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## The Fermi-Pasta-Ulam problem



- System of particles on the line
- Nearest neighbour interactions with Hamiltonian given by $H=\sum_{j} \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}_{j}^{2}+V\left(q_{j+1}-q_{j}\right)$
- Equations of motion are given by $\ddot{q}_{j}=V^{\prime}\left(q_{j+1}-q_{j}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(q_{j}-q_{j-1}\right)$
- Potential $V(q)=\frac{1}{2} q^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \alpha q^{3}$
- Numerical experiments showed recurrent formation of solitons for long time scales
A. Vainchtein, "Solitary waves in FPU-type lattices", Physica D 434 (2022) 133252 (22 pages)


## KdV limit for small-amplitude, long-scale waves

- Ansatz in the strain variables:

$$
r_{j}(t)=q_{j+1}(t)-q_{j}(t):=\varepsilon^{2} R\left(\varepsilon(j-t), \varepsilon^{3} t\right)+\text { error }
$$

- Approximation satisfies the FPU system to $O\left(\varepsilon^{6}\right)$ if $R$ satisfies the KdV equation:

$$
\partial_{\tau} R+\alpha R \partial_{\xi} R+\frac{1}{24} \partial_{\xi}^{3} R=0
$$

- Rigorous justification:

Schneider-Wayne (1999), Friesecke-Pego (1999-2004), Bambusi-Ponno (2005-2006)

- Follow-up work: log-KdV in Hertzian potential (Games-P, 2014; Dumas-P., 2014), generalized KdV on extended time intervals (Khan-P, 2017), polyatomic case (Gaison-Moskow-Wright-Zhang, 2014), nonlocal interaction (Herrmann-Mikikits-Leitner, 2016), and many more.

KdV is an attractive model due to integrability and asymptotic stability of solitary waves.

## 2D Square Lattice



## KP-II limit for small-amplitude, long-scale, transversely modulated waves

There exist two versions of the two-dimensional generalization of the KdV equation:

$$
\text { (KP-I) } \quad \partial_{\xi}\left(\partial_{\tau} R+\alpha R \partial_{\xi} R+\frac{1}{24} \partial_{\xi}^{3} R\right)-\partial_{\eta}^{2} R=0
$$

and

$$
\text { (KP-II) } \quad \partial_{\xi}\left(\partial_{\tau} R+\alpha R \partial_{\xi} R+\frac{1}{24} \partial_{\xi}^{3} R\right)+\partial_{\eta}^{2} R=0
$$

For water waves, (KP-I) arises for problems with surface tension and (KP-II) arises for gravity waves. For the defocusing Gross-Pitaevskii equation,

$$
i \psi_{t}+\psi_{x x}+\psi_{y y}-|\psi|^{2} \psi=0
$$

only (KP-I) arises in the asymptotic reduction on the nonzero background.
For the FPU lattice on the square lattice, only (KP-II) arises in the asymptotic reduction.

KP-II limit for small-amplitude, long-scale, transversely modulated waves

KP-II is equally attractive due to asymptotic stability of line solitary waves (Mizumachi, 2015), transverse stability of line periodic waves (Haragus, Li, P, 2017), and the web patterns of line solitons.



## On comparison with KP-I

Line solitary and periodic waves are unstable for KP-I and the perturbations evolve into two-dimensional solitons called lumps.


## Justification of KP-II limit

- By using the scalar model on 2D square lattice,

$$
H=\sum_{(j, k)} \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}_{j, k}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{j+1, k}-q_{j, k}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \alpha\left(q_{j+1, k}-q_{j, k}\right)^{3}+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{2}\left(q_{j, k+1}-q_{j, k}\right)^{2}
$$

Duncan-Eilbeck-Zakharov (1991) formally derived KP-II equation

$$
\partial_{\xi}\left(\partial_{\tau} R+\alpha R \partial_{\xi} R+\frac{1}{24} \partial_{\xi}^{3} R\right)+\partial_{\eta}^{2} R=0
$$

- Rigorous justification of the KP-II limit has been an open problem for 20 years!
- It was only justified recently: Gallone-Pasquali (2021) on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ and Hristov-P (2021) on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$


## Vector FPU model on square lattice

- We look at the following Hamiltonian

$$
H=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} \dot{x}_{j, k}^{2}+\dot{y}_{j, k}^{2}+V\left(x_{j+1, k}-x_{j, k}, y_{j+1, k}-y_{j, k}\right)+\sum_{(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} V\left(y_{j, k+1}-y_{j, k}, x_{j, k+1}-x_{j, k}\right),
$$

where

$$
V(r, s)=\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{1}^{2} r^{2}+c_{2}^{2} s^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{1} r^{3}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} r s^{2} .
$$

- Aproximating function for the horizontal propagation

$$
x_{j+1, k}-x_{j, k}=\varepsilon^{2} A\left(\varepsilon\left(j-c_{1} t\right), \varepsilon^{2} k, \varepsilon^{3} t\right)+\text { error }
$$

with $\xi=\varepsilon\left(j-c_{1} t\right), \eta=\varepsilon^{2} k$, and $\tau=\varepsilon^{3} t$

- The approximation satisfies FPU up to a small error if $A$ solves the KP-II equation given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 c_{1} \partial_{\xi} \partial_{\tau} A+\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{12} \partial_{\xi}^{4} A+2 \alpha_{1} \partial_{\xi}\left(A \partial_{\xi} A\right)+c_{2}^{2} \partial_{\eta}^{2} A=0 \tag{KP}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Strain variables

- For the justification analysis it is more convenient to introduce the following strain variables:

$$
\begin{cases}u_{j, k}^{(1)}:=x_{j+1, k}-x_{j, k}, & u_{j, k}^{(2)}:=x_{j, k+1}-x_{j, k} \\ v_{j, k}^{(1)}:=y_{j+1, k}-y_{j, k}, & v_{j, k}^{(2)}:=y_{j, k+1}-y_{j, k} \\ w_{j, k}:=\dot{x}_{j, k}, & \\ z_{j, k}:=\dot{y}_{j, k} & \end{cases}
$$

- This allows us to rewrite two second-order equations for $\ddot{x}_{j, k}$ and $\ddot{y}_{j, k}$ as six first-order equations with two compatibility conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{u}_{j, k}^{(1)}=w_{j+1, k}-w_{j, k}, \quad \dot{u}_{j, k}^{(2)}=w_{j, k+1}-w_{j, k} \\
& \dot{v}_{j, k}^{(1)}=z_{j+1, k}-z_{j, k}, \quad \dot{v}_{j, k}^{(2)}=z_{j, k+1}-z_{j, k} \\
& \dot{w}_{j, k}=c_{1}^{2}\left(u_{j, k}^{(1)}-u_{j-1, k}^{(1)}\right)+c_{2}^{2}\left(u_{j, k}^{(2)}-u_{j, k-1}^{(2)}\right)+\cdots, \\
& \dot{z}_{j, k}=c_{1}^{2}\left(v_{j, k}^{(2)}-v_{j, k-1}^{(2)}\right)+c_{2}^{2}\left(v_{j, k}^{(1)}-v_{j-1, k}^{(1)}\right)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem (Horizontal propagation)

## Theorem (Hristov-P, 2021)

Let $A \in C^{0}\left(\left[-\tau_{0}, \tau_{0}\right], H^{s+9}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)$ be a solution to the KP-II equation with fixed $s \geq 0$, whose initial data $A(\xi, \eta, 0)=A_{0}$ satisfies $A_{0} \in H^{s+9}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \partial_{\xi}^{-2} \partial_{\eta}^{2} A_{0} \in H^{s+9}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, and

$$
\partial_{\xi}^{-1} \partial_{\eta}^{2}\left[\partial_{\xi}^{-2} \partial_{\eta}^{2} A_{0}+A_{0}^{2}\right] \in H^{s+3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) .
$$

Then there are constants $C_{0}, C_{1}, \varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ if the initial conditions of the two-dimensional FPU system satisfies

$$
\left\|u_{i n}^{(1)}-\varepsilon^{2} A_{0}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|u_{i n}^{(2)}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|w_{i n}+\varepsilon^{2} c_{1} A_{0}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|v_{i n}^{(1)}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|v_{i n}^{(2)}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|z_{i n}\right\|_{\ell^{2}} \leq C_{0} \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}}
$$

then the solution to the two-dimensional FPU system satisfies for $t \in\left[-\tau_{0} \varepsilon^{-3}, \tau_{0} \varepsilon^{-3}\right]$

$$
\left\|u^{(1)}(t)-\varepsilon^{2} A\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|u^{(2)}(t)\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|w(t)+\varepsilon^{2} c_{1} A\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|v^{(1)}(t)\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|v^{(2)}(t)\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\|z(t)\|_{\ell^{2}} \leq C_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}} .
$$

## Outline of Proof

(1) Well-posedness of KP-II equation in Sobolev spaces of high regularity
(2) Approximation bound between sequences on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with slow scaling
( Expansions to satisfy the compatibility conditions
(1) Control of residual terms

- Energy estimates
( - Bounds on the approximation error in the time evolution

Vertical propagation follows by symmetry of the square lattice.
Diagonal or any oblique propagation leads to further problems and open questions.

## Time evolution of the KP-II equation

The KP-II equation

$$
2 c_{1} \partial_{\xi} \partial_{\tau} A+\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{12} \partial_{\xi}^{4} A+2 \alpha \partial_{\xi}\left(A \partial_{\xi} A\right)+c_{2}^{2} \partial_{\eta}^{2} A=0
$$

can be written in the evolution form

$$
\partial_{\tau} A+\frac{c_{1}}{24} \partial_{\xi}^{3} A+\frac{\alpha}{c_{1}}\left(A \partial_{\xi} A\right)+\frac{c_{2}^{2}}{2 c_{1}} \partial_{\xi}^{-1} \partial_{\eta}^{2} A=0,
$$

where $\partial_{\xi}^{-1} A=\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} A\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) d \xi^{\prime}$.

- In the residual terms, we need a bound in Sobolev norm for terms of the form $\partial_{\xi}^{-1} \partial_{\tau}^{2} A$.

These terms are related to $\partial_{\xi}^{-2} \partial_{\eta}^{2} \partial_{\tau} A$ or $\partial_{\tau}^{3} A$.

- No such terms arise in the justification of KP-II from 2D Boussinesq [Gallay-Schneider, 2001]

$$
\partial_{t}^{2} u-\Delta u+\Delta^{2} u+\Delta\left(u^{2}\right)=0 .
$$

## Time evolution of the KP-II equation

## Lemma (Hristov-P, 2021)

For any $A_{0} \in H^{s+9}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that $\partial_{\xi}^{-2} \partial_{\eta}^{2} A_{0} \in H^{s+9}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and

$$
\partial_{\xi}^{-1} \partial_{\eta}^{2}\left[\partial_{\xi}^{-2} \partial_{\eta}^{2} A_{0}+A_{0}^{2}\right] \in H^{s+3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

with fixed $s \geq 0$, there exists $\tau_{0}>0$ such that the Cauchy problem admits a unique solution

$$
A \in C^{0}\left(\left[-\tau_{0}, \tau_{0}\right], H^{s+9}\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\left[-\tau_{0}, \tau_{0}\right], H^{s+6}\right) \cap C^{2}\left(\left[-\tau_{0}, \tau_{0}\right], H^{s+3}\right) \cap C^{3}\left(\left[-\tau_{0}, \tau_{0}\right], H^{5}\right)
$$

Analysis is based on writing the evolution problm for $D:=\partial_{\xi}^{-2} \partial_{\eta}^{2} A$,

$$
\partial_{\tau} D+\partial_{\xi}^{3} D+\partial_{\xi}^{-1} \partial_{\eta}^{2}\left(D+A^{2}\right)=0 .
$$

Approximation result between sequences on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$

## Lemma (Hristov-P, 2021)

Let $u_{j, k}=U\left(\varepsilon j, \varepsilon^{2} k\right)$, with $U \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), s>1$. Then, there is a constant $C_{s}>0$, such that for every $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ we have

$$
\|u\|_{\ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)} \leq C_{s} \varepsilon^{-3 / 2}\|U\|_{H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}, \quad \forall U \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

- One-dimensional result loses only $\varepsilon^{-1 / 2}$, extra power of epsilon due to the $\varepsilon^{2}$ scaling of $\eta$.
- The result is an exercise on Fourier transforms on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.


## Expansions to satisfy the compatibility conditions

Here is the starting system of equations of motion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{u}_{j, k}^{(1)}=w_{j+1, k}-w_{j, k}, \quad \dot{u}_{j, k}^{(2)}=w_{j, k+1}-w_{j, k}, \\
& \dot{v}_{j, k}^{(1)}=z_{j+1, k}-z_{j, k}, \quad \dot{v}_{j, k}^{(2)}=z_{j, k+1}-z_{j, k},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{w}_{j, k}= & c_{1}^{2}\left(u_{j, k}^{(1)}-u_{j-1, k}^{(1)}\right)+c_{2}^{2}\left(u_{j, k}^{(2)}-u_{j, k-1}^{(2)}\right)+\alpha_{1}\left[\left(u_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2}-\left(u_{j-1, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +\alpha_{2}\left[u_{j, k}^{(2)} v_{j, k}^{(2)}-u_{j, k-1}^{(2)} v_{j, k-1}^{(2)}+\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{j-1, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2}\right] \\
\dot{z}_{j, k}= & c_{1}^{2}\left(v_{j, k}^{(2)}-v_{j, k-1}^{(2)}\right)+c_{2}^{2}\left(v_{j, k}^{(1)}-v_{j-1, k}^{(1)}\right)+\alpha_{1}\left[\left(v_{j, k}^{(2)}\right)^{2}-\left(v_{j, k-1}^{(2)}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +\alpha_{2}\left[u_{j, k}^{(1)} v_{j, k}^{(1)}-u_{j-1, k}^{(1)} v_{j-1, k}^{(1)}+\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{j, k}^{(2)}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{j, k-1}^{(2)}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Expansions to satisfy the compatibility conditions

- We introduce the following decomposition:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
u_{j, k}^{(1)} & =\varepsilon^{2} A(\xi, \eta, \tau)+\varepsilon^{2} U_{j, k}^{(1)} \\
u_{j, k}^{(2)} & =\varepsilon^{2} B_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \eta, \tau)+\varepsilon^{2} U_{j, k}^{(2)} \\
v_{j, k}^{(1)} & = & \varepsilon^{2} V_{j, k}^{(1)} \\
v_{j, k}^{(2)} & = & \varepsilon^{2} V_{j, k}^{(2)} \\
w_{j, k} & =\varepsilon^{2} W_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \eta, \tau)+\varepsilon^{2} W_{j, k} \\
z_{j, k} & = & \varepsilon^{2} Z_{j, k},
\end{array}
$$

where $\xi=\varepsilon\left(j-c_{1} t\right), \eta=\varepsilon^{2} k, \tau=\varepsilon^{3} t$

- Here $B_{\varepsilon}$, and $W_{\varepsilon}$ are introduced to satisfy the linear equations of motion:

$$
\dot{u}_{j, k}^{(1)}=w_{j+1, k}-w_{j, k}, \quad \dot{u}_{j, k}^{(2)}=w_{j, k+1}-w_{j, k}
$$

## Expansions to satisfy the compatibility conditions

- These equations are satisfied up to $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{5}\right)$ order:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{\varepsilon}(\xi+\varepsilon, \eta)-W_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \eta)=-\varepsilon c_{1} \partial_{\xi} A(\xi, \eta)+\varepsilon^{3} \partial_{\tau} A(\xi, \eta), \\
& W_{\varepsilon}\left(\xi, \eta+\varepsilon^{2}\right)-W_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \eta)=-\varepsilon c_{1} \partial_{\xi} B_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \eta)+\varepsilon^{3} \partial_{\tau} B_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \eta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- We seek an approximate solution by expanding $W_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}$ in orders of $\varepsilon$
- $W_{\varepsilon}=-c_{1} A+\varepsilon\left(\frac{c_{1}}{2} \partial_{\xi} A\right)+\varepsilon^{2}\left(\partial_{\xi}^{-1} \partial_{\tau} A-\frac{c_{1}}{12} \partial_{\xi}^{2} A\right)-\varepsilon^{3}\left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\tau} A\right)$
- $B_{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon \partial_{\xi}^{-1} \partial_{\eta} A-\varepsilon^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\eta} A\right)+\varepsilon^{3}\left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\xi}^{-1} \partial_{\eta}^{2} A+\frac{1}{12} \partial_{\xi} \partial_{\eta} A\right)$
- By construction of terms $W_{\varepsilon}$ and $B_{\varepsilon}$, the residual terms of the two equations vanish to $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{5}\right)$.


## Control of residual terms

The last two remaining equations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{W}_{j, k}= & c_{1}^{2}\left[U_{j, k}^{(1)}-U_{j-1, k}^{(1)}\right]+c_{2}^{2}\left[U_{j, k}^{(2)}-U_{j, k-1}^{(2)}\right] \\
& +\alpha_{1} \varepsilon^{2}\left[2 A U_{j, k}^{(1)}-2 A(\xi-\varepsilon, \eta) U_{j-1, k}^{(1)}+\left(U_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2}-\left(U_{j-1, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +\alpha_{2} \varepsilon^{2}\left[B_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \eta) V_{j, k}^{(2)}-B_{\varepsilon}\left(\xi, \eta-\varepsilon^{2}\right) V_{j, k-1}^{(2)}+U_{j, k}^{(2)} V_{j, k}^{(2)}-U_{j, k-1}^{(2)} V_{j, k-1}^{(2)}\right] \\
& +\alpha_{2} \varepsilon^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(V_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(V_{j-1, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2}\right]+\operatorname{Res}_{j, k}^{W} \\
\dot{Z}_{j, k}= & c_{2}^{2}\left[V_{j, k}^{(1)}-V_{j-1, k}^{(1)}\right]+c_{1}^{2}\left[V_{j, k}^{(2)}-V_{j, k-1}^{(2)}\right] \\
& +\alpha_{2} \varepsilon^{2}\left[B_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \eta) U_{j, k}^{(2)}-B_{\varepsilon}\left(\xi, \eta-\varepsilon^{2}\right) U_{j, k-1}^{(2)}+\frac{1}{2}\left(U_{j, k}^{(2)}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(U_{j, k-1}^{(2)}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +\alpha_{2} \varepsilon^{2}\left[A(\xi, \eta) V_{j, k}^{(1)}-A(\xi-\varepsilon, \eta) V_{j-1, k}^{(1)}+V_{j, k}^{(1)} U_{j, k}^{(1)}-V_{j-1, k}^{(1)} U_{j-1, k}^{(1)}\right] \\
& +\alpha_{1} \varepsilon^{2}\left[\left(V_{j, k}^{(2)}\right)^{2}-\left(V_{j, k-1}^{(2)}\right)^{2}\right]+\operatorname{Res}_{j, k}^{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Control of residual terms

- Residuals are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}_{j, k}^{W}:= & c_{1} \varepsilon \partial_{\xi} W_{\varepsilon}-\varepsilon^{3} \partial_{\tau} W_{\varepsilon}+c_{1}^{2}[A(\xi, \eta)-A(\xi-\varepsilon, \eta)] \\
& +c_{2}^{2}\left[B_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \eta)-B_{\varepsilon}\left(\xi, \eta-\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right]+\alpha_{1} \varepsilon^{2}\left[A(\xi, \eta)^{2}-A(\xi-\varepsilon, \eta)^{2}\right] \\
\operatorname{Res}_{j, k}^{Z}:= & \frac{\alpha_{2} \varepsilon^{2}}{2}\left[B_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \eta)^{2}-B_{\varepsilon}\left(\xi, \eta-\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Expanding Res ${ }^{W}$ gives the following formal expansion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}_{j, k}^{W}= & \varepsilon^{3}\left[2 c_{1} \partial_{\tau} A+\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{12} \partial_{\xi}^{3} A+c_{2}^{2} \partial_{\xi}^{-1} \partial_{\eta}^{2} A+\alpha_{1} \partial_{\xi}\left(A^{2}\right)\right] \\
& -\varepsilon^{4}\left[c_{1} \partial_{\xi} \partial_{\tau} A+\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{24} \partial_{\xi}^{4} A+\frac{c_{2}^{2}}{2} \partial_{\eta}^{2} A+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} \partial_{\xi}^{2}\left(A^{2}\right)\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{5}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\operatorname{Res}^{Z}$ has a formal order of $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{6}\right)$


## Control of residual terms

## Lemma

Let $A \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}, H^{s}\right)$ be a solution to the $K P$-II equation (KP) with $s \geq 9$. There is a positive constant $C$ that depend on $A$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in(0,1]$, we have

$$
\left\|\operatorname{Res} j_{j, k}^{U^{(1)}}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|\operatorname{Res}_{j, k}^{U^{(2)}}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|\operatorname{Res}_{j, k}^{W}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|\operatorname{Res}_{j, k}^{Z}\right\|_{\ell^{2}} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{7}{2}} .
$$

- The formal expansions of the residual terms are handled using Taylor's theorem, e.g.

$$
A(\xi+\varepsilon, \eta)-A(\xi, \eta)=\varepsilon \partial_{\xi} A+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{2} \partial_{\xi}^{2} A+\frac{1}{3!} \varepsilon^{3} \partial_{\xi}^{3} A+\frac{1}{4!} \varepsilon^{4} \partial_{\xi}^{4} A+\frac{1}{4!} \varepsilon^{5} \int_{0}^{1}(1-r)^{4} \partial_{\xi}^{5} A\left(\varepsilon(j+r), \varepsilon^{2} k, \varepsilon^{3} t\right) d r
$$

- The integral residual terms is estimated in $\ell^{2}$-norm for every $r$ on $[0,1]$
- Since the rigorous bound loses $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{-3 / 2}\right)$, the formal bound of $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{5}\right)$ yields $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{7 / 2}\right)$ in the $\ell^{2}$-norm.


## Energy Estimates

- Recall the total energy of the FPU system in strain variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
H= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} w_{j, k}^{2}+z_{j, k}^{2}+c_{1}^{2}\left(u_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2}+c_{2}^{2}\left(u_{j, k}^{(2)}\right)^{2}+c_{1}^{2}\left(v_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2}+c_{2}^{2}\left(v_{j, k}^{(2)}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{1} \sum_{(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(u_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)^{3}+\left(v_{j, k}^{(2)}\right)^{3}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} \sum_{(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(u_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)\left(v_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{j, k}^{(2)}\right)^{2}\left(v_{j, k}^{(2)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- This suggests the following energy quantity to control the growth of the approximation error:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(t)= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} W_{j, k}^{2}+Z_{j, k}^{2}+c_{1}^{2}\left(U_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2}+c_{2}^{2}\left(U_{j, k}^{(2)}\right)^{2}+c_{1}^{2}\left(V_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2}+c_{2}^{2}\left(V_{j, k}^{(2)}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{1} \varepsilon^{2} \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left[3 A\left(U_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2}+\left(U_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)^{3}+\left(V_{j, k}^{(2)}\right)^{3}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} \varepsilon^{2} \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left[A\left(V_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2}+U_{j, k}^{(1)}\left(V_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2}+\left(U_{j, k}^{(2)}\right)^{2} V_{j, k}^{(2)}+2 B_{\varepsilon} U_{j, k}^{(2)} V_{j, k}^{(2)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Energy Estimates

- Here we can recall the decomposition

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{j, k}^{(1)}=\varepsilon^{2} A(\xi, \eta, \tau)+\varepsilon^{2} U_{j, k}^{(1)}, & v_{j, k}^{(1)}=\varepsilon^{2} V_{j, k}^{(1)} \\
u_{j, k}^{(2)}=\varepsilon^{2} B_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \eta, \tau)+\varepsilon^{2} U_{j, k}^{(2)}, & v_{j, k}^{(2)}=\varepsilon^{2} V_{j, k}^{(2)} \\
W_{j, k}=\varepsilon^{2} W_{\varepsilon}(\xi, \eta, \tau)+\varepsilon^{2} W_{j, k}, & z_{j, k}=\varepsilon^{2} Z_{j, k},
\end{array}
$$

- The $\varepsilon$-dependent terms in the energy $E(t)$ are chosen such that the growth rate $E^{\prime}(t)$ does not contain terms up to the formal order $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$
- The energy is used to control the approximation errors in the following sense. Assume that $E(t) \leq E_{0}$ for some $\varepsilon$-independent constant $E_{0}>0$ for every $t \in\left[-\tau_{0} \varepsilon^{-3}, \tau_{0} \varepsilon^{-3}\right]$. There exist some constants $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and $K_{0}>0$ that depend on $A$ such that

$$
K_{0} E(t) \leq\|W\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}+\|Z\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}+\left\|U^{(1)}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}+\left\|U^{(2)}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}+\left\|V^{(1)}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}+\left\|V^{(2)}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2} \leq 2 K_{0} E(t),
$$

for each $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ and $t \in\left[-\tau_{0} \varepsilon^{-3}, \tau_{0} \varepsilon^{-3}\right]$.

## Energy Estimates

By differentiating $E(t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{\prime}(t)= & \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} W_{j, k} \operatorname{Res}_{j, k}^{W}+Z_{j, k} \operatorname{Res}_{j, k}^{Z}+\cdots \\
& +\alpha_{1} \varepsilon^{2}\left(-c_{1} \varepsilon \partial_{\xi} A+\varepsilon^{3} \partial_{\tau} A\right)\left(U_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2} \\
& +\alpha_{2} \varepsilon^{2}\left(-c_{1} \varepsilon \partial_{\xi} B_{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon^{3} \partial_{\tau} B_{\varepsilon}\right) U_{j, k}^{(2)} V_{j, k}^{(2)}+\alpha_{2} \varepsilon^{2}\left(-c_{1} \varepsilon \partial_{\xi} A+\varepsilon^{3} \partial_{\tau} A\right)\left(V_{j, k}^{(1)}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From here, Cauchy-Schwartz and the estimates for the residual terms and for the approximation errors gives the differential inequality:

$$
\left|E^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{7 / 2} E(t)^{1 / 2}+\varepsilon^{3} E(t)\right)
$$

for some $C_{0}>0$ as long as $E(t) \leq E_{0}$ for some $E_{0}>0$.

## Bounds on the approximation error in the time evolution

- By making the substitution $E(t):=\frac{1}{2} Q(t)^{2}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Q^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{7 / 2}+\varepsilon^{3} Q\right) \tag{QB}
\end{equation*}
$$

- We obtain a bound on $Q(t)$ from the Gronwall lemma


## Lemma

Assume that $Q(t)$ satisfies (QB) for $t \in\left[-\tau_{0} \varepsilon^{-3}, \tau_{0} \varepsilon^{-3}\right]$ and $Q(0) \leq C_{0} \varepsilon^{1 / 2}$ for some $\varepsilon$-independent constant $C_{0}$. There exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that

$$
Q(t) \leq \varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left(1+C_{0}\right) \exp \left(C \tau_{0}\right)
$$

for each $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ and $t \in\left[-\tau_{0} \varepsilon^{-3}, \tau_{0} \varepsilon^{-3}\right]$.

## Bounds on the approximation error in the time evolution

- Recall the energy bounds:

$$
K_{0} E(t) \leq\|W\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}+\|Z\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}+\left\|U^{(1)}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}+\left\|U^{(2)}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}+\left\|V^{(1)}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}+\left\|V^{(2)}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2} \leq 2 K_{0} E(t)
$$

- Hence the initial bound gives

$$
Q(0) \leq C_{A}\left(\left\|U_{i n}^{(1)}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|U_{i n}^{(2)}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|W_{i n}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|V_{i n}^{(1)}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|V_{i n}^{(2)}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|Z_{i n}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}\right) \leq C_{0} \varepsilon^{1 / 2}
$$

- Gronwall's lemma and the decomposition then yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u^{(1)}(t)-\varepsilon^{2} A\left(\varepsilon\left(j-c_{1} t\right), \eta^{2} k, \varepsilon^{3} t\right)\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|u^{(2)}(t)-\varepsilon^{2} B_{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon\left(j-c_{1} t\right), \eta^{2} k, \varepsilon^{3} t\right)\right\|_{\ell^{2}} \\
& +\left\|w(t)-\varepsilon^{2} W_{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon\left(j-c_{1} t\right), \eta^{2} k, \varepsilon^{3} t\right)\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|v^{(1)}(t)\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\left\|v^{(2)}(t)\right\|_{\ell^{2}}+\|z(t)\|_{\ell^{2}} \leq K_{0} \varepsilon^{2} Q(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is bounded by $C \varepsilon^{5 / 2}$. This completes the proof of the justification theorem.

## Towards other directions of the propagation



## KP-II equation for the diagonal propagation

- Diagonal propagation is similar to diatomic lattice

$$
m=\frac{1}{2}(j+k), \quad n=\frac{1}{2}(j-k), \quad x_{m, n}:=x_{j, k}, \quad \chi_{m, n}:=x_{j+1, k} .
$$

- Formal aproximating function

$$
x_{m+1, n}-x_{m, n}=\varepsilon^{2} A\left(\varepsilon\left(m-c_{1}^{*} t\right), \varepsilon^{2}\left(n-c_{2}^{*} t\right), \varepsilon^{3} t\right)+\text { error }
$$

where $c_{1}^{*}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}}$ and $c_{2}^{*}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{c_{1}^{2}-c_{2}^{2}}$

- However, it is hard to control error in general case because non-local terms related to KP-II solutions appear at lower orders in $\varepsilon$
- With N. Hristov, we only succeeded to justify the KP-II equation for the choice $c_{1}=c_{2}$ and $\alpha_{2}=2 \alpha_{1}$, for which the FPU system is satisfied by the invariant reduction $x_{j, k}=y_{j, k}$ and $c_{2}^{*}=0$.


## Other propagation directions

With G. Schneider, we achieved a better progress for arbitrary directions of propagation.
The first simplification is to work with the second-order equations, rather than the first-order equations. From

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{u}_{m, n}=w_{m+1, n}-w_{m, n} \\
\dot{v}_{m, n}=w_{m, n+1}-w_{m, n} \\
\dot{w}_{m, n}=V^{\prime}\left(u_{m, n}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(u_{m-1, n}\right)+V^{\prime}\left(v_{m, n}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(v_{m, n-1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

we eliminate $w_{m, n}$ and get

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\ddot{u}_{m, n}= & V^{\prime}\left(u_{m+1, n}\right)-2 V^{\prime}\left(u_{m, n}\right)+V^{\prime}\left(u_{m-1, n}\right) \\
& +V^{\prime}\left(v_{m+1, n}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(v_{m+1, n-1}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(v_{m, n}\right)+V^{\prime}\left(v_{m, n-1}\right) \\
\ddot{V}_{m, n}= & V^{\prime}\left(v_{m, n+1}\right)-2 V^{\prime}\left(V_{m, n}\right)+V^{\prime}\left(v_{m, n-1}\right) \\
& +V^{\prime}\left(u_{m, n+1}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(u_{m-1, n+1}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(u_{m, n}\right)+V^{\prime}\left(u_{m-1, n}\right),
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

There exists still a compatibility condition between $u_{m, n}$ and $v_{m, n}$.

## Other propagation directions

The second simplification is to use the Fourier transform and convert the system into the form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t}^{2} \widehat{u}=-\left(\omega_{k}^{2}+\omega_{l}^{2}\right) \widehat{u}+\omega_{k}^{2}(\widehat{u} * \widehat{u})-\left(e^{-i k}-1\right)\left(1-e^{i l}\right)(\widehat{v} * \widehat{v}), \\
\partial_{t}^{2} \widehat{v}=-\left(\omega_{k}^{2}+\omega_{l}^{2}\right) \widehat{v}+\omega_{l}^{2}(\widehat{v} * \widehat{v})-\left(e^{-i l}-1\right)\left(1-e^{i k}\right)(\widehat{u} * \widehat{u}) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\omega_{k}^{2}:=2-2 \cos (k)$ and we use $V^{\prime}(u)=u-u^{2}$ just for simplifications.
The compatibility condition between $u_{m, n}$ and $v_{m, n}$ can be expressed easier in the Fourier form as

$$
\left(e^{-i k}-1\right) \widehat{v}(k, l, t)=\left(e^{-i l}-1\right) \widehat{u}(k, l, t) .
$$

## Other propagation directions

The leading order approximation for an arbitrary angle $\phi$ can be expressed by

$$
u_{m, n}(t)=\varepsilon^{2} A(X, Y, T), \quad v_{m, n}(t)=\varepsilon^{2} B(X, Y, T)
$$

where

$$
X=\varepsilon((\cos \phi) m+(\sin \phi) n-t), \quad Y=\varepsilon^{2}(-(\sin \phi) m+(\cos \phi) n), \quad T=\varepsilon^{3} t
$$

This yields the extended KP-II equation

$$
\begin{align*}
-2 \partial_{X} \partial_{T} A & =\frac{1}{12}\left[(\cos \phi)^{4}+(\sin \phi)^{4}\right] \partial_{X}^{4} A+\partial_{Y}^{2} A \\
& \left.-(\cos \phi)^{2} \partial_{X}^{2}\left(A^{2}\right)-(\sin \phi)(\cos \phi)\right) \partial_{X}^{2}\left(B^{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon\left[(\cos \phi)^{2}-(\sin \phi)^{2}\right](\cos \phi)(\sin \phi) \partial_{X}^{3} \partial_{Y} A+2 \varepsilon(\cos \phi)(\sin \phi) \partial_{X} \partial_{Y}\left(A^{2}\right) \\
& -\varepsilon\left[(\cos \phi)^{2}-(\sin \phi)^{2}\right] \partial_{X} \partial_{Y}\left(B^{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon[\cos \phi-\sin \phi](\cos \phi)(\sin \phi) \partial_{X}^{3}\left(B^{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

and $(\cos \phi) \partial_{X} B=(\sin \phi) \partial_{X} A$ up to the leading order.

## Other propagation directions

The extended KP-II equation splits into the KP-II equation and the linearized KP-II equation, where we need to control $\partial_{X}^{-1} \partial_{Y}\left(A^{2}\right)$ in Sobolev spaces. However, this is impossible on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

On other hand, working on torus $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ (Bourgain, 1993), if the mean value of $A$ in $X$ is independent of $Y$, then $\partial_{X}^{-3} \partial_{Y}^{3} A$ is controllable in $H^{5}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ and so is $\partial_{X}^{-1} \partial_{Y}\left(A^{2}\right)$.

Thus, we will be able to justify the KP-II equation for arbitrary directions of propagations on $\mathbb{T}^{2}$, but not on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ (P-Schneider, 2022).
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