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## Section 2

## Breathers and Modulating pulses

## Examples of a breather

The standard example is the breather of the sine-Gordon equation:

$$
u_{t t}-u_{x x}+\sin (u)=0
$$

given by the exact solution

$$
u(x, t)=4 \arctan \frac{\sqrt{1-\omega^{2}} \cos (\omega t)}{\omega \cosh \left(\sqrt{1-\omega^{2}} x\right)}, \quad 0<\omega<1
$$

This is the standing breather which also generates a family of moving breathers by the Lorentz transformation:

$$
u(x, t)=\tilde{u}\left(\frac{x-c t}{\sqrt{1-c^{2}}}, \frac{t-c x}{\sqrt{1-c^{2}}}\right), \quad-1<c<1 .
$$

## Examples of a breather

The breather solution satisfies

$$
u(x, t+T)=u(x, t) \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t)=0
$$

with $T=2 \pi / \omega$.


## Examples of a breather

One striking asymptotic limit is the small-amplitude, slow-scale approximation:

$$
u(x, t)=4 \arctan \frac{\sqrt{1-\omega^{2}} \cos (\omega t)}{\omega \cosh \left(\sqrt{1-\omega^{2}} x\right)}, \quad \omega \in(0,1)
$$

If $\varepsilon:=\sqrt{1-\omega^{2}}$ is small, then the power expansions yields

$$
u(x, t)=4 \varepsilon \operatorname{sech}(\varepsilon x) \cos (\omega(\varepsilon) t)+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)
$$

with

$$
\omega(\varepsilon)=\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{2}}=1-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{4}\right)
$$

## Small-amplitude expansions

This suggest the reduction of the sine-Gordon equation

$$
u_{t t}-u_{x x}+\sin (u)=0
$$

with the small-amplitude, slow-scale expansions

$$
u(x, t)=\varepsilon\left[A\left(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^{2} t\right) e^{i t}+\bar{A}\left(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^{2} t\right) e^{-i t}\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)
$$

Since $\sin (u)=u-\frac{1}{6} u^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(u^{5}\right)$ and $e^{ \pm i t}$ are in the null space of $1+\partial_{t}^{2}$ in $L_{\text {per }}^{2}$, we get the NLS equation for $A=A(\xi, \tau)$ from the solvability condition in $L_{\text {per }}^{2}$ at the order of $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)$ :

$$
2 i A_{\tau}-A_{\xi \xi}-\frac{1}{2}|A|^{2} A=0
$$

The breather corresponds to the NLS soliton $A(\xi, \tau)=2 \operatorname{sech}(\xi) e^{-\frac{i}{2} \tau}$.

## Small-amplitude expansions

However, the expansions fail for non-integrable versions of the wave equation, e.g. for the $\phi^{4}$ theory:

$$
u_{t t}-u_{x x}+u-\frac{1}{6} u^{3}=0
$$
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$$
\sup _{t \in\left[0, \tau_{0} \varepsilon^{-2}\right]} \mid u(\cdot, t)-\varepsilon A\left(\varepsilon \cdot, \varepsilon^{2} t\right) e^{i t}-\varepsilon \bar{A}\left(\varepsilon \cdot, \varepsilon^{2} t\right) e^{-i t} \|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C \varepsilon^{3} .
$$

## Small-amplitude expansions

The breather solutions can be thought to be a solution of the form

$$
u(x, t)=v(\xi, \theta), \quad \xi:=x-c t, \quad \theta:=k x-\omega t
$$

for some approrpriately choosen parameters $c, k, \omega$ and with boundary conditions

$$
u(x, \theta+2 \pi)=u(x, \theta) \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} v(\xi, \theta)=0
$$

The PDE is converted to the spatial dynamical system in $\xi$ by using Fourier series in $\theta$. A center manifold does not allow us generally to construct a homoclinic orbit with zero boundary conditions.
$\triangleright$ M. Groves and G. Schneider, Comm. Math. Phys. 219 (2001); J. Diff. Eqs. 219 (2005); Comm. Math. Phys. 278 (2008).

## Small-amplitude expansions

Instead of breathers, we would then have modulating pulses which are not trully localized (also called generalized breathers).


## Breathers versus modulating pulses

Besides integrable systems, true breathers exist in some models:
$\triangleright$ Lattices with weak coupling:

$$
\ddot{u}_{n}-\epsilon^{2}(\Delta u)_{n}+u_{n}+u_{n}^{3}=0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

S. Aubry \& R. MacKay (1994); D.P., T. Penati, S. Paleari (2020)
$\triangleright$ Systems with periodic coefficients

$$
s(x) u_{t t}-u_{x x}-\rho(x) u+u^{3}=0, \quad s(x+2 \pi)=s(x), \rho(x+2 \pi)=\rho(x) .
$$

C. Blank, M. Chirilus, V. Lescarret, G. Schneider (2011);
A. Hirsch \& W. Reichel (2019); S. Kohler \& W. Reichel (2022)
$\triangleright$ Curl-curl wave equations: M. Plum \& W. Reichel (2016), (2023)

## Breathers versus modulating pulses

In more general models, modulating pulses exist instead of breathers:
$\triangleright$ Standing modulating pulse solutions of the wave equation with periodic coefficients

$$
u_{t t}-u_{x x}-\rho(x) u+u^{3}=0, \quad \rho(x+2 \pi)=\rho(x)
$$

V. Lescarret, G. Schneider (2009); T. Dohnal, D. Rudolf (2020)
$\triangleright$ Traveling modulating pulse solutions of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation with periodic potentials:

$$
i \psi_{t}=-\psi_{x x}+\rho(x) \psi+|\psi|^{2} \psi, \quad \rho(x+2 \pi)=\rho(x)
$$

D.P \& G. Schneider (2008); D.P. (2011);

## Breathers versus modulating pulses

No results for traveling modulating pulse solutions in the wave equation with periodic coefficients so far.

$$
u_{t t}-u_{x x}+\rho(x) u=\gamma u^{3}, \quad \rho(x+2 \pi)=\rho(x) .
$$

Here traveling modulating pulses have three spatial scales:

$$
\xi=x-c t, \quad \theta=k x-\omega t, \quad x
$$

T. Dohnal, D.P., G. Schneider, Nonlinearity (2024) under review.

## Section 3

## Traveling modulating pulses in the wave equation with periodic coefficients

## Linear theory and traveling modulating pulses

Consider the linear wave equation

$$
\partial_{t}^{2} u(x, t)-\partial_{x}^{2} u(x, t)+\rho(x) u(x, t)=0, \quad \rho(x+2 \pi)=\rho(x)
$$

with $2 \pi$-periodic, bounded, and positive coefficient $\rho$.

## Linear theory and traveling modulating pulses

Consider the linear wave equation

$$
\partial_{t}^{2} u(x, t)-\partial_{x}^{2} u(x, t)+\rho(x) u(x, t)=0, \quad \rho(x+2 \pi)=\rho(x)
$$

with $2 \pi$-periodic, bounded, and positive coefficient $\rho$.
Solutions are given by the family of Bloch modes:

$$
u(x, t)=e^{ \pm i \omega_{n}(l) t} e^{i l x} f_{n}(l, x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad l \in \mathbb{B}:=\mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Z}
$$

where $f_{n}(l, x)=f_{n}(l, x+2 \pi)$ and $f_{n}(l, x)=f_{n}(l+1, x) e^{\mathrm{i} x}$ are $L^{2}([0,2 \pi])$ normalized eigenfunctions and

$$
0<\omega_{1}(l) \leq \omega_{2}(l) \leq \cdots \leq \omega_{n}(l) \leq \omega_{n+1}(l) \leq \ldots \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{B}
$$

## Linear theory and traveling modulating pulses
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with $2 \pi$-periodic, bounded, and positive coefficient $\rho$.


## Linear theory and traveling modulating pulses

Consider the linear wave equation

$$
\partial_{t}^{2} u(x, t)-\partial_{x}^{2} u(x, t)+\rho(x) u(x, t)=0, \quad \rho(x+2 \pi)=\rho(x)
$$

with $2 \pi$-periodic, bounded, and positive coefficient $\rho$.
For fixed $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l_{0} \in \mathbb{B}$, we can approximate the traveling modulating pulse by

$$
u_{\mathrm{app}}(x, t)=\varepsilon A\left(\varepsilon\left(x-c_{g} t\right), \varepsilon^{2} t\right) f_{n_{0}}\left(l_{0}, x\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} l_{0} x} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{n_{0}}\left(l_{0}\right) t}+c . c .
$$

where $c_{g}=\omega_{n_{0}}^{\prime}\left(l_{0}\right)$, and $A=A(X, T)$ is a soliton of the NLS equation:

$$
2 \mathrm{i} \partial_{T} A+\omega_{n_{0}}^{\prime \prime}\left(l_{0}\right) \partial_{X}^{2} A+\gamma_{n_{0}}\left(l_{0}\right)|A|^{2} A=0
$$

with $\gamma_{n_{0}}\left(l_{0}\right)=3\left\|f_{n_{0}}\left(l_{0}, \cdot\right)\right\|_{L^{4}}^{4} / \omega_{n_{0}}\left(l_{0}\right)$.

## Main theorem [T. Dohnal, D.P., G. Schneider (2024)]

Choose $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l_{0} \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $\omega_{n}\left(l_{0}\right) \neq \omega_{n_{0}}\left(l_{0}\right), \forall n \neq n_{0}$, $\omega_{n_{0}}^{\prime}\left(l_{0}\right) \neq \pm 1, \omega_{n_{0}}^{\prime \prime}\left(l_{0}\right) \neq 0$, and

$$
\omega_{n}^{2}\left(m l_{0}\right) \neq m^{2} \omega_{n_{0}}^{2}\left(l_{0}\right), \quad m \in\{3,5, \ldots 2 N+1\}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

There are $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and $C>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ there exist traveling modulating pulse solutions of the semi-linear wave equation:

$$
u(x, t)=v(\xi, z, x) \quad \text { with } \xi=x-c_{g} t, \quad z=l_{0} x-\omega t,
$$

with $v \in C^{2}\left(\left[-\varepsilon^{-(2 N+1)}, \varepsilon^{-(2 N+1)}\right], \mathcal{X}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\sup _{\xi \in\left[-\varepsilon^{-(2 N+1)}, \varepsilon^{-(2 N+1)}\right]}|v(\xi, z, x)-h(\xi, z, x)| \leq C \varepsilon^{2 N},
$$

where $\mathcal{X}:=H_{\text {per }}^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}, L^{2}(\mathbb{T})\right) \cap H_{\text {per }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{T}, H_{\text {per }}^{1}(\mathbb{T})\right) \cap L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}, H_{\text {per }}^{2}(\mathbb{T})\right)$.
The function $h \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{X})$ satisfies

$$
\lim _{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} h(\xi, z, x)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sup _{\xi, z, x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|h(\xi, z, x)-u_{\mathrm{app}}(\xi, z, x)\right| \leq C \varepsilon^{2}
$$

## Some remarks about the main result

The illustration of the main result is the same picture:


## Some remarks about the main result

As a consequence, the modulating pulses are relevant for the initial-value problem for the wave equation.

## Theorem

Let $v$ be the constructed solution and take an arbitrary function $\phi \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R} \backslash\left[-\varepsilon^{-(2 N+1)}, \varepsilon^{-(2 N+1)}\right], \mathcal{X}\right)$ such that
$v_{\mathrm{ext}}(\xi, z, x):= \begin{cases}v(\xi, x, z), & (\xi, x, z) \in\left[-\varepsilon^{-(2 N+1)}, \varepsilon^{-(2 N+1)}\right] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \phi(\xi, x, z), & (\xi, x, z) \in \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
satisfies $v_{\mathrm{ext}} \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{X})$. Let $u_{0}(x):=v_{\mathrm{ext}}\left(x, \ell_{0} x, x\right)$ and

$$
u_{1}(x):=-c_{g} \partial_{\xi} v_{\mathrm{ext}}\left(x, \ell_{0} x, x\right)-\omega \partial_{z} v_{\mathrm{ext}}\left(x, \ell_{0} x, x\right)
$$

The corresponding solution of the wave equation satisfies $u(x, t)=v\left(x-c_{g} t, l_{0} x-\omega t, x\right)$ for every

$$
(x, t) \in\left[-\varepsilon^{-(2 N+1)}, \varepsilon^{-(2 N+1)}\right] \times(0, \infty) \text { with }|x|+t<\varepsilon^{-2 N+1}
$$

## Spatial dynamics formulation

Starting with the wave equation

$$
\partial_{t}^{2} u(x, t)-\partial_{x}^{2} u(x, t)+\rho(x) u(x, t)=\gamma u(x, t)^{3}, \quad \rho(x+2 \pi)=\rho(x)
$$

we introduce three spatial scales in

$$
u(x, t)=v(\xi, z, x) \quad \text { with } \xi=x-c_{g} t, \quad z=l_{0} x-\omega t
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(c^{2}-1\right) \partial_{\xi}^{2}+2\left(c \omega-l_{0}\right) \partial_{\xi} \partial_{z}-2 \partial_{\xi} \partial_{x}+\left(\omega^{2}-l_{0}^{2}\right) \partial_{z}^{2}-2 l_{0} \partial_{z} \partial_{x}-\partial_{x}^{2}\right] v} \\
& \quad+\rho(x) v=\gamma v^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $v(\xi, z+2 \pi, x)=v(\xi, z, x+2 \pi)=v(\xi, z, x)$. We can use the Fourier series in $z$ but not in $x$.

## Spatial dynamics formulation

By using Fourier series in $z$ and writing the first-order system in $\xi$, we obtain the spatial dynamical system:

$$
\left(1-c^{2}\right) \partial_{\xi}\binom{\tilde{v}_{m}}{\tilde{w}_{m}}=A_{m}(\omega, c)\binom{\tilde{v}_{m}}{\tilde{w}_{m}}-\gamma\binom{0}{(\tilde{v} * \tilde{v} * \tilde{v})_{m}}, m \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

where

$$
A_{m}(\omega, c)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-\left(\partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} m l_{0}\right)^{2}+\rho(x)-m^{2} \omega^{2} & 2 \mathrm{i} m c \omega-2\left(\partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} m l_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}, A_{m}(\omega, c): D \subset R \rightarrow R$ are linear operators with

$$
D=H_{\mathrm{per}}^{2}(\mathbb{T}) \times H_{\mathrm{per}}^{1}(\mathbb{T}), \quad R=H_{\mathrm{per}}^{1}(\mathbb{T}) \times L^{2}(\mathbb{T})
$$

## Spatial dynamics formulation

By using Fourier series in $z$ and writing the first-order system in $\xi$, we obtain the spatial dynamical system:

$$
\left(1-c^{2}\right) \partial_{\xi}\binom{\tilde{v}_{m}}{\tilde{w}_{m}}=A_{m}(\omega, c)\binom{\tilde{v}_{m}}{\tilde{w}_{m}}-\gamma\binom{0}{(\tilde{v} * \tilde{v} * \tilde{v})_{m}}, m \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

where

$$
A_{m}(\omega, c)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-\left(\partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} m l_{0}\right)^{2}+\rho(x)-m^{2} \omega^{2} & 2 \mathrm{i} m c \omega-2\left(\partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} m l_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

We are looking for the solution map
$\left[0, \xi_{0}\right] \ni \xi \mapsto\left(\tilde{v}_{m}, \tilde{w}_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \in C^{1}\left(\left[0, \xi_{0}\right], \mathcal{D}\right)$ in function space

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}:= & {\left[\ell^{2,2}\left(\mathbb{Z}, L^{2}(\mathbb{T})\right) \cap \ell^{2,1}\left(\mathbb{Z}, H_{\mathrm{per}}^{1}(\mathbb{T})\right) \cap \ell^{2,0}\left(\mathbb{Z}, H_{\mathrm{per}}^{2}(\mathbb{T})\right)\right] } \\
& \times\left[\ell^{2,1}\left(\mathbb{Z}, L^{2}(\mathbb{T})\right) \cap \ell^{2,0}\left(\mathbb{Z}, H_{\mathrm{per}}^{1}(\mathbb{T})\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Eigenvalues of the spatial system

Recall that the bifurcation case corresponds to $\omega_{0}=\omega_{n_{0}}\left(l_{0}\right)$ and $c_{g}=\omega_{n_{0}}^{\prime}\left(l_{0}\right)$. The eigenvalue problem $A_{m}\left(\omega_{0}, c_{g}\right) \vec{V}=\lambda \vec{V}$ is reformulated in the scalar form:

$$
\left[-\left(\partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} m l_{0}+\lambda\right)^{2}+\rho(x)\right] V(x)=\left(m \omega_{0}-\mathrm{i} c_{g} \lambda\right)^{2} V(x)
$$

which is solved with Bloch eigenfunctions in

$$
\omega_{n}^{2}\left(m l_{0}-\mathrm{i} \lambda\right)=\left(m \omega_{0}-\mathrm{i} c_{g} \lambda\right)^{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

## Eigenvalues of the spatial system

Recall that the bifurcation case corresponds to $\omega_{0}=\omega_{n_{0}}\left(l_{0}\right)$ and $c_{g}=\omega_{n_{0}}^{\prime}\left(l_{0}\right)$. The eigenvalue problem $A_{m}\left(\omega_{0}, c_{g}\right) \vec{V}=\lambda \vec{V}$ is reformulated in the scalar form:

$$
\left[-\left(\partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} m l_{0}+\lambda\right)^{2}+\rho(x)\right] V(x)=\left(m \omega_{0}-\mathrm{i} c_{g} \lambda\right)^{2} V(x)
$$

which is solved with Bloch eigenfunctions in

$$
\omega_{n}^{2}\left(m l_{0}-\mathrm{i} \lambda\right)=\left(m \omega_{0}-\mathrm{i} c_{g} \lambda\right)^{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

No information on roots of $\lambda$ is available, but zero roots $\lambda=0$ are controlled from the non-resonance conditions $\omega_{n}\left(l_{0}\right) \neq \omega_{0}, n \neq n_{0}$,

$$
\omega_{n}^{2}\left(m l_{0}\right) \neq m^{2} \omega_{0}^{2}, \quad m \in\{3,5, \ldots 2 N+1\}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

The zero root $\lambda=0$ is double in the subspace $n=n_{0}$.

## Eigenvalues of the spatial system

Recall that the bifurcation case corresponds to $\omega_{0}=\omega_{n_{0}}\left(l_{0}\right)$ and $c_{g}=\omega_{n_{0}}^{\prime}\left(l_{0}\right)$. The eigenvalue problem $A_{m}\left(\omega_{0}, c_{g}\right) \vec{V}=\lambda \vec{V}$ is reformulated in the scalar form:

$$
\left[-\left(\partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} m l_{0}+\lambda\right)^{2}+\rho(x)\right] V(x)=\left(m \omega_{0}-\mathrm{i} c_{g} \lambda\right)^{2} V(x)
$$

which is solved with Bloch eigenfunctions in

$$
\omega_{n}^{2}\left(m l_{0}-\mathrm{i} \lambda\right)=\left(m \omega_{0}-\mathrm{i} c_{g} \lambda\right)^{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

One can show that the non-resonance conditions can be satisfied for $\rho(x)=1$ (low-contrast potentials). In this case, the roots are defined by the quadratic equations

$$
1+\left(n+m l_{0}-i \lambda\right)^{2}=\left(m \omega_{0}-i c_{g} \lambda\right)^{2} .
$$

Moreover, one can find conditions when all roots are simple.

## Algorithm for justification of a homoclinic orbit

Step 1: Decomposition near the bifurcation.

$$
\binom{\tilde{v}_{1}(\xi, x)}{\tilde{w}_{1}(\xi, x)}=\underbrace{\varepsilon q_{0}(\xi) F_{0}(x)+\varepsilon q_{1}(\xi) F_{1}(x)}+\varepsilon S_{1}(\xi, x),
$$

and

$$
\binom{\tilde{v}_{m}(\xi, x)}{\tilde{w}_{m}(\xi, x)}=\varepsilon S_{m}(\xi, x), \quad m \neq 1
$$

where the small parameter is defined for $\omega=\omega_{0}+\varepsilon^{2}$ and $c=c_{g}$.

## Algorithm for justification of a homoclinic orbit

Step 2: Near-identity transformation to reduce the residual terms.
They are performed based on the bounds

$$
\left\|\left(\Pi A_{1}\left(\omega_{0}, c_{g}\right) \Pi\right)^{-1}\right\|_{R \rightarrow D}+\sum_{m=3}^{2 N+1}\left\|A_{m}\left(\omega_{0}, c_{g}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{R \rightarrow D} \leq C_{0}
$$

which is obtained from the resolvent equations

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
L_{m} & M_{m}
\end{array}\right)\binom{v}{w}=\binom{f}{g}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{m} & =-\left(\partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} m l_{0}\right)^{2}+\rho(x)-m^{2} \omega_{0}^{2} \\
M_{m} & =2 \mathrm{i} m c_{g} \omega_{0}-2\left(\partial_{x}+\mathrm{i} m l_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Algorithm for justification of a homoclinic orbit

After Steps 1 and 2, the system

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d}{d \xi}\binom{q_{0}}{q_{1}}=\binom{q_{1}}{0}+\varepsilon^{2} F\left(q_{0}, q_{1}, \mathbf{S}\right) \\
\frac{d}{d \xi} S_{m}=A_{m}\left(\omega_{0}, c_{g}\right) S_{n}+\varepsilon^{2} F_{m}\left(q_{0}, q_{1}, \mathbf{S}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

becomes

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d}{d \xi}\binom{q_{0}}{q_{1}}=\binom{q_{1}}{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \varepsilon^{2 j} F^{(j)}\left(q_{0}, q_{1}\right)+\varepsilon^{2 N+2} F^{(N)}\left(q_{0}, q_{1}, \mathbf{S}\right) \\
\frac{d}{d \xi} S_{m}=A_{m}\left(\omega_{0}, c_{g}\right) S_{n}+\varepsilon^{2 N+2} F_{m}\left(q_{0}, q_{1}\right)+\varepsilon^{2} \tilde{F}_{m}\left(q_{0}, q_{1}, \mathbf{S}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Algorithm for justification of a homoclinic orbit

Step 3: Construction of a reversible homoclinic orbit

$$
\frac{d}{d \xi}\binom{q_{0}}{q_{1}}=\binom{q_{1}}{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \varepsilon^{2 j} F^{(j)}\left(q_{0}, q_{1}\right)
$$

satisfying $\operatorname{Im}\left(q_{0}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)=0$.


## Algorithm for justification of a homoclinic orbit

Step 3: Construction of a reversible homoclinic orbit

$$
\frac{d}{d \xi}\binom{q_{0}}{q_{1}}=\binom{q_{1}}{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \varepsilon^{2 j} F^{(j)}\left(q_{0}, q_{1}\right)
$$

satisfying $\operatorname{Im}\left(q_{0}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(q_{1}\right)=0$.
We have the leading-order approximation with

$$
\left\|q_{0}-A(\varepsilon \cdot)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C \varepsilon, \quad\left\|q_{1}-\varepsilon A^{\prime}(\varepsilon \cdot)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C \varepsilon^{2}
$$

The persistence analysis is done by the implicit function theorem in $H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ because of the symmetries of the truncated system with the 2-parameter family of solutions

$$
\left(q_{0}\left(\xi+\xi_{0}\right) e^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{0}}, q_{1}\left(\xi+\xi_{0}\right) e^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{0}}\right), \quad \xi_{0}, \theta_{0} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

## Algorithm for justification of a homoclinic orbit

After Step 3, we can write $\left(q_{0}, q_{1}\right)=\left(Q_{0}, \varepsilon Q_{1}\right)+\left(\mathfrak{q}_{0}, \varepsilon \mathfrak{q}_{1}\right)$, where ( $Q_{0}, \varepsilon Q_{1}$ ) is the homoclinic orbit of the truncated system. The abstract system is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\xi} \mathbf{c}_{0, r} & =\varepsilon \Lambda_{0}(\xi) \mathbf{c}_{0, r}+\varepsilon \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbf{c}_{0, r}, \mathbf{c}_{r}\right)+\epsilon^{2 N+1} \mathbf{G}_{R}\left(\mathbf{c}_{0, \text { hom }}+\mathbf{c}_{0, r}, \mathbf{c}_{r}\right), \\
\partial_{\xi} \mathbf{c}_{r} & =\Lambda_{r} \mathbf{c}_{r}+\varepsilon^{2} \mathbf{F}\left(\mathbf{c}_{0, \text { hom }}+\mathbf{c}_{0, r}, \mathbf{c}_{r}\right)+\varepsilon^{2 N+2} \mathbf{F}_{R}\left(\mathbf{c}_{0, \text { hom }}+\mathbf{c}_{0, r}, \mathbf{c}_{r}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Lambda_{r}$ contains nonzero eigenvalues for stable, center, and unstable manifolds of the linearized system. We assume

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{\Lambda_{s} \xi}\right\|_{\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}} \leq K, & \xi \geq 0, \\
\left\|e^{\Lambda_{u} \xi}\right\|_{\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}} \leq K, & \xi \leq 0, \\
\left\|e^{\Lambda_{c} \xi}\right\|_{\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}} \leq K, & \xi \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Algorithm for justification of a homoclinic orbit

After Step 3, we can write $\left(q_{0}, q_{1}\right)=\left(Q_{0}, \varepsilon Q_{1}\right)+\left(\mathfrak{q}_{0}, \varepsilon \mathfrak{q}_{1}\right)$, where ( $Q_{0}, \varepsilon Q_{1}$ ) is the homoclinic orbit of the truncated system. The abstract system is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\xi} \mathbf{c}_{0, r} & =\varepsilon \Lambda_{0}(\xi) \mathbf{c}_{0, r}+\varepsilon \mathbf{G}\left(\mathbf{c}_{0, r}, \mathbf{c}_{r}\right)+\epsilon^{2 N+1} \mathbf{G}_{R}\left(\mathbf{c}_{0, \text { hom }}+\mathbf{c}_{0, r}, \mathbf{c}_{r}\right), \\
\partial_{\xi} \mathbf{c}_{r} & =\Lambda_{r} \mathbf{c}_{r}+\varepsilon^{2} \mathbf{F}\left(\mathbf{c}_{0, \text { hom }}+\mathbf{c}_{0, r}, \mathbf{c}_{r}\right)+\varepsilon^{2 N+2} \mathbf{F}_{R}\left(\mathbf{c}_{0, \text { hom }}+\mathbf{c}_{0, r}, \mathbf{c}_{r}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4: Center-stable manifold. For every $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_{c}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{D}_{s}$ s.t. $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{\mathcal{D}_{c}}+\|\mathbf{b}\|_{\mathcal{D}_{s}} \leq C \varepsilon^{2 N}$, there exists a family of local solutions with
$\sup _{\xi \in\left[0, \varepsilon^{-(2 N+1)}\right]}\left(\left\|\mathbf{c}_{0, r}(\xi)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{4}}+\left\|\mathbf{c}_{c}(\xi)\right\|_{\mathcal{D}_{c}}+\left\|\mathbf{c}_{s}(\xi)\right\|_{\mathcal{D}_{s}}+\left\|\mathbf{c}_{u}(\xi)\right\|_{\mathcal{D}_{u}}\right) \leq C \varepsilon^{2 N}$, satisfying $\mathbf{c}_{c}(0)=\mathbf{a}$ and $e^{-\xi_{0} \Lambda_{s}} \mathbf{c}_{s}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=\mathbf{b}$ at $\xi_{0}=\varepsilon^{-(2 N+1)}$. These parameters are chosen to satisfy the reversibility constraints.

## Section 4

## Breathers localized in time

## Example: the focusing NLS equation

The focusing nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation

$$
i \partial_{t} \psi+\partial_{x}^{2} \psi+|\psi|^{2} \psi=0
$$

admits the exact solution [Akhmediev, Eleonsky, \& Kulagin (1985)]

$$
\psi(x, t)=e^{i t}\left[1-\frac{2\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right) \cosh (k \lambda t)+i k \lambda \sinh (k \lambda t)}{\cosh (k \lambda t)-\lambda \cos (k x)}\right]
$$

commonly known as Akhmediev breathers.



## The engineering setup

The FPU model:

$$
\underline{m} \ddot{u}_{n}+k(t) u_{n}=\beta\left(d+u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right)^{-\alpha}-\beta\left(d+u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right)^{-\alpha},
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \underline{m}, d>0$ and $k(t+2 \pi)=k(t)$.

FPU models a chain of repelling magnets surrounded by time modulated coils (Chong, Kim, Daraios et al.: arXiv:2310.06934)


## The engineering setup

The FPU model:

$$
\underline{m} \ddot{u}_{n}+k(t) u_{n}=\beta\left(d+u_{n}-u_{n-1}\right)^{-\alpha}-\beta\left(d+u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right)^{-\alpha},
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \underline{m}, d>0$ and $k(t+2 \pi)=k(t)$.

Time-localized breathers were observed in experiments:


## Bifurcation theory

For $N$ particles with Dirichlet conditions $u_{0}=u_{N+1}=0$, we use the discrete Fourier sine modes:

$$
u_{n}(t)=\sum_{m=1}^{N} \hat{u}_{m}(t) \sin \left(q_{m} n\right), \quad q_{m}:=\frac{\pi m}{N+1}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq N
$$

and obtain the linear Schrodinger problem

$$
\mathcal{L} \hat{u}_{m}=\lambda_{m} \hat{u}_{m}, \quad \mathcal{L}=-\underline{m} \partial_{t}^{2}-k(t),
$$

where $\lambda_{m}=4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{q_{m}}{2}\right)$.

## Bifurcation theory

For $N$ particles with Dirichlet conditions $u_{0}=u_{N+1}=0$, we use the discrete Fourier sine modes:

$$
u_{n}(t)=\sum_{m=1}^{N} \hat{u}_{m}(t) \sin \left(q_{m} n\right), \quad q_{m}:=\frac{\pi m}{N+1}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq N
$$

and obtain the linear Schrodinger problem

$$
\mathcal{L} \hat{u}_{m}=\lambda_{m} \hat{u}_{m}, \quad \mathcal{L}=-\underline{m} \partial_{t}^{2}-k(t),
$$

where $\lambda_{m}=4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{q_{m}}{2}\right)$.
The spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$ is purely continuous in

$$
\sigma(\mathcal{L})=\left[\nu_{0}, \mu_{1}\right] \cup\left[\mu_{2}, \nu_{1}\right] \cup\left[\nu_{2}, \mu_{3}\right] \cup\left[\mu_{4}, \nu_{3}\right] \cup \cdots
$$

## Bifurcation theory

We are looking for a bifurcation case of $k_{0}(t)$ when $\lambda_{m_{0}}=\mu_{1}$ or $\lambda_{m_{0}}=\mu_{2}$ for one $m_{0} \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$.



## Main theorem [C. Chong, D.P., G. Schneider (2024)]

Assume two conditions (spectral assumption and nonzero normal form). Then there exists an $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ and every $M \in \mathbb{N}$ the FPU system possesses two generalized homoclinic solutions $U_{\text {hom }}^{ \pm}(t):\left[-\varepsilon^{-M+1}, \varepsilon^{-M+1}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ satisfying
$\sup _{t \in\left[-\varepsilon^{-M+1}, \varepsilon^{-M+1}\right]}\left\|U_{\text {hom }}^{ \pm}(t)-\mathcal{U}^{ \pm}(t)\right\|+\left\|\left(U_{\text {hom }}^{ \pm}\right)^{\prime}(t)-\left(\mathcal{U}^{ \pm}\right)^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leq C \varepsilon^{M-1}$
where $\mathcal{U}^{ \pm}(t): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ satisfy $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mathcal{U}^{ \pm}(t)\right\|+\left\|\left(\mathcal{U}^{ \pm}\right)^{\prime}(t)\right\|=0$ and
can be approximated as

$$
\left(\mathcal{U}^{ \pm}\right)_{n}(t)= \pm \varepsilon[A(\varepsilon t) \mathcal{F}(t)+\bar{A}(\varepsilon t) \overline{\mathcal{F}}(t)] \sin \left(q_{m_{0}} n\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{F}(t+T)=-\mathcal{F}(t)$ and $A(\tau)=\alpha \operatorname{sech}(\beta \tau)$ are uniquely defined with some $\alpha, \beta>0$.

## Numerical illustration




## Comparison between normal form and numerics



## Algorithm for justification of the homoclinic solutions

Step 1: Bifurcation setup.
Let $k(t)=k_{0}(t)+\sigma \varepsilon^{2}$ and pick $k_{0}(t)$ so that $\lambda_{m_{0}}=\mu_{1}$ for one $m_{0} \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. This corresponds to the spectral band $\left\{\lambda_{1}(\ell)\right\}_{\ell \in\left[0, \frac{2 \pi}{T}\right)}$ with $\lambda_{1}\left(\ell_{0}\right)=\mu_{1}$ for $\ell_{0}=\frac{\pi}{T}$. Assume no other Floquet multipliers to coincide with +1 or -1 .
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Step 1: Bifurcation setup.
Let $k(t)=k_{0}(t)+\sigma \varepsilon^{2}$ and pick $k_{0}(t)$ so that $\lambda_{m_{0}}=\mu_{1}$ for one $m_{0} \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. This corresponds to the spectral band $\left\{\lambda_{1}(\ell)\right\}_{\ell \in\left[0, \frac{2 \pi}{T}\right)}$ with $\lambda_{1}\left(\ell_{0}\right)=\mu_{1}$ for $\ell_{0}=\frac{\pi}{T}$. Assume no other Floquet multipliers to coincide with +1 or -1 .

Step 2: Formal derivation of the normal form. Expanding

$$
u_{n}(t)=\varepsilon U_{n}^{(1)}(t)+\varepsilon^{2} U_{n}^{(2)}(t)+\varepsilon^{3} U_{n}^{(3)}(t)+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{4}\right)
$$

we select the leading order in the form

$$
U_{n}^{(1)}(t)=A(\varepsilon t) g_{1}(t) \sin \left(q_{m_{0}} n\right)
$$

where $g_{1}(t+T)=-g_{1}(t)$ is the bifurcating mode of $\mathcal{L}_{0} g_{1}=\mu_{1} g_{1}$.

## Algorithm for justification of the homoclinic solutions

At the order of $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$, we get

$$
\mathcal{L}_{0} U_{n}^{(2)}+\Delta U_{n}^{(2)}=2 \underline{m} A^{\prime}(\tau) g_{1}^{\prime}(t) \sin \left(q_{m_{0}} n\right)+\chi_{2} A(\tau)^{2} g_{1}(t)^{2} F_{n}^{(2)}
$$

where $\tau=\varepsilon t$ and $F_{n}^{(2)}=-2 \sin \left(q_{m_{0}}\right)\left(1-\cos \left(q_{m_{0}}\right)\right) \sin \left(2 q_{m_{0}} n\right)$.
The solution for $U_{n}^{(2)}(t)$ can be written in the form

$$
U_{n}^{(2)}(t)=A^{\prime}(\tau) h_{1}(t) \sin \left(q_{m_{0}} n\right)+\chi_{2} A(\tau)^{2} h_{2}(t) \sin \left(2 q_{m_{0}} n\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}-\omega^{2}\left(q_{m_{0}}\right)\right) h_{1} & =2 \underline{m g_{1}^{\prime}}(t) \\
\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}-\omega^{2}\left(2 q_{m_{0}}\right)\right) h_{2} & =-2 \sin \left(q_{m_{0}}\right)\left(1-\cos \left(q_{m_{0}}\right)\right) g_{1}(t)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The unique solution for $h_{1}(t+T)=-h_{1}(t)$ and $h_{2}(t+T)=h_{2}(t)$ exists under the spectral assumption.

## Algorithm for justification of the homoclinic solutions

At the order of $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{0} U_{n}^{(3)}+\Delta U_{n}^{(3)}=\sigma U_{n}^{(1)}+2 m \partial_{\tau} \partial_{t} U_{n}^{(2)}+m \partial_{\tau}^{2} U_{n}^{(1)} \\
& \quad+2 \chi_{2}\left[\left(U_{n+1}^{(1)}-U_{n}^{(1)}\right)\left(U_{n+1}^{(2)}-U_{n}^{(2)}\right)-\left(U_{n}^{(1)}-U_{n-1}^{(1)}\right)\left(U_{n}^{(2)}-U_{n-1}^{(2)}\right)\right] \\
& \quad-\chi_{3}\left[\left(U_{n+1}^{(1)}-U_{n}^{(1)}\right)^{3}-\left(U_{n}^{(1)}-U_{n-1}^{(1)}\right)^{3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Projection to the mode $\sin \left(q_{m_{0}} n\right)$ yields the cubic normal form:

$$
\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\ell_{0}\right) A^{\prime \prime}(\tau)+\sigma A(\tau)+\chi A(\tau)^{3}=0
$$

where $\lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\ell_{0}\right)$ is the band curvature at $\lambda_{1}\left(\ell_{0}\right)=\mu_{1}$, where $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\left(\ell_{0}\right)=0$, and $\chi \neq 0$ under the normal form assumption.

## Algorithm for justification of the homoclinic solutions

Step 3: Justification of the normal form. The normal form theorem near the double period bifurcation (Iooss-Adelmeyer, 1998) after diagonalization, near-identity transformations, and the use of reversibility.

## Conclusion

$\triangleright$ Generalized breathers have been considered either as the time-periodic and space-localized pulses or as the time-localized and space-periodic orbits.
$\triangleright$ These solutions can be recovered in the spatial dynamical systems on a long but finite spatial scale.
$\triangleright$ Numerical experiments do not often distinguish between true breathers and generalized modulating pulses.
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