On the exchange of energy in coupled Klein–Gordon equations
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Abstract

We consider a system of coupled Klein–Gordon equations, which models one-dimensional nonlinear wave processes in two-component media. We find both linear and nonlinear solutions involving the exchange of energy between the different components of the system. The solutions are a continuum generalization of the classical example of energy exchange in Mandelshtam’s system of coupled pendulums.
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1. Introduction

We consider the system of coupled Klein–Gordon equations in the form:

\begin{align}
    u_{tt} - u_{xx} &= f_u(u, w), \\
    w_{tt} - c^2 w_{xx} &= f_w(u, w),
\end{align}

(1)

where subscripts denote derivatives, \( f_u(u, w) \) is a potential function of nonlinear coupling, and \( c \) the ratio of the acoustic velocities of the components \( u \) and \( w \). The dimensionless system (1) describes the long-wave dynamics of two coupled one-dimensional periodic chains of particles [1]. The elements of each chain are linked by a linear coupling, while the chains interact via a nonlinear coupling.

The choice of the potential function \( f(u, w) \) depends on the mathematical model of the physical system. For instance, the coupled Klein–Gordon equations (1) with the proper choice of the function \( f(u, w) \) can be considered as the long-wave limit of a lattice model for one-dimensional nonlinear wave processes in a bi-layer [1a]. Similar lattice models were proposed for the modeling of crack propagation in composites (see, for example, [2]). Many advanced structures, such as joints, coatings and electronic packages, use bi-materials. When applied to the modeling of bi-materials, the potential function \( f(u, w) \) and parameter \( c \) are determined by the type of interface and materials forming the bi-layer. In addition, we note that similar models were proposed to describe dynamical processes in hydrogen-bonded chains (e.g., [3–6]), molecular crystals and polymer chains as well as ferroelectrics or ferromagnets and thin films where rotational and vibrational degrees of freedom are coupled together (e.g., [7–12]), and in the DNA double helix [13] (see also [14] and references therein).
As the function \( f(u, w) \) in such phenomenological model should be found experimentally, its analytic form is not unique. Some suitable choices of \( f(u, w) \) and \( c \) can be found to simplify the analysis. The Lie group classification of the system (1) was carried out in [1] for \( c \neq 1, \ f_{uu}(u, w) \neq 0 \). The general system (1) with \( c = 1 \) (and arbitrary functions of \( u \) and \( w \) on the right-hand side) was studied in [15], where the cases admitting Lie–Bäcklund symmetries were found, and integrable (completely or partially) examples were presented. If \( f_{uu}(u, w) = 0 \), the system (1) splits into two independent Klein–Gordon equations, whose group classification with respect to point symmetries was given in [16] (see also [17]) and that with respect to the Lie–Bäcklund symmetries in [18].

The general system (1) is Lagrangian with the density:

\[
L = \frac{1}{2} (u_x^2 + w_x^2 - u^2 x - c^2 w^2 x) + f(u, w).
\]

The system exhibits two balance equations for the conserved energy and momentum:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (u_x^2 + w_x^2 + u^2 + c^2 w^2) - f(u, w) \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ u u_x + c^2 w w_x \right] = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ u u_x + w w_x \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (u_x^2 + w_x^2 + u^2 + c^2 w^2) + f(u, w) \right] = 0.
\]

When the potential function is \( f(u, w) = \cos (\delta u - w) - 1 \), the system (1) reduces to coupled sine-Gordon equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\ddot{u} - \ddot{u} & = -\delta^2 \sin (u - w), \\
\ddot{w} - c^2 \ddot{w} & = \sin (u - w),
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

where the variable \( u \) replaces \( \delta u \), compared to the system (1). The coupled sine-Gordon equations generalize the Frenkel–Kontorova [19] dislocation model (see also [20] and references therein). Unlike the Frenkel–Kontorova model, where the shear of one part of a crystal is considered with respect to the rigid base, the system (2) is derived under the assumption that both parts of a crystal are deformable. In the model (2), the dimensionless parameter \( \delta^2 \) is equal to the ratio of masses of particles in the “lower” and the “upper” parts of the crystal. For \( \delta^2 \to 0 \) and \( u = 0 \), the system (2) reduces to the sine-Gordon equation for the variable \( w \). Thus, there is a natural limit to the Frenkel–Kontorova model. We also notice that system (2) with \( c = 1 \) was proposed to describe the open states in DNA [13].

Invariant solutions of the system (2) and solutions describing dynamics of the system in the presence of additional shear forces were constructed in [1]. It was shown that a gap appears in the spectrum of velocities of solitary waves of the system (2) if the acoustic velocities of non-interacting chains are different (\( c^2 \neq 1 \)). Therefore, the system represents a filter of solitary waves (analogously to the acoustic filter in the linear theory). It was also shown that the relative displacement of the “upper” particles with respect to the “lower” particles remains the same as in the Frenkel–Kontorova model, whereas the absolute displacements depend on the wave speed.

In this paper we study in detail the linear and the nonlinear wave processes involving the exchange of energy between the two physical components of the system. In particular, we describe the full and the partial transfer of energy between the components \( u \) and \( w \) in the situation when one component is initially excited (say \( u \)), while the other component (\( w \)) is initially at rest. The periodic and quasi-periodic processes in the wave system (2) are analogous to the energy exchange in a system of coupled pendulums in classical mechanics [21] (see also [22]).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we construct linear solutions for exchanges of energy between the two components. The exact nonlinear solution is found in Section 3 for the case \( c = 1 \). We weakly nonlinear solutions for the general case are derived in Section 4 by means of reduction of the system (2) to coupled nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equations. Section 5 concludes the paper.
2. Energy exchange in the linear approximation

In the case of small relative displacements of components, when \(|u - w| \ll 1\), the coupled sine-Gordon equations (2) reduce to the linear system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + c^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} &= \delta (u - w), \\
\delta \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + c^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} &= \delta (w - u).
\end{align*}
\]  

(3)

Fourier solutions of the system (3) take the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
u &= U e^{ik(x - \alpha t)}, \\
w &= W e^{ik(x - \gamma t)},
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\omega = \omega(k)\) satisfies the dispersion relation:

\[
D(\alpha^2, \kappa^2) = (\alpha^2 - (\kappa^2 + \delta^2))(\alpha^2 - (\kappa^2 + \nu^2)) - \delta^2 = 0
\]

(4)

and the ratio between the two components is

\[
\alpha = \frac{W}{U} = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \kappa^2 + \delta^2}}{\sqrt{\nu^2 + \kappa^2 + \delta^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \kappa^2 + \delta^2}}.
\]

(5)

Due to the symmetry \(k \rightarrow -k\) and \(\omega \rightarrow -\omega\), we consider the dispersion curve in the first quadrant: \(k > 0, \omega > 0\).

The typical shape of the dispersion curve is shown in Fig. 1 for \(c = 1\) (a) and \(c \neq 1\) (b). For \(c = 1\), \(\alpha_1(k) = k\) and \(\alpha_2(k) = \sqrt{1 + \delta^2 + \kappa^2}\). For \(c < 1\) and \(\delta > 0\), the two branches of the dispersion curve intersect at finite \(k\). The coupling \(\delta = 0\) induces splitting of the two branches.

It is convenient to parameterize the dispersion curves \(\omega = \omega_1, \omega_2(k)\) as follows:

\[
\omega_1, \omega_2 = \frac{\sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2 + \sqrt{(v_1^2 - v_2^2)^2 + 4\delta^2}}}{2},
\]

(6)

where \(v_1^2 = \delta^2 + k^2\) and \(v_2^2 = 1 + c^2k^2\), such that \(\alpha_{1,2} = (v_1^2 - v_2^2)/\delta^2 = 1/(v_1^2 - v_2^2)\). We notice that \(\alpha_1 > 0\) and \(\alpha_2 < 0\). For example, if \(c = 1\), then \(\alpha_1 = 1\) and \(\alpha_2 = -\delta^2\).

There is a pronounced energy exchange between the two components \(u\) and \(w\) of the system (2) when two different branches of the dispersion curve coexist for the same wavenumber \(k\). Let us consider a general superposition of two linear waves with the same wavenumber \(k\) but different frequencies \(\omega_1(k)\) and \(\omega_2(k)\):

\[
\begin{align*}
u &= U_1 e^{i(kx - \omega_1 t)} + U_2 e^{i(kx - \omega_2 t)} + c.c., \\
w &= \alpha_1 U_1 e^{i(kx - \omega_1 t)} + \alpha_2 U_2 e^{i(kx - \omega_2 t)} + c.c.,
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

where \(k > 0\) and \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0\). Choosing \(U_1\) and \(U_2\) in such a way that the solution (7) satisfies the initial condition \(u(0, x) = A \cos kx, \quad w(0, x) = 0\), we find

\[
\begin{align*}
u &= \frac{A}{\alpha_1 + |\alpha_2|} [\alpha_1 (|\omega_2| \cos (kx - \omega_1 t) + \alpha_1 \cos (kx - \omega_2 t))], \\
w &= \frac{2\alpha_1 |\omega_2|}{\alpha_1 + |\alpha_2|} \sin \gamma t \sin (kx - \gamma t),
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

where \(\gamma = (\alpha_1 \pm \alpha_2)/2\).
A full exchange between the two components \( u \) and \( w \) from zero to non-zero values occurs when \( v_1^2 = v_2^2 = v^2 \), and therefore \( a_1 = -a_2 = \delta^{-1} \). The solution (8) in this case reduces to the form

\[
\begin{align*}
  u &= A \cos \gamma t \cos (kx - \gamma_1 t), \\
  w &= -A \delta^{-1} \sin \gamma t \sin (kx - \gamma_1 t).
\end{align*}
\]  

(9)

Solution (9) describes periodic exchange of energy between the two components of the coupled system with the period \( T = 2\pi/\gamma_1 \). Here, the waves propagate with the velocity \( \gamma_1/k \), with the phases shifted by \( \pi/2 \) and the amplitudes varying from 0 to the maximal value with the frequency \( \gamma_1 \). The \( u \) component is at maximum at \( t = 0 \) and at \( t = \pi/\gamma_1 \), while the \( w \) component is at maximum at \( t = \pi/(2\gamma_1) \) and so on. Thus, there is a periodic energy exchange between the \( u \) and the \( w \) components. Solution (9) is shown in Fig. 2 for \( c = 1, \delta = 1, A = 1, k = 1.6 \) in the quarter-period interval \( 0 \leq t \leq \pi/(2\gamma_1) \).

Partial exchange between the two components \( u \) and \( w \) occurs when \( v_1^2 \neq v_2^2 \). In this case, the solution (8) takes a more complicated form:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u &= U(t) \cos (kx - a_2 t + \chi(t)), \\
  w &= \frac{2a_1|a_2|A}{a_1 + |a_2|} \sin \gamma t \sin (kx - \gamma_1 t),
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

where

\[
U(t) = \frac{A}{a_1 + |a_2|} \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2 + 2a_1|a_2| \cos (a_2 - a_1) t}, \quad \chi(t) = \arctan \left( \frac{|a_2| \sin (a_2 - a_1) t}{a_1 + |a_2| \cos (a_2 - a_1) t} \right).
\]

It is clear from (10) that the amplitude of the wave propagating in the “upper” component varies between non-zero values: \( u = (A|a_1 - |a_2||)/(|a_1 + |a_2||) \cos kx \) and \( u = A \cos kx \).

Solutions (9) and (10) describe the exchange of energy between the right-propagating waves. Using the symmetry \( t \rightarrow -t \), one can obtain solutions for energy exchange between the left-propagating waves:

Combining all four possible waves with the same wavenumber \( k \), we construct a general linear superposition for energy exchange between the two pairs of counter-propagating waves:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u &= U_1 e^{i(kx - a_2 t)} + U_1 e^{i(kx + a_1 t)} + U_2 e^{i(kx - a_1 t)} + U_2 e^{i(kx + a_2 t)} + c.c., \\
  w &= a_1(U_1 e^{i(kx - a_2 t)} + U_1 e^{i(kx + a_1 t)}) + a_2(U_2 e^{i(kx - a_1 t)} + U_2 e^{i(kx + a_2 t)}) + c.c.
\end{align*}
\]  

(11)

We choose the constants \( U_{1,2,1,2} \) to satisfy the initial data:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u(0, x) &= A \cos kx, \\
  w(0, x) &= 0, \\
  \dot{u}(0, x) &= \dot{w}(0, x) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

and transform the solution (11) to the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u &= \frac{A}{a_1 + |a_2|} (a_2) \cos a_1 t + \alpha_1 \cos a_2 t) \cos kx, \\
  w &= \frac{2a_1|a_2|A}{a_1 + |a_2|} \sin \gamma t \sin \gamma_1 t \cos kx,
\end{align*}
\]

(12)

where notations are the same as above.
Fig. 3. Energy exchange in the linear four-wave solution (13).

If $\nu_1^2 = \nu_2^2 = \nu^2$, then the solution (12) takes a simple form:

$$u = A \cos \gamma_+ t \cos \gamma_+ x, \quad w = A \delta^{-1} \sin \gamma_+ t \sin \gamma_+ x.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Since $\gamma_+ = (\omega_2 \pm \omega_1)/2$, then $\gamma_- < \gamma_+$. The linear solution (13) describes a periodic exchange of energy with the larger period $T = \frac{2\pi}{\gamma_+}$ between the components $u$ and $w$ which represent the standing wave solutions. The process looks similar to the energy exchange in the two-wave case. It is shown in Fig. 3 for $c = 1$, $\delta = 1$, $A = 1$, $k = 1.6$ in the quarter-period interval. The amplitude of oscillations is modulated by the function $\cos kx$. For fixed $x$ we observe the classical pattern of beating between the components, shown in Fig. 4 for the point $x = 0$. The energy exchange in the four-wave solution (13) is the continuum generalization of the classical example of beating oscillations in a system of coupled pendulums [21] (see also [22]).

If $\nu_2^2 \neq \nu_1^2$, then

$$u = U(t) \cos (\omega_2 t - \chi(t)) \cos kx$$

and the “upper” component oscillates between non-zero values $u = \frac{A|\omega_1 - |\omega_2||}{|\omega_1 + |\omega_2||} \cos kx$ and $u = A \cos kx$.

If $\gamma_-$ is small enough, i.e., if the frequencies $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ are close for some wave number $k$, then the $u$ component in the solutions (9) and (13) at $t = \pi/\gamma_-$ is almost in equilibrium, and its total energy is transferred to the $w$-component. At $t = \pi/\gamma_-$ it is vice versa and so on. (Notice that physical variables in the Lagrangian formulation of the problem are $u/\delta$ and $w$.) If $\nu^2 \gg \delta$, then the period $T$ of the energy exchange tends to infinity.

Let us now consider, when the condition $\nu_1^2 = \nu_2^2$ is met. This condition corresponds to the full exchange (up to zero) between the two components $u$ and $w$. If $c = 1$, this condition can be satisfied only for $\delta = 1$, while wavenumber $k$ is arbitrary. If $c \neq 1$, then the condition $\nu_1^2 = \nu_2^2$ cannot be satisfied with any wavenumber $k$, if $(1 - \delta^2)/(1 - c^2) < 0$. Otherwise, i.e., when $(1 - \delta^2)/(1 - c^2) \geq 0$, the condition $\nu_1^2 = \nu_2^2$ is satisfied for a single value of wavenumber $k = |1 - \delta^2|^{1/2}/|1 - c^2|^{1/2}$.

Fig. 4. Beating between the components $u$ and $w$ in the solution (13) for $x = 0$. 

The energy exchange in the linear four-wave solution (13) is a simple example of the exchange of energy between two components in a system of coupled pendulums.
3. Exact solutions for energy exchange at $c = 1$

Exact solution describing the energy exchange between the two components $u$ and $w$ of the system (2) can be constructed if $c = 1$, i.e., if the acoustic velocities of the components coincide. In this case, we introduce new variables

$$p = u - w, \quad q = u + \sqrt{2} w$$

and transform the system (2) to the form

$$p_u - p_{xx} = -(1 + \sqrt{2}) \sin p,$$

$$q_u - q_{tt} = 0.$$  \hfill(16)

The system decomposes into the sine-Gordon equation (15) uncoupled from the wave equation (16). The exact periodic solution of the sine-Gordon equation (15) is (e.g., [23])

$$p = 2 \arcsin[\sin(\nu_1 t + \theta_0, \kappa)],$$

where $\nu_1 = \sqrt{1 + \delta^2 + \kappa^2}$ is the second branch of the dispersion curve, $\kappa$ the modulus ($0 < \kappa < 1$), and $\theta_0$ a constant. The general solution of the wave equation (16) has the form

$$q = f(\nu_1 t + \theta_0) + g(\kappa t + \nu_1 t),$$

where $\nu_1 = \kappa$ is the first branch of the dispersion curve. We choose $g = 0$ and $f$ to have the same expression as (17). As a result, the explicit two-wave solution describing the exchange of energy between the two components $u$ and $w$ can be found in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions:

$$u = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{2}[\arcsin \phi_1 + \delta^2 \arcsin \phi_2]},$$

$$w = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{2}[\arcsin \phi_1 - \arcsin \phi_2]},$$

$$\Phi(t, x) = \frac{2 \arcsin (\gamma t, x)}{F_1(t, x)},$$

where $0 < \kappa < 1$ and

$$F_1(t, x) = \sin(\nu_1 t + \theta_0, \kappa) \sin(\nu_2 t + \theta_0, \kappa),$$

$$\Phi(t, x) = 1 - \kappa^2 \sin^2 (\gamma t, x) \sin^2 (\gamma t, \kappa).$$

Since the Jacobi function $\text{dn}(z, \kappa)$ has no zeros on the real axis, zeros of the nonlinear solution (19) coincide with zeros of the functions $\text{cn}(z, \kappa)$ and $\text{sn}(z, \kappa)$. Zeros of the function $\text{sn}(z, \kappa)$ on the real axis are located at the points $z = 2m\kappa$, while those of the function $\text{cn}(z, \kappa)$ are located at the points $z = (2n - 1)K$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and

$$K = \int_0^{\pi/2} (1 - \kappa^2 \sin^2 \phi)^{-1/2} d\phi$$

is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind. The real period of these functions is equal to $4K$. The time of exchange of energy from one component to another is $T = K/\gamma$. The nonlinear two-wave solution (19) is shown in Fig. 5 for $c = 1$, $\delta = 1$, $k = 1.6$, and $\kappa^2 = 0.99999$. The qualitative behaviour of the nonlinear system (2) is similar to that described in the linear approximation (see Figs. 2 and 5).
4. Weakly nonlinear solution for energy exchange

The exact solution of the system (2) for periodic exchange of energy is found only for \( c = 1 \). This condition is very restrictive. In the general case, we can construct, however, approximate, weakly nonlinear solutions describing the energy exchange between the components \( u \) and \( w \). Weakly nonlinear solutions are found with the use of asymptotic methods [25] by reduction of the coupled sine-Gordon equations (2) to the coupled NLS equations. The coupled NLS equations are derived for the slow spatio-temporal evolution of the wave amplitudes. The slow evolution is captured by the asymptotic multi-scale expansion:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d\tau} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ w \end{pmatrix} = & \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} + \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} A_2 \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix} + \epsilon^2 \begin{pmatrix} A_3 \\ B_3 \end{pmatrix} + O(\epsilon^4),
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
A_1 &= \left[ A(\tau, \xi) e^{i(kx - \omega t)} + B(\tau, \xi) e^{i(kx - \omega t)} \right] \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
B_1 &= \left[ C(\tau, \xi) e^{i(kx - \omega t)} + D(\tau, \xi) e^{i(kx - \omega t)} \right] \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c.c.
\end{align*}
\]

\[\tau = \epsilon t, \xi = \epsilon x, T = \epsilon^2 t, X = \epsilon^2 x \] are the slow variables, and \( \epsilon \) a small amplitude parameter. After lengthy but straightforward calculations, and rescalings, we arrive at the system of coupled equations for the wave amplitudes \( A, B, C \) and \( D \):

\[
\begin{align*}
iA_T + v_A A_X + \mu_A (|A|^2 + 2|B|^2)A + \mu_A (|C|^2 + |D|^2)A &= -\frac{i}{\epsilon^2} \omega_0^2 A_{XX}, \\
iB_T - v_B B_X - \mu_B (|B|^2 + 2|A|^2)B - \mu_B (|C|^2 + |D|^2)B &= \frac{i}{\epsilon^2} \omega_0^2 B_{XX}, \\
iC_T + v_C C_X + \mu_C (|C|^2 + 2|D|^2)C + \mu_C (|A|^2 + |B|^2)C &= -\frac{i}{\epsilon^2} \omega_0^2 C_{XX}, \\
iD_T - v_D D_X - \mu_D (|D|^2 + 2|C|^2)D - \mu_D (|A|^2 + |B|^2)D &= \frac{i}{\epsilon^2} \omega_0^2 D_{XX},
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
v_A &= \frac{\delta^2(1 - \alpha_1)\epsilon^2}{4\alpha_0(1 + \alpha_0^2 \delta^2)}, & \quad \alpha_0 &= \frac{1 - \epsilon^2 + \alpha_0^2 \delta^2 (\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^2 - \epsilon^2)}{\alpha_0(1 + \alpha_0^2 \delta^2)}, \\
\mu_A &= \frac{\delta^2(1 - \alpha_1)^2(1 - \alpha_0^2)}{4\alpha_0(1 + \alpha_0^2 \delta^2)}, & \quad \mu_B &= \frac{\delta^2(1 - \alpha_1)^2(1 - \alpha_0^2)^2}{2\alpha_0(1 + \alpha_0^2 \delta^2)}, \\
\mu_C &= \frac{\delta^2(1 - \alpha_1)^2(1 - \alpha_0^2)^2}{4\alpha_0(1 + \alpha_0^2 \delta^2)}, & \quad \mu_D &= \frac{\delta^2(1 - \alpha_1)^2(1 - \alpha_0^2)^2}{2\alpha_0(1 + \alpha_0^2 \delta^2)}.
\end{align*}
\]
The dispersive terms in the right-hand side of (21) are small and beyond the leading order of the asymptotic multi-scale expansion method. Similar coupled NLS equations were recently derived in [26, 26a] for two counter-propagating waves. A system of coupled two-dimensional NLS equations for two counter-propagating waves was derived in [27]. We notice that the coupled equations (21) are valid in the general non-resonant case, when \( \nu_1 \neq \nu_2 \) (see, for example, [28]).

The coupled NLS equations (21) have the \( x \)-independent coupled-wave solution:

\[
A = A_0 \exp(i\omega_1 T), \quad B = B_0 \exp(-i\omega_0 T), \quad C = C_0 \exp(i\omega_1 T), \quad D = D_0 \exp(-i\omega_0 T),
\]

where

\[
\omega_a = \mu_1 (|A_0|^2 + 2|B_0|^2) + \mu_2 (|C_0|^2 + |B_0|^2), \quad \omega_b = \mu_1 (2|A_0|^2 + |B_0|^2) + \mu_2 (|C_0|^2 + |D_0|^2),
\]

\[
\omega_c = \mu_2 (|C_0|^2 + 2|B_0|^2) + \mu_4 (|A_0|^2 + |B_0|^2), \quad \omega_d = \mu_2 (2|C_0|^2 + |D_0|^2) + \mu_4 (|A_0|^2 + |B_0|^2).
\]

Substituting (22) into (20) we obtain the solution in the form of two pairs of counter-propagating waves:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  \frac{u}{w}
\end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{E} \begin{pmatrix}
  A_0 e^{i(kx-x_1 t+\omega_a t^2)} + B_0 e^{i(kx+x_1 t-\omega_a t^2)}
  \\
  C_0 e^{i(kx-x_2 t+\omega_c t^2)} + D_0 e^{i(kx+x_2 t-\omega_c t^2)}
\end{pmatrix} + \text{c.c.}
\]

Solution (23) describes a weakly nonlinear energy exchange between the two components. The only change compared to the linear solutions discussed in Section 2 is the nonlinear corrections to the frequencies \( \omega_a, \omega_c \) of the linear waves, where \( \omega_a(k) \) and \( \omega_c(k) \) are given by (6). For \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), solution (23) reduces to the linear solution (8) in the case of two waves with

\[
\mathcal{E} A_0 = \frac{|\omega_2| A}{2(\omega_1 + |\omega_2|)}, \quad \mathcal{E} C_0 = \frac{|\omega_1| A}{2(\omega_1 + |\omega_2|)}, \quad B_0 = D_0 = 0
\]

and to the linear solution (12) in the case of four waves:

\[
\mathcal{E} A_0 = \varepsilon B_0 = \frac{|\omega_2| A}{2(\omega_1 + |\omega_2|)}, \quad \mathcal{E} C_0 = \varepsilon D_0 = \frac{|\omega_1| A}{2(\omega_1 + |\omega_2|)}.
\]

For \( \varepsilon \neq 0 \), solution (23) generalizes the linear solutions by nonlinearity-induced correction of order \( O(\varepsilon^2) \) to the frequencies \( \omega_a, \omega_c \). For \( \varepsilon = 1 \), the exact nonlinear solution (18) reduces to the weakly nonlinear solution (23) in the asymptotic expansion as \( \kappa \to 0 \). Using the well-known approximation (e.g., [24])

\[
\sin(x, \kappa) = \sin x - \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 (x - \sin x \cos x) \cos x + O(\kappa^4),
\]

we eliminate the secular terms by renormalizing the frequencies \( \omega_a, \omega_c \) in the solution (18). As a result, we find the asymptotic expansion with the leading order terms:

\[
u = \frac{2}{1 + s^2} [x \sin(kx - \omega_1 t + \Omega_1 k^2 t + O(\kappa^4)) + s^2 \sin(kx - \omega_2 t + \Omega_2 k^2 t + O(\kappa^4)) + O(\kappa^4)]
\]

\[
u = \frac{2}{1 + s^2} [x \sin(kx - \omega_1 t + \Omega_1 k^2 t + O(\kappa^4)) - \kappa \sin(kx - \omega_2 t + \Omega_2 k^2 t + O(\kappa^4)) + O(\kappa^4)]
\]

where

\[
\Omega_1 = 0, \quad \Omega_2 = \frac{1 + s^2}{4\omega_2}
\]
This expansion matches the weakly nonlinear solution (23) for \( c = 1 \) with the correspondence:

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon A_0 &= \kappa + \delta, \\
\epsilon C_0 &= \kappa^2 + \delta^2, \\
\eta_0 \epsilon^2 &= \mu_3 |C_0|^2 \epsilon^2 = \Omega, \\
B_0 &= D_0 = 0, \\
\omega_u &= \omega_l = \omega_d = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

since for \( c = 1 \):

\[
\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_4 = 0, \\
\mu_3 = \frac{(1 + \delta^2)^3}{4 \delta^2}.
\]

5. Conclusion

The situation when the linear dispersion relation has two or more branches for the same wavenumber is typical in multi-component systems. Many interesting phenomena can take place in such systems, including wave resonances and gap solitons. In this paper, by considering a system of coupled Klein–Gordon equations, we have discussed the processes involving the exchange of energy between the physical components of the system. The energy exchange constitutes an essential feature of multi-component systems. It would be interesting to study the energy exchange processes in other physical systems admitting a similar dispersion relation. For example, an attractive candidate is the sine-Gordon–d’Alembert systems introduced in the study of the propagation of electroacoustic waves in elastic ferroelectrics (see [8,9]) and magnetoacoustic domain walls in elastic ferromagnets [10] (see also [29,30]).

Harmonic waves in a scalar sine-Gordon equation are modulationally unstable with respect to amplitude variations. Since the system (2) with \( c = 1 \) reduces to the sine-Gordon equation (15) and to the wave equation (16), the coupled linear and nonlinear waves are expected to be modulationally unstable too. In the general case, stability of coupled-wave solutions can be considered in the framework of coupled NLS equations (21) with the small dispersive terms in the right-hand side of (21) (see [26,26a,27] and references there in). Analytical and numerical results on stability of coupled-wave solutions will be published elsewhere (see [31] for the influence of modulational instability on the two-wave solution).
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