#### Inverse scattering for the massive Thirring model

Dmitry Pelinovsky

Department of Mathematics, McMaster University, Canada http://dmpeli.math.mcmaster.ca

With Aaron Saalmann (Cologne) and Yusuke Shimabukuro (Taipei)

Workshop on "Inverse Scattering and Dispersive PDEs in 1D" Fields Institute, August 8-11, 2017

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・

## Massive Dirac equations in 1D

Massive Dirac equations in one spatial dimension can be written as

$$\begin{cases} i(u_t + u_x) + v = \partial_{\bar{u}} W(u, v), \\ i(v_t - v_x) + u = \partial_{\bar{v}} W(u, v), \end{cases}$$

where  $W(u, v) : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the following three conditions:

- symmetry W(u, v) = W(v, u);
- gauge invariance  $W(e^{i\theta}u,e^{i\theta}v)=W(u,v)$  for any  $heta\in\mathbb{R};$
- quartic polynomial in (u, v) and  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ .

Applications include

- Periodic lattices (optics/photonics)  $W = |u|^4 + 4|u|^2|v|^2 + |v|^4$ .
- General relativity  $W = (\bar{u}v + u\bar{v})^2$  (Gross-Neveu, Soler, 1974)
- Spinors  $W = |u|^2 |v|^2$  (Thirring, 1958)

・ロット (雪) ( (日) ( 日) ( 日)

Massive Thirring Model (MTM)

$$\begin{cases} i(u_t + u_x) + v = 2|v|^2 u, \\ i(v_t - v_x) + u = 2|u|^2 v, \end{cases} \text{ or } \begin{cases} i\psi_t - \varphi_x - \psi = (\psi^2 + \varphi^2)\bar{\psi}, \\ i\varphi_t + \psi_x + \varphi = (\psi^2 + \varphi^2)\bar{\varphi}. \end{cases}$$

Global solutions exist in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$  [Goodman *et al.* (2003)] or in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  [Candy (2011), Huh-Moon (2015)].

Three conserved quantities are related to physical symmetries:

$$Q = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( |u|^2 + |v|^2 \right) dx,$$
$$P = \frac{i}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( u \bar{u}_x - u_x \bar{u} + v \bar{v}_x - v_x \bar{v} \right) dx,$$

$$H=\frac{i}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u\bar{u}_{x}-u_{x}\bar{u}-v\bar{v}_{x}+v_{x}\bar{v}\right)dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(-v\bar{u}-u\bar{v}+2|u|^{2}|v|^{2}\right)dx.$$

Infinitely many conserved quantities exist due to integrability.

•  $L^2$  conservation gives  $\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2} = \|\mathbf{u}(0)\|_{L^2}$ 

• The nonlinear term is canceled in apriori energy estimates:

$$\partial_t \left( |u|^{2p+2} + |v|^{2p+2} \right) + \partial_x \left( |u|^{2p+2} - |v|^{2p+2} \right) \\= i(p+1)(v\bar{u} - \bar{v}u)(|u|^{2p} - |v|^{2p}).$$

• By Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^{2p+2}} \le e^{2|t|} \|\mathbf{u}(0)\|_{L^{2p+2}}, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

which holds for any  $p \ge 0$  including  $p \to \infty$ .

• With the bound on  $\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^{\infty}}$ , one can obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\partial_{\mathsf{x}}\mathsf{u}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C e^{4|t|}\|\partial_{\mathsf{x}}\mathsf{u}(t)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

hence  $\|\partial_x \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2}^2$  does not blow up in a finite time.

- $L^2$  conservation gives  $\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2} = \|\mathbf{u}(0)\|_{L^2}$
- The nonlinear term is canceled in apriori energy estimates:

$$\partial_t \left( |u|^{2p+2} + |v|^{2p+2} \right) + \partial_x \left( |u|^{2p+2} - |v|^{2p+2} \right) \\ = i(p+1)(v\bar{u} - \bar{v}u)(|u|^{2p} - |v|^{2p}).$$

• By Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^{2p+2}} \le e^{2|t|} \|\mathbf{u}(0)\|_{L^{2p+2}}, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

which holds for any  $p \ge 0$  including  $p \to \infty$ .

• With the bound on  $\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^{\infty}}$ , one can obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\partial_{\mathsf{x}}\mathsf{u}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C e^{4|t|}\|\partial_{\mathsf{x}}\mathsf{u}(t)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

hence  $\|\partial_x \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2}^2$  does not blow up in a finite time.

▶ ▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ →

- $L^2$  conservation gives  $\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2} = \|\mathbf{u}(0)\|_{L^2}$
- The nonlinear term is canceled in apriori energy estimates:

$$\partial_t \left( |u|^{2p+2} + |v|^{2p+2} \right) + \partial_x \left( |u|^{2p+2} - |v|^{2p+2} \right) \\ = i(p+1)(v\bar{u} - \bar{v}u)(|u|^{2p} - |v|^{2p}).$$

• By Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^{2p+2}} \le e^{2|t|} \|\mathbf{u}(0)\|_{L^{2p+2}}, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

which holds for any  $p \ge 0$  including  $p \to \infty$ . • With the bound on  $||\mathbf{u}(t)||_{L^{\infty}}$ , one can obtain

$$rac{d}{dt} \|\partial_{\mathsf{X}} \mathsf{u}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C e^{4|t|} \|\partial_{\mathsf{X}} \mathsf{u}(t)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

hence  $\|\partial_x \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2}^2$  does not blow up in a finite time.

- $L^2$  conservation gives  $\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2} = \|\mathbf{u}(0)\|_{L^2}$
- The nonlinear term is canceled in apriori energy estimates:

$$\partial_t \left( |u|^{2p+2} + |v|^{2p+2} \right) + \partial_x \left( |u|^{2p+2} - |v|^{2p+2} \right) \\ = i(p+1)(v\bar{u} - \bar{v}u)(|u|^{2p} - |v|^{2p}).$$

• By Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^{2p+2}} \le e^{2|t|} \|\mathbf{u}(0)\|_{L^{2p+2}}, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

which holds for any  $p \ge 0$  including  $p \to \infty$ .

• With the bound on  $\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^{\infty}}$ , one can obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\partial_{\mathsf{x}}\mathsf{u}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C e^{4|t|}\|\partial_{\mathsf{x}}\mathsf{u}(t)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

hence  $\|\partial_x \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2}^2$  does not blow up in a finite time.

## Existence of solitary waves

Time-periodic space-localized solutions

$$u(x,t) = U_{\omega}(x)e^{-i\omega t}, \quad v(x,t) = V_{\omega}(x)e^{-i\omega t}$$

satisfy a system of stationary Dirac equations. They are known in the closed analytic form

$$\begin{cases} u(x,t) = i \sin(\gamma) \operatorname{sech} \left[ x \sin \gamma - i \frac{\gamma}{2} \right] e^{-it \cos \gamma}, \\ v(x,t) = -i \sin(\gamma) \operatorname{sech} \left[ x \sin \gamma + i \frac{\gamma}{2} \right] e^{-it \cos \gamma}. \end{cases}$$

- Translations in x and t can be added as free parameters.
- Constraint ω = cos γ ∈ (-1, 1) exists because of the gap in the linear spectrum (-∞, -1] ∪ [1, ∞).
- Moving solitons can be obtained from the stationary solitons with the Lorentz transformation.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Orbital stability of solitary waves

#### Definition

We say that the solitary wave  $e^{-i\omega t} \mathbf{U}_{\omega}(x)$  is orbitally stable in X if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$ , such that if  $\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, 0) - \mathbf{U}_{\omega}(\cdot)\|_X \le \delta$  then

$$\inf_{\theta,a\in\mathbb{R}} \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot,t)-e^{-i\theta}\mathbf{U}_{\omega}(\cdot+a)\|_{X} \leq \epsilon,$$

for all t > 0. Here  $X = H^1(\mathbb{R})$  or  $X = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

- Stability of Dirac solitons was mainly studied numerically, e.g., by I. Barashenkov (1998), G. Gottwald (2005), M. Chugunova (2006), A. Comech (2012), A. Saxena (2014), P. Kevrekidis (2014), ...
- For Soler and Thirring models, Dirac solitons were found to be spectrally stable. For other models (e.g. for optical lattices), Dirac solitons are unstable for some parameter values.

## Outline of presentation

- **1** Stability argument for MTM based on energy functionals
- **②** Stability argument for MTM based on Backlund transformation
- Scattering results for MTM based on transformations to the Zakharov–Shabat spectral problem

Some old references:

- E.A. Kuznetsov and A.V. Mikhailov (1977) "On the complete integrability of the 2D classical MTM"
- D.Kaup and A.C. Newell (1977) "On the Coleman correspondence and the solution of MTM"
- J. Villarroel (1991) "The DBAR problem and the MTM"

- 《得》 《글》 《글》 - 글

#### 1. Stability argument based on energy functionals Three conserved quantities are related to physical symmetries:

$$Q=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(|u|^2+|v|^2\right)dx,$$

$$P=\frac{i}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u\bar{u}_{x}-u_{x}\bar{u}+v\bar{v}_{x}-v_{x}\bar{v}\right)dx,$$

$$H=\frac{i}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u\bar{u}_{x}-u_{x}\bar{u}-v\bar{v}_{x}+v_{x}\bar{v}\right)dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(-v\bar{u}-u\bar{v}+2|u|^{2}|v|^{2}\right)dx,$$

Since the quadratic part of H is sign-indefinite, Dirac soliton can not be a constrained minimizer of H.

Another conserved quantity R exists in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$R = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ |u_x|^2 + |v_x|^2 - \frac{i}{2} (u_x \overline{u} - \overline{u}_x u) (|u|^2 + 2|v|^2) + \dots - (u\overline{v} + \overline{u}v) (|u|^2 + |v|^2) + 2|u|^2 |v|^2 (|u|^2 + |v|^2) \right] dx.$$

## The energy functionals

• Critical points of  $H + \omega Q$  for a fixed  $\omega \in (-1, 1)$  satisfy the stationary MTM equations. After the reduction  $(u, v) = (U, \overline{U})$ , we obtain the first-order equation

$$i\frac{dU}{dx}-\omega U+\overline{U}=2|U|^2U.$$

The MTM soliton  $U = U_{\omega}$  satisfies the first-order equation.

• Critical points of  $R + \Omega Q$  for some fixed  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy another system of differential equations. After the reduction  $(u, v) = (U, \overline{U})$ , we obtain the second-order equation

$$\frac{d^2 U}{dx^2} + 6i|U|^2 \frac{dU}{dx} - 6|U|^4 U + 3|U|^2 \bar{U} + U^3 = \Omega U.$$

 $U=U_\omega$  also satisfies the second-order equation if  $\Omega=1-\omega^2.$ 

## The energy functionals

• Critical points of  $H + \omega Q$  for a fixed  $\omega \in (-1, 1)$  satisfy the stationary MTM equations. After the reduction  $(u, v) = (U, \overline{U})$ , we obtain the first-order equation

$$i\frac{dU}{dx}-\omega U+\overline{U}=2|U|^2U.$$

The MTM soliton  $U = U_{\omega}$  satisfies the first-order equation.

• Critical points of  $R + \Omega Q$  for some fixed  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy another system of differential equations. After the reduction  $(u, v) = (U, \overline{U})$ , we obtain the second-order equation

$$\frac{d^2U}{dx^2} + 6i|U|^2\frac{dU}{dx} - 6|U|^4U + 3|U|^2\bar{U} + U^3 = \Omega U.$$

 $U = U_{\omega}$  also satisfies the second-order equation if  $\Omega = 1 - \omega^2$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Convexity of the energy functional

#### Theorem (P-Shimabukuro, 2014)

There is  $\omega_0 \in (0, 1]$  such that for any fixed  $\omega = \cos \gamma \in (-\omega_0, \omega_0)$ , MTM soliton is a local non-degenerate minimizer of R in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ under the fixed values of Q and P.

Consider the conserved energy functional in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$\Lambda_\omega:=R+(1-\omega^2)Q,\quad \omega\in(-1,1),$$

where  $Q = \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2}^2$ .

- $U_{\omega}$  is a critical point of  $\Lambda_{\omega}$ .
- $\bullet\,$  The second variation of  $\Lambda_\omega$  can be block-diagonalized

$$S^{\mathsf{T}} \Lambda_{\omega}^{\prime\prime}(U_{\omega}) S = \begin{bmatrix} L_+ & 0 \\ 0 & L_- \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $L_+$  and  $L_-$  are 2 × 2 matrix Schrödinger operators.

# Convexity of the energy functional

- For  $\omega \in (-\omega_0, \omega_0)$ ,  $\Lambda''_{\omega}(U_{\omega})$  has a simple negative eigenvalue and a double zero eigenvalue for  $\omega > 0$  and  $\omega < 0$ . The zero eigenvalue is quadruple for  $\omega = 0$ .
- Two constraints are added to fix the values of Q and P.
- Two symmetries are included to eliminate translation and rotation.
- The Hessian operator Λ<sup>"</sup><sub>ω</sub>(U<sub>ω</sub>) is strictly positive under the four constraints. The conserved energy Λ<sub>ω</sub> is convex at U<sub>ω</sub> in the constrained H<sup>1</sup>(ℝ) space.
- The four constraints can be realized by the choice of four modulation parameters in the soliton orbit:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u(x,t) = i\sin(\gamma) \operatorname{sech} \left[ x\sin(\gamma) - i\frac{\gamma}{2} - \alpha \right] e^{-it\cos(\gamma) - i\beta}, \\ v(x,t) = -i\sin(\gamma) \operatorname{sech} \left[ x\sin(\gamma) + i\frac{\gamma}{2} - \alpha \right] e^{-it\cos(\gamma) - i\beta}, \end{bmatrix}$$

with parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , frequency  $\omega := \cos \gamma$ , and speed c.

## Orbital stability result

• Strict positivity (coercivity) of the second variation implies

 $\Lambda_{\omega}(\mathsf{U}_{\omega}+\mathsf{U})-\Lambda_{\omega}(\mathsf{U}_{\omega})\geq C\|\mathsf{U}\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\mathcal{O}(\|\mathsf{U}\|_{H^{1}}^{3}),$ 

for perturbation  $U \in H^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}^2)$  in the constrained space.

- *R*, *Q*, and *P* are constant in time *t* and so is  $\Lambda_{\omega}$ .
- A global lower bound is obtained for the solution u(t) near a modulated orbit of the MTM soliton U<sub>ω</sub> for every t ∈ ℝ:

$$\Lambda_\omega(\mathsf{u}) - \Lambda_\omega(\mathsf{U}_\omega) \geq \inf_{ heta,\mathsf{x}_0} \|\mathsf{u}(\cdot,t) - e^{i heta}\mathsf{U}_\omega(\cdot+\mathsf{x}_0)\|_{H^1}^2.$$

• This yields orbital stability of MTM solitons in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$  for  $\omega \in (-\omega_0, \omega_0)$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Recent results in this direction

- Stability of N solitary waves:
  - KdV (Sachs–Maddocks, 1993);
  - NLS (Kapitula, 2006);
  - derivative NLS (Le Coz–Wu, 2017);
- Stability of time-periodic localized breathers:
  - mKdV (Alejo–Munoz, 2013);
  - sine–Gordon (Alejo–Munoz, 2016);
  - Gardner (Alejo, 2017);
- Stability of space-periodic solutions with respect to subharmonic perturbations:
  - KdV (Deconinck–Kaputula, 2010);
  - NLS (Gallay-P, 2014);
  - KP (Haragus–Li–P, 2017);

2. Stability argument based on Bäcklund transformation

The Bäcklund transformation  $\mathcal{B}$  is a map that takes (u, v) of the MTM to  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  of the MTM,

$$\mathcal{B}: (u, v) \mapsto (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}),$$

In particular, the Bäcklund transformation relates zero  $\leftrightarrow$  one soliton:

$$(0,0) \stackrel{\mathcal{B}}{\longleftrightarrow} (u_{\lambda},v_{\lambda})$$

Heuristic stability argument by Bäcklund transformation

 $\mathcal B$  : stable small solution  $\longleftrightarrow$  solution around stable one soliton.

-Merle-Vega-2003 (KdV solitons) -Mizumachi-P-2012 (NLS solitons)

#### Lax operators for the MTM

The MTM is obtained from the compatibility condition of the linear system

$$ec{\phi}_{\mathsf{x}} = \mathsf{L}ec{\phi}$$
 and  $ec{\phi}_t = \mathsf{A}ec{\phi},$ 

where

$$L = \frac{i}{2}(|v|^2 - |u|^2)\sigma_3 - \frac{i\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{v} \\ v & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}\lambda}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{u} \\ u & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{i}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} - \lambda^2\right)\sigma_3$$

and

$$A = -\frac{i}{4}(|u|^2 + |v|^2)\sigma_3 - \frac{i\lambda}{2}\begin{pmatrix}0&\overline{v}\\v&0\end{pmatrix} - \frac{i}{2\lambda}\begin{pmatrix}0&\overline{u}\\u&0\end{pmatrix} + \frac{i}{4}\left(\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)\sigma_3$$

Kaup-Newell (1977); Kuznetsov-Mikhailov (1977).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

# Bäcklund transformation for the MTM

- Let (u, v) be a  $C^1$  solution of the MTM system.
- Let  $\vec{\phi} = (\phi_1, \phi_2)^t$  be a  $C^2$  nonzero solution of the linear system associated with (u, v) and  $\lambda = \delta e^{i\gamma/2}$ .

A new  $C^1$  solution of the MTM system is given by

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{u} &= -u \frac{e^{-i\gamma/2} |\phi_1|^2 + e^{i\gamma/2} |\phi_2|^2}{e^{i\gamma/2} |\phi_1|^2 + e^{-i\gamma/2} |\phi_2|^2} + \frac{2i\delta^{-1} \sin \gamma \overline{\phi}_1 \phi_2}{e^{i\gamma/2} |\phi_1|^2 + e^{-i\gamma/2} |\phi_2|^2} \\ \mathbf{v} &= -v \frac{e^{i\gamma/2} |\phi_1|^2 + e^{-i\gamma/2} |\phi_2|^2}{e^{-i\gamma/2} |\phi_1|^2 + e^{i\gamma/2} |\phi_2|^2} - \frac{2i\delta \sin \gamma \overline{\phi}_1 \phi_2}{e^{-i\gamma/2} |\phi_1|^2 + e^{i\gamma/2} |\phi_2|^2}, \end{split}$$

A new  $C^2$  nonzero solution  $\vec{\psi} = (\psi_1, \psi_2)^t$  of the linear system associated with  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$  and same  $\lambda$  is given by

$$\psi_1 = \frac{\overline{\phi}_2}{|e^{i\gamma/2}|\phi_1|^2 + e^{-i\gamma/2}|\phi_2|^2|}, \quad \psi_2 = \frac{\overline{\phi}_1}{|e^{i\gamma/2}|\phi_1|^2 + e^{-i\gamma/2}|\phi_2|^2|}.$$

## Bäcklund transformation $0 \leftrightarrow 1$ soliton

Let (u, v) = (0, 0) and define

$$\begin{cases} \phi_1 = e^{\frac{i}{4}(\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2})x + \frac{i}{4}(\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2})t}, \\ \phi_2 = e^{-\frac{i}{4}(\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2})x - \frac{i}{4}(\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2})t} \end{cases}$$

Then,  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (u_{\lambda}, v_{\lambda})$  is the MTM soliton. If  $\lambda = e^{i\gamma/2}$  (stationary case), the vector  $\vec{\psi}$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} \psi_1 = e^{\frac{1}{2}x\sin\gamma + \frac{i}{2}t\cos\gamma} \left| \operatorname{sech} \left( x\sin\gamma - i\frac{\gamma}{2} \right) \right|, \\ \psi_2 = e^{-\frac{1}{2}x\sin\gamma - \frac{i}{2}t\cos\gamma} \left| \operatorname{sech} \left( x\sin\gamma - i\frac{\gamma}{2} \right) \right|. \end{cases}$$

It decays exponentially as  $|x| \to \infty$ .

In the opposite direction, if  $(u, v) = (u_{\lambda}, v_{\lambda})$  and  $\vec{\phi} = \vec{\psi}$ , then  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (0, 0)$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Orbital stability result

#### Theorem (Contreras–P–Shimabukuro, 2016)

Let  $\mathbf{u}(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}))$  be a solution of the MTM system and  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}_I$ . There exist a real positive constant  $\epsilon$  such that if the initial value  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies

 $\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0}(\mathbf{0},\cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon,$ 

then for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq C\epsilon$ ,

$$\inf_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{\theta}\in\mathbb{R}}\|\boldsymbol{\mathsf{u}}(t,\cdot+\boldsymbol{a})-\boldsymbol{e}^{-\boldsymbol{i}\boldsymbol{\theta}}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{u}}_{\lambda}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}}\leq C\epsilon,$$

where the constant C is independent of  $\epsilon$  and t.

The proof does not require the inverse scattering formalism.

#### How does the argument go?

Fix  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}_I$  for a MTM soliton  $\mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0}$ . Take initial data  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $\|\mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^2} < \epsilon$  for  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small.

#### 1 From a perturbed one-soliton to a small solution at t = 0:

There exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and the corresponding  $L^2$ -solution  $\vec{\psi}$  of  $\partial_x \vec{\psi} = L(\mathbf{u}_0; \lambda) \vec{\psi}$  such that  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| \lesssim \epsilon$ . Then, Bäcklund transformation

 $\mathcal{B}(\vec{\psi},\lambda): \mathbf{u}_0 \mapsto \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_0$ 

yields the estimate

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2}.$$

2 Time evolution of the small solution in  $H^2(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$\|\widetilde{\mathsf{u}}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2} = \|\widetilde{\mathsf{u}}_0\|_{L^2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

#### How does the argument go?

Fix  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}_I$  for a MTM soliton  $\mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0}$ . Take initial data  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $\|\mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^2} < \epsilon$  for  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small.

1 From a perturbed one-soliton to a small solution at t = 0:

There exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and the corresponding  $L^2$ -solution  $\vec{\psi}$  of  $\partial_x \vec{\psi} = L(\mathbf{u}_0; \lambda) \vec{\psi}$  such that  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| \lesssim \epsilon$ . Then, Bäcklund transformation

 $\mathcal{B}(\vec{\psi},\lambda):\mathbf{u}_{0}\mapsto\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{0}$ 

yields the estimate

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2}.$$

2 Time evolution of the small solution in  $H^2(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$\|\widetilde{\mathsf{u}}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2} = \|\widetilde{\mathsf{u}}_0\|_{L^2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

#### How does the argument go?

Fix  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}_I$  for a MTM soliton  $\mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0}$ . Take initial data  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $\|\mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^2} < \epsilon$  for  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small.

1 From a perturbed one-soliton to a small solution at t = 0:

There exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and the corresponding  $L^2$ -solution  $\vec{\psi}$  of  $\partial_x \vec{\psi} = L(\mathbf{u}_0; \lambda) \vec{\psi}$  such that  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| \lesssim \epsilon$ . Then, Bäcklund transformation

 $\mathcal{B}(\vec{\psi},\lambda):\mathbf{u}_{0}\mapsto\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{0}$ 

yields the estimate

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}_0 - \mathbf{u}_{\lambda_0}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2}.$$

2 Time evolution of the small solution in  $H^2(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$\|\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2} = \|\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_0\|_{L^2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

#### 3 From the small solution to the perturbed one-soliton:

For every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we construct solutions of

$$\vec{\phi}_x = L(\widetilde{\mathsf{u}}(t,\cdot),\lambda)\vec{\phi}$$
 and  $\vec{\phi}_t = A(\widetilde{\mathsf{u}}(t,\cdot),\lambda)\vec{\phi},$ 

which is defined with two arbitrary parameters a(t) and  $\theta(t)$ . The Bäcklund transformation

$$\mathcal{B}(ec{\phi},\lambda):\widetilde{\mathsf{u}}(t,\cdot)\mapsto\mathsf{u}(t,\cdot)$$

yields the estimate

$$\inf_{a,\theta\in\mathbb{R}} \|\mathsf{u}(t,\cdot)-e^{-i\theta}\mathsf{u}_{\lambda}(t,\cdot+a)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\widetilde{\mathsf{u}}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2} \quad \forall t\in\mathbb{R}.$$

4 Approximating sequence  $\mathbf{u}_{0,n}$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{R})$  that converges to  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Sequences in  $H^2(\mathbb{R})$  produce classical solutions of the MTM, which are compatible with the Lax linear system for  $\vec{\phi} \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ .

#### 3 From the small solution to the perturbed one-soliton:

For every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we construct solutions of

$$\vec{\phi}_x = L(\widetilde{u}(t,\cdot),\lambda)\vec{\phi}$$
 and  $\vec{\phi}_t = A(\widetilde{u}(t,\cdot),\lambda)\vec{\phi}$ ,

which is defined with two arbitrary parameters a(t) and  $\theta(t)$ . The Bäcklund transformation

$$\mathcal{B}(ec{\phi},\lambda):\widetilde{\mathsf{u}}(t,\cdot)\mapsto\mathsf{u}(t,\cdot)$$

yields the estimate

$$\inf_{a,\theta\in\mathbb{R}} \|\mathsf{u}(t,\cdot)-e^{-i\theta}\mathsf{u}_\lambda(t,\cdot+a)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\widetilde{\mathsf{u}}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2} \quad \forall t\in\mathbb{R}.$$

4 Approximating sequence  $\mathbf{u}_{0,n}$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{R})$  that converges to  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Sequences in  $H^2(\mathbb{R})$  produce classical solutions of the MTM, which are compatible with the Lax linear system for  $\vec{\phi} \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ .

### Recent results in this direction

- Asymptotic stability for NLS:
  - 1-soliton (Cuccagna–P, 2014);
  - ▶ *N*-solitons with Backlund transformation (Contreras–P, 2014);
  - N-solitons with inverse scattering (Saalmann, 2017);

- Global existence for derivative NLS:
  - N-solitons with Bäcklund transformation (Shimabukuro–Saalmann–P, 2017);
  - ▶ *N*-solitons with inverse scattering (Jenkins-Liu-Perry-Sulem, 2017).

## 3. Scattering results for MTM

Massive Thirring Model (MTM) in physical coordinates

$$\begin{cases} i(u_t + u_x) + v = 2|v|^2 u, \\ i(v_t - v_x) + u = 2|u|^2 v, \end{cases}$$

is rewritten in characteristic coordinates as

$$\begin{cases} iu_{\tau} + v = 2|v|^2 u, \\ -iv_{\xi} + u = 2|u|^2 v, \end{cases}$$

with  $\xi = (x - t)/2$  and  $\tau = (x + t)/2$ .

MTM in characteristic coordinates is related to the linear system

$$ec{\phi}_{\xi} = Lec{\phi} \quad ext{and} \quad ec{\phi}_{ au} = Aec{\phi},$$

associated with the Kaup-Newell spectral problem

$$L = -i\lambda^2 \sigma_3 + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w \\ -\bar{w} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = 2ue^{2i\int_{\xi}^{\infty}|u|^2d\xi}.$$

#### Direct scattering problem

Kaup-Newel spectral problem

$$\partial_x \psi = -i\lambda^2 \sigma_3 \psi + \lambda Q(u)\psi, \quad Q(u) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & u \\ -\overline{u} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Jost functions are defined by the asymptotical limits:

$$\Psi_{\pm}(x;\lambda) o e^{-i\lambda^2x\sigma_3} \hspace{1em} ext{as} \hspace{1em} x o \pm\infty.$$

Jost functions in  $\Psi_{\pm} := e^{-i\lambda^2 x \sigma_3}[\varphi_{\pm}, \phi_{\pm}]$  satisfy Volterra's equations

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{\pm}(x;\lambda) &= e_1 + \lambda \int_{\pm\infty}^x \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2i\lambda^2(x-y)} \end{bmatrix} Q(u(y))\varphi_{\pm}(y;\lambda)dy, \\ \phi_{\pm}(x;\lambda) &= e_2 + \lambda \int_{\pm\infty}^x \begin{bmatrix} e^{-2i\lambda^2(x-y)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} Q(u(y))\phi_{\pm}(y;\lambda)dy. \end{split}$$

Fixed point arguments are not uniform in  $\lambda$  as  $|\lambda| \to \infty$  if  $Q(u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

#### The way around this obstacle

Introduce transformations  $m_{\pm}:=T_1 arphi_{\pm}$  and  $n_{\pm}:=T_2 \phi_{\pm}$ , where

$$T_1(x;\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\overline{u}(x) & 2i\lambda \end{bmatrix}, \quad T_2(x;\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 2i\lambda & -u(x) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

Volterra's integral equations are rewritten for  $m_{\pm}$  and  $n_{\pm}$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} m_{\pm}(x;z) &= e_1 + \int_{\pm\infty}^{x} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2iz(x-y)} \end{bmatrix} Q_1(u(y)) m_{\pm}(y;z) dy, \\ n_{\pm}(x;z) &= e_2 + \int_{\pm\infty}^{x} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-2iz(x-y)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} Q_2(u(y)) n_{\pm}(y;z) dy, \end{split}$$

where  $z := \lambda^2$  and

$$Q_{1}(u) = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{bmatrix} |u|^{2} & u \\ -2i\overline{u}_{x} - \overline{u}|u|^{2} & -|u|^{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q_{2}(u) = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{bmatrix} |u|^{2} & -2iu_{x} + u|u|^{2} \\ -\overline{u} & -|u|^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Instead of one Kaup-Newell problem, two Zakharov-Shabat problems!

# A bit of history

Kaup-Newel spectral problem

$$\partial_x \psi = -i\lambda^2 \sigma_3 \psi + \lambda Q(u)\psi, \quad Q(u) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & u \\ -\overline{u} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is reduced to the two Zakharov-Shabat spectral problems

$$\partial_x ilde{\psi}_{1,2} = -iz\sigma_3 ilde{\psi}_{1,2} + Q_{1,2}(u) ilde{\psi}_{1,2}$$

with 
$$z := \lambda^2$$
 and  
 $Q_1(u) = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{bmatrix} |u|^2 & u \\ -2i\overline{u}_x - \overline{u}|u|^2 & -|u|^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q_2(u) = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{bmatrix} |u|^2 & -2iu_x + u|u|^2 \\ -\overline{u} & -|u|^2 \end{bmatrix},$ 

- Threshold on u for the nonexistence of isolated eigenvalues (P, 2011)
- Inverse scattering for derivative NLS (P–Shimabukuro, 2015)
- Q<sub>1</sub> appears already in (Kaup–Newell, 1976).

## Choice of spaces

From the condition  $Q_{1,2}(u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , where

$$Q_1(u) = rac{1}{2i} egin{bmatrix} |u|^2 & u \ -2i\overline{u}_x - \overline{u}|u|^2 & -|u|^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q_2(u) = rac{1}{2i} egin{bmatrix} |u|^2 & -2iu_x + u|u|^2 \ -\overline{u} & -|u|^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

we realize that  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^3(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\partial_x u \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is a natural choice. With  $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , the transformation matrices  $T_{1,2}$  are defined in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

- There exist unique  $L^{\infty}$  solutions  $m_{\pm}(\cdot; z)$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $m_{\mp}(x; \cdot)$ ,  $n_{\pm}(x; \cdot)$  are continued analytically in  $\mathbb{C}^{\pm}$ .
- Limits of  $m_{\mp}(x; z)$ ,  $n_{\pm}(x; z)$  as  $|z| \to \infty$  are defined in  $\mathbb{C}^{\pm}$ .

To use Fourier theory, it is better to work in  $H^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $u, \partial_x u \in L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R})$ .

The inverse scattering transform for the derivative NLS starts from here with extra constraint  $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$  due to the time evolution ...

## Global existence for derivative NLS

Recall the Cauchy problem related to the derivative NLS equation

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} + i(|u|^2 u)_x = 0, & t > 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 \in X = H^s(\mathbb{R}), \end{cases}$$

and the Kaup-Newel spectral problem:

(KN) 
$$\partial_x \psi = \left[-i\lambda^2\sigma_3 + \lambda Q(u)\right]\psi, \quad \psi \in \mathbb{C}^2$$

#### Theorem (P–Shimabukuro, 2015)

For every  $u_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$  such that (KN) admits no eigenvalues or resonances, there exists a unique global solution  $u(t, \cdot) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$  of the Cauchy problem for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, the map  $u_0 \mapsto u$  is Lipschitz.

The function spaces and the inverse scattering method is different from the one used by (Liu–Perry–Sulem, 2015).

Dmitry Pelinovsky (McMaster University)

#### Back to MTM

Massive Thirring Model (MTM) in physical coordinates

$$\begin{cases} i(u_t + u_x) + v = 2|v|^2 u, \\ i(v_t - v_x) + u = 2|u|^2 v, \end{cases}$$

is related to the linear system

$$ec{\phi}_{\xi} = {\it L}ec{\phi}$$
 and  $ec{\phi}_{ au} = {\it A}ec{\phi},$ 

associated with the linear operators

$$L = \frac{i}{2}(|v|^2 - |u|^2)\sigma_3 - \frac{i\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{v} \\ v & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}\lambda}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{u} \\ u & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{i}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} - \lambda^2\right)\sigma_3$$

and

$$A = -\frac{i}{4}(|u|^2 + |v|^2)\sigma_3 - \frac{i\lambda}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{v} \\ v & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{i}{2\lambda}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{u} \\ u & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{i}{4}\left(\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)\sigma_3$$

∃> <∃>

## Direct scattering: $|\lambda| > 1$

Spectral problem  $\partial_x \psi = L \psi$  can be transformed with  $\tilde{\psi} = T(x; \lambda) \psi$  with

$$T(x;\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v(x) & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

to the equivalent form

$$\partial_x \tilde{\psi} = \tilde{Q}_1(u,v)\tilde{\psi} + rac{1}{z}\tilde{Q}_2(u,v)\tilde{\psi} + rac{i}{4}\left(z-rac{1}{z}
ight)\sigma_3\tilde{\psi},$$

where  $z := \lambda^2$  and

$$\tilde{Q}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i}{4}(|u|^2 + |v|^2) & -\frac{i}{2}\bar{v} \\ v_x + \frac{i}{2}|u|^2v + \frac{i}{2}u & -\frac{i}{4}(|u|^2 + |v|^2) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{Q}_2 = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{u}v & -\bar{u} \\ v + \bar{u}v^2 & -\bar{u}v \end{bmatrix}$$

This system is useful as  $z \to \infty$ .

## Direct scattering: $|\lambda| < 1$

Spectral problem  $\partial_x \psi = L \psi$  can be transformed with  $\hat{\psi} = T(x; \lambda) \psi$  with

$$T(x; \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ u(x) & \lambda^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

to the equivalent form

$$\partial_x \hat{\psi} = \hat{Q}_1(u,v)\hat{\psi} + z\hat{Q}_2(u,v)\hat{\psi} + \frac{i}{4}\left(z - \frac{1}{z}\right)\sigma_3\hat{\psi},$$

where  $z := \lambda^2$  and

$$\hat{Q}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{i}{4}(|u|^2 + |v|^2) & \frac{i}{2}\bar{u} \\ u_x - \frac{i}{2}|v|^2u - \frac{i}{2}v & \frac{i}{4}(|u|^2 + |v|^2) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{Q}_2 = -\frac{1}{2i} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{v}u & -\bar{v} \\ u + \bar{v}u^2 & -\bar{v}u \end{bmatrix}$$

This system is useful as  $z \rightarrow 0$ .

#### Inverse scattering

Reconstruction formula as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$\tilde{n}(x;z) = \tilde{n}_{\infty}(x)e_2 + \tilde{n}_{\infty}\bar{v}(x)e_1z^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2}),$$

with  $\tilde{n}_{\infty}(x) = e^{\frac{1}{4i}\int_{\infty}^{x}(|u|^2+|v|^2)dx}$ .

Reconstruction formula as  $|z| \rightarrow 0$ :

$$\hat{n}(x;z) = \hat{n}_{\infty}(x)e_2 + \hat{n}_{\infty}\overline{u}(x)e_1z + \mathcal{O}(z^2),$$

with  $\hat{n}_{\infty}(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{4i}\int_{\infty}^{x}(|u|^{2}+|v|^{2})dx}$ .

- Formal asymptotics (Villarroel, 1991)
- Scattering to zero with PDE analysis (Candy–Lindblad, 2016)
- Inverse scattering and the steepest descent method (Saalmann, 2017).

#### Future result

Massive Thirring Model (MTM) in physical coordinates

$$\begin{cases} i(u_t + u_x) + v = 2|v|^2 u, \\ i(v_t - v_x) + u = 2|u|^2 v, \end{cases}$$

#### Theorem (Saalmann, 2017)

For every  $u_0 \in H^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$  sufficiently small, there exist bounded continuous functions  $f_{\pm}$  such that for every  $t \ge 1 + |x|$ :

$$u(t,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-x}} \left[ e^{i\sqrt{t^2-x^2}+i|f_+(x/t)|^2 \log(t^2-x^2)} f_+(x/t) + e^{-i\sqrt{t^2-x^2}+i|f_-(x/t)|^2 \log(t^2-x^2)} f_-(x/t) \right] + \dots \right]$$

$$v(t,x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+x}} \left[ e^{i\sqrt{t^2-x^2}+i|f_+(x/t)|^2 \log(t^2-x^2)} f_+(x/t) - e^{-i\sqrt{t^2-x^2}+i|f_-(x/t)|^2 \log(t^2-x^2)} f_-(x/t) \right] + \dots$$

#### Conclusion

My talk was devoted to the massive Thirring Model in physical coordinates

$$\begin{cases} i(u_t + u_x) + v = 2|v|^2 u, \\ i(v_t - v_x) + u = 2|u|^2 v, \end{cases}$$

This is an integrable case example of the massive Dirac equations in 1D:

$$\begin{cases} i(u_t + u_x) + v = \partial_{\bar{u}} W(u, v), \\ i(v_t - v_x) + u = \partial_{\bar{v}} W(u, v). \end{cases}$$

The following questions were addressed:

- Global existence in the Cauchy problem
- Orbital stability of solitary waves
- Inverse scattering near zero.

## Conclusion

Most of my talk was devoted to the massive Thirring Model (MTM) in physical coordinates

$$\begin{cases} i(u_t + u_x) + v = 2|v|^2 u, \\ i(v_t - v_x) + u = 2|u|^2 v, \end{cases}$$

This is an integrable case example of the massive Dirac equations in 1D:

$$\begin{cases} i(u_t + u_x) + v = \partial_{\bar{u}} W(u, v), \\ i(v_t - v_x) + u = \partial_{\bar{v}} W(u, v). \end{cases}$$

Interested in more questions?

- Semi-discretizations preserving integrability...
- Stability of N solitary waves...
- Effects of nonintegrability to Dirac solitary waves...