NLS models?

# Further emerging NLS models

The regularized NLS equation

$$i(1 - \epsilon^2 \partial_x^2)u_t + u_{xx} + 2(1 - |u|^2)u = 0.$$

M. Colin, D. Lannes SIMA 41 (2009) 708–732D. Lannes, Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh Ser A 141 (2011) 253–286

- ▷ Local well-posedness can be shown for  $u = \varphi + v$ , where  $\varphi(x) = \tanh(x)$  and  $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$  with  $s > \frac{1}{2}$ .
- ▷ Theorem [P–Plum, Proceeding of AMS (2023), in print]. The black soliton  $\varphi$  is spectrally stable for  $\epsilon \le \epsilon_0 := (5/8)^{1/4}$ and spectrally unstable for  $\epsilon > \epsilon_0$ .
- ▷ However, the energy is defined for  $v \in H^1$  and the momentum is defined for  $v \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Orbital stability of black soliton is open.

Initial data:  $u_0(x) = \tanh(x) + ia \operatorname{sech}^2(x)$  with a = 0.01

 $\epsilon = 0.5$ 



D. Pelinovsky, McMaster University

Singular nonlinear waves

 $\epsilon = 1$ 



D. Pelinovsky, McMaster University

#### Singular nonlinear waves

 $\epsilon = 0$ 



### Conclusion

- ▷ We have considered new variations of the classical NLS model with intensity-dependent dispersion or a regularized dispersion.
- Bright and black solitons are energetically stable in the energy space but singularities of the NLS models with intensity-dependent dispersion may suggest problems in the existence of time-dependent solutions in the energy space.
- ▷ Regularized NLS models are well-posed in the energy space but the energy space does not coincide with the momentum space.

#### Conclusion

- ▷ We have considered new variations of the classical NLS model with intensity-dependent dispersion or a regularized dispersion.
- Bright and black solitons are energetically stable in the energy space but singularities of the NLS models with intensity-dependent dispersion may suggest problems in the existence of time-dependent solutions in the energy space.
- ▷ Regularized NLS models are well-posed in the energy space but the energy space does not coincide with the momentum space.

#### MANY THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!